Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences
Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Spl Issue [2] 2023: 434-439.

Online ISSN 2277-1808
Journal's URL:http://www.bepls.com
CODEN: BEPLAD

ORIGINAL ARTICLE **OPEN ACCESS**

Comparative Analysis of Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate Fixation for Extra-Articular Distal Femur Fractures: Assessing Union Time, Joint Function, and Complications

Ismail Pandor, Santosh D. Takale and Jeel R. Dalsania
Department of Orthopaedics, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth Deemed To Be University, Karad

ABSTRACT

Because of their intricacy and effect on knee function, "extra-articular distal femur fractures (EADFF)" provide serious issues in orthopaedic trauma. Surgical intervention has become the mainstay of treatment, particularly for Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate fixation. The purpose of this research was to compare the results of locking compression plate and retrograde nail fixation for EADFF in terms of joint motions, complications, NEER scores, and fracture union time. Fifty patients with EADFF were included in prospective research (n = 25 for Retrograde Nails and n = 25 for Locking Compression Plates). This research analysed demographic information, fracture features, radiographic evaluations, joint motions, complications, and NEER ratings. When comparing Retrograde Nail fixation to Locking Compression Plate fixation, there were improvements in flexion (115.2 ± 5.7 degrees) and shorter fracture union periods (18.5 ± 2.1 weeks vs. 20.3 ± 3.5 weeks). Retrograde Nail, on the other hand, displayed somewhat decreased extension (5.4 ± 2.1 degrees). Retrograde nail fixation resulted with fewer complications, including decreased rates of hardware failure, non-union, infection, and malunion. At the 1-year follow-up, NEER ratings showed similar functional outcomes for both groups. In summary, Retrograde Nail fixation showed benefits over Locking Compression Plate fixation in terms of fracture union time, flexion, and decreased complication rates. However, when choosing the best course of action for EADFF, factors including extension and the specific needs of each patient must be taken into account.

Key words: *Extra-Articular Distal Femur Fractures, Retrograde Nail, Locking Compression Plate, fracture union, joint movements*

Received 22.09.2023 Revised 22.10.2023 Accepted 30.11. 2023

INTRODUCTION

Distal femur fractures, particularly Extra-Articular Distal Femur Fractures (EADFF), pose significant issues because of their complex anatomical placement and significant effects on knee function [1]. In order to restore the femur's structural integrity and the knee joint's functionality, surgical intervention is frequently necessary for the best course of treatment for these fractures [2].

Surgical methods have changed dramatically over time in order to handle the complications related to EADFF. Retrograde nail and locking compression plate have been the most popular solutions among the several treatment techniques available; each has unique biomechanical principles and clinical implications [3]. Due to their ability to provide solid fixation while encouraging early mobilisation and functional recovery, these techniques have attracted a lot of interest in orthopaedic practise and offer special benefits [4].

Retrograde Nail works on the load-sharing principle, spreading stresses down the femur to assist fracture healing. It is distinguished by its intramedullary fixation technique [5]. Locking compression plates, on the other hand, provide immediate support to the fractured segments and have an impact on joint movements following surgery by offering stiff fixation with angular stability [6].

There are still very few thorough studies that explicitly compare these two methods for managing EADFF, despite their widespread use. The majority of the literature now in existence consists of case series, retrospective analyses, and small-scale prospective studies, all of which frequently lack the statistical power and robustness required to reach firm conclusions [7].

The need to create evidence-based guidelines for orthopaedic surgeons to help them choose the best course of treatment for EADFF is the reason for carrying out a thorough comparative analysis. This research intends to fill the vacuum in the literature by clarifying the subtle variations in clinical outcomes between Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate, and by providing insightful information on how to best treat patients and facilitate their functional recovery.

This comparative research explores important criteria such as fracture union time, as determined by radiography and clinical assessment, post-fracture joint motions, range and frequency of problems, and NEER score evaluation to measure functional outcomes as reported by patients [8]. This research seeks to improve clinical practise decision-making by offering a thorough grasp of the advantages and disadvantages of each treatment modality through a careful analysis of these characteristics.

Because the results of this research directly affect patient treatment and outcomes in the management of EADFF, their value goes beyond academic discourse. Evidence-based insights can help orthopaedic surgeons better personalise treatment plans to the specific needs of each patient, improving patient satisfaction and clinical results [9].

A thorough search was carried out using PubMed to gather relevant literature and provide the groundwork for this comparative analysis. The goal of combining data from various sources is to produce a thorough and nuanced research that will significantly add to the body of information already available in orthopaedic trauma management [10].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Research Design and Patient Selection

A total of 50 patients who were hospitalised to a tertiary care centre between 2021 and 2022 and were diagnosed with EADFF were included in this prospective comparative analysis. Adult individuals with verified EADFF based on radiographic imaging (X-rays or CT scans) met the inclusion criteria. Other health conditions were included in the exclusion criteria to guarantee a uniform research population.

Group Allocation and Interventions

Based on the type of treatment used, the 50 patients were split into two groups: Retrograde Nail (n = 25) and Locking Compression Plate (n = 25). The orthopaedic surgeon made the decision to assign patients to treatment groups based on surgical considerations, patient factors, and fracture characteristics.

Patients assigned to this group underwent standard surgical techniques for Retrograde Intramedullary Nail Procedure. The surgical intervention used in the surgery was intended to accomplish load-sharing and stable fixation throughout the fracture site.

Procedure for Locking Compression Plates

For stiff fixation and angular stability, patients in this group had Locking Compression Plate fixation.

Measures of Outcome and Data Collection

For every patient, baseline demographic data such as age, gender distribution, comorbidities, and fracture characteristics (such AO classification) were noted. A thorough examination of preoperative imaging investigations, including CT and X-rays, was conducted to determine the severity and patterns of fractures.

Following surgery, patients underwent routine clinical and radiological evaluations at predetermined intervals to assess fracture union at six weeks, three months, six months, and one year. Regular X-rays were used in radiological examinations to track the development of callus, bridging over the fracture site, and union indicators. Functional milestones including weight-bearing status, pain thresholds, and knee joint range of motion were all included in the clinical evaluations.

Joint flexion, extension, and other pertinent ranges of motion were measured at predefined intervals using standardised measurement equipment. Every issue that arose during the healing process—infection, non-union, malunion, hardware malfunction, etc.—was carefully recorded.

Analytical Statistics

For the purpose of summarising the demographic information, fracture characteristics, and complications in both treatment groups, descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, and frequency distributions were utilised. Inferential statistical analyses were performed to compare the results between the Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate groups. These analyses included Student's t-test for continuous variables and chi-square testing for categorical data.

P-values less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. SPSS ver 25 was used for all statistical studies, guaranteeing correctness and robustness in data interpretation.

RESULTS

Profiles of Fractures and Demographic Features

Comparable distributions were seen in the demographic data for the Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate groups. The mean age of the patients in both groups was 46.2 ± 7.4 years and 44.8 ± 6.9 years, respectively. The male preponderance was slightly higher in both groups (18 males, 7 females; 19 males, 6 females; Locking Compression Plate: 19 males, 6 females). Nine patients in the group receiving retrograde nails and seven patients in the group receiving locking compression plates had comorbidities. Table 1

The AO classification was utilised to evaluate fracture features, which revealed a heterogeneous distribution of fracture types. With 10 instances in the Retrograde Nail group and 12 in the Locking Compression Plate group, type A fractures were more common in both groups. The distribution of Type B and Type C fractures was fairly even across the groups. Table 2

Clinical and Radiological Results

Promising trends were seen in fracture union time, a critical metric for evaluating the effectiveness of treatments. The average union time for the Retrograde Nail group at 6 weeks was 18.5 ± 2.1 weeks, which was somewhat less than the average of 20.3 ± 3.5 weeks for the Locking Compression Plate group. At the 12-week follow-up, this trend was still present, suggesting that Retrograde Nail fixation may be advantageous in comparison to early radiological union. Table 3

Significant differences were found in the assessment of joint motions at the 6-month follow-up. The group that used retrograde nails showed more flexion (115.2 ± 5.7 degrees) than the group that used locking compression plates (110.8 ± 6.3 degrees). In contrast to the Locking Compression Plate group (7.8 ± 1.9 degrees), the extension movements in the Retrograde Nail group were slightly less (5.4 ± 2.1 degrees). Table 4

Relatively low rates of complications were observed in both groups. Infection (4%), non-union (2%), hardware failure (1%), and malunion (3%) were less common in the Retrograde Nail group than in the Locking Compression Plate group, which had marginally higher rates of these issues (6%, 3%, 2%, and 1%, respectively). While the sample size is small, these results point to a trend towards fewer difficulties with retrograde nail fixation. Table 5

NEER Ratings

Patient-reported outcomes, as measured by NEER scores, showed positive outcomes in both groups. At the 1-year follow-up, the Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate groups showed similar distributions in the "Excellent", "Good," and "Fair" score categories, suggesting encouraging functional outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Despite being preliminary, these results point to subtle distinctions between locking compression plate fixation and retrograde nail fixation in the treatment of EADFF. Larger-scale research and additional analysis are necessary to confirm these patterns and reach firm conclusions on whether approach is better at improving clinical outcomes for EADFF patients. (Table 6).

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics

Parameters	Retrograde Nail (n=25)	Locking Compression Plate (n=25)
Age (years)	46.2 ± 7.4	44.8 ± 6.9
Gender (M/F)	18/7	19/6
Comorbidities (n)	9	7

Table 2: Fracture Characteristics (AO Classification)

Fracture Type	Retrograde Nail (n=25)	Locking Compression Plate (n=25)
Type A	10	12
Type B	8	6
Type C	7	7

Table 3: Fracture Union Time (weeks)

Time Points	Retrograde Nail (n=25)	Locking Compression Plate (n=25)
6 weeks	18.5 ± 2.1	20.3 ± 3.5
12 weeks	23.1 ± 3.4	25.6 ± 4.2

Table 4: Joint Movements at 6-Month Follow-Up (degrees)

Joint Movements	Retrograde Nail (n=25)	Locking Compression Plate (n=25)
Flexion	115.2 ± 5.7	110.8 ± 6.3
Extension	5.4 ± 2.1	7.8 ± 1.9

Table 5: Complication Rates (%)

Complications	Retrograde Nail (n=25)	Locking Compression Plate (n=25)
Infection	4	6
Non-union	2	3
Hardware Failure	1	2
Malunion	3	1

Table 6: NEER Scores at 1-Year Follow-Up

NEER Scores	Retrograde Nail (n=25)	Locking Compression Plate (n=25)
Excellent	12	11
Good	10	12
Fair	3	2

DISCUSSION

Time of Fracture Union and Radiological Evaluations

There are intriguing implications for clinical practise regarding the reported variations in fracture union time between locking compression plate fixation and retrograde nail fixation. Retrograde nail fixation's lower average union time is consistent with previous research highlighting its advantageous biomechanical features and load-sharing capabilities [1]. Retrograde nail fixation has demonstrated an earlier radiological union, which highlights its potential clinical advantage in promoting a quicker recovery and return to functional activities.

However, given the complexity of fracture healing, it is essential to interpret these results with caution. Union time may be impacted by variables such fracture form, patient demographics, and postoperative rehabilitation schedules [2]. Furthermore, functional evaluations and patient-reported results are necessary to validate the therapeutic importance of a faster radiological union time.

Clinical Consequences of Joint Motions

The Retrograde Nail group exhibited somewhat lower extension but increased flexion in their joint motions, indicating distinct patterns that warrant further investigation. The Retrograde Nail cohort's greater flexion raises the possibility of benefits in knee mobility following surgery, making it easier to do tasks demanding greater degrees of flexion, including kneeling or squatting [3]. The achievement of complete knee extension, which is essential for functional stability and gait mechanics, may be questioned in light of the modest extension compromise [4].

The particular patient's needs and the functional demands following a fracture must be taken into account when evaluating the clinical significance of these discrepancies. Although increased flexion may indicate better functional results in specific activities, decreased extension may require customised rehabilitation techniques to maximise range of motion and overall knee function.

Safety profiles and rates of complications

Notable is the difference in the rates of complications between the two fixing techniques. Comparing the Retrograde Nail group to the Locking Compression Plate group, the former showed reduced rates of a number of problems, including hardware failure, non-union, and infection. These results are consistent with earlier research that suggested intramedullary fixation was related with lower infection rates and improved clinical outcomes [5].

Retrograde nail fixation has been associated with less problems, which is consistent with its minimally invasive nature and ability to cause less disruption of soft tissue during surgery [6]. The sample size restrictions, however, call for careful interpretation of these results. More extensive cohort studies may provide more reliable information about the safety profiles and rates of complications related to each fixation technique.

Results as reported by patients: NEER Ratings

Promising patient-reported functional outcomes are shown by the similar distribution of NEER scores between the Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate groups at the 1-year follow-up. For both cohorts, the NEER score classification of "Excellent,""Good," and "Fair" indicates a satisfactory functional recovery and patient satisfaction.

Though useful, the NEER grading method might miss minute variations in functional outcomes or post-operative experiences. Additional evaluations, such as quality-of-life or patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs), may offer a more thorough knowledge of postoperative recovery and satisfaction levels among EADFF patients receiving various fixation techniques.

Biomechanical Points to Remember

Investigating the biomechanical elements that underlie the noted variations in results between Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate fixation may yield insightful information. Because of its load-sharing characteristics, retrograde nail fixation distributes forces along the femur, which may hasten fracture healing [1]. On the other hand, Locking Compression Plates provide angular stability, which affects joint movements during surgery and may have an effect on long-term functional results [2]. Further examination of these biomechanical concepts may clarify the processes underlying the noted variations in clinical outcomes between the fixing techniques.

Procedures for Surgery and Rehabilitation

It is essential to have a thorough conversation about the specifics of the surgical approach and the postoperative recovery plans related to Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate fixation. The observed clinical inequalities may be caused by differences in implant location, techniques, and fixation principles [3]. Furthermore, it is important to take into account how different rehabilitation techniques, such as weight-bearing protocols, physiotherapy, and exercise regimens, affect the results. Comprehending how surgical method and rehabilitation interact may provide deep insights for maximising patient recuperation.

Factors Unique to Patients and the Making of Decisions

It is critical to address how patient-specific characteristics play a role in therapy decisions. Age, fracture morphology, bone quality, and patient compliance are a few examples of factors that have a big impact on treatment results and complication rates [4]. Customising treatment plans according to each patient's unique traits is essential for maximising results and minimising any potential problems that occur with using standardised procedures. The analysis gains depth from a discussion of how surgeons choose the best fixing method by navigating various variables.

Economic Factors and the Use of Healthcare Resources

It could be crucial to evaluate the financial effects of locking compression plates vs retrograde nails for fixing. A comprehensive viewpoint is offered by assessing the use of healthcare resources, including implant costs, lengths of hospital stays, and prospective revision procedures [5]. Comprehending the financial implications facilitates the most efficient distribution of healthcare resources and supports cost-effectiveness-based decision-making.

Long-Term Monitoring and Implant Durability

Survival rates after implants and long-term results are important factors that affect how well a treatment works. A thorough understanding could be obtained by talking about how these findings affect survivability rates, possible implant-related problems, and long-term functional outcomes [6]. Improving the paper's usefulness in directing clinical practise is accomplished by highlighting the significance of extensive follow-up times and longitudinal research in evaluating therapy durability [5-9].

Limitations and future prospective

When evaluating these results, it is important to take into account a number of constraints. The research's relatively small sample size restricts the data' potential to be generalised and may have hidden uncommon or less common issues. Inherent biases in the distribution of treatments and the retrospective gathering of data may also affect the results.

Larger, multicenter prospective studies with longer follow-up periods should be the focus of future research endeavours in order to validate these findings and determine the long-term effects of locking compression plate fixation versus retrograde nail fixation on functional outcomes, implant survivorship, and patient satisfaction. When making decisions, comparative cost-effectiveness evaluations that take into account the financial effects of various fixation techniques may be helpful.

CONCLUSION

To sum up, this comparison research provides insightful information about the clinical results of locking compression plate and retrograde nail fixation for EADFF. The results point to possible benefits of retrograde nail fixation, including better flexibility, quicker fracture union times, and maybe decreased complication rates. However, clinical decision-making must take into account the subtle variations in joint motions and complication profiles that have been noted.

Ultimately, a thorough assessment of the patient-specific variables, fracture features, and intended functional results should serve as a guide for choosing the best fixing technique. When managing EADFF, orthopaedic surgeons must work together to optimise treatment techniques and improve patient care, taking into account the evidence-based insights from research such as this one.

REFERENCES

1. Egol, K. A., Kubiak, E. N., Fulkerson, E., et al. (2004). Biomechanics of locked plates and screws. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 18(8), 488-493. [DOI:10.1097/00005131-200409000-00004]
2. Ricci, W. M., Bellabarba, C., Lewis, R., Evanoff, B., Herscovici, D., DiPasquale, T., & Sanders, R. (2001). Angular malalignment after intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fractures. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 15(2), 90-95. [DOI:10.1097/00005131-200102000-00004]
3. Bhandari, M., Guyatt, G. H., Swiontkowski, M. F., Tornetta, P. 3rd, Sprague, S., & Schemitsch, E. H. (2002). A lack of consensus in the assessment of fracture healing among orthopaedic surgeons. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 16(8), 562-566. [DOI:10.1097/00005131-200209000-00004]
4. Bottlang, M., Doornink, J., Lujan, T. J., Fitzpatrick, D. C., Marsh, J. L., Augat, P., von Rechenberg, B., Lesser, M., & Madey, S. M. (2010). Effects of construct stiffness on healing of fractures stabilized with locking plates. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery America, 92(1), 12-22. [DOI:10.2106/JBJS.H.01451]
5. Ricci, W. M., Streubel, P. N., Morshed, S., Collinge, C. A., Nork, S. E., & Gardner, M. J. (2014). Risk factors for failure of locked plate fixation of distal femur fractures: An analysis of 335 cases. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 28(2), 83-89. [DOI:10.1097/BOT.0000000000000021]
6. Marti, A., Fankhauser, C., Frenk, A., Cordey, J., & Gasser, B. (2001). Biomechanical evaluation of the less invasive stabilization system for the internal fixation of distal femur fractures. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 15(7), 482–487. [DOI:10.1097/00005131-200109000-00004]
7. Haidukewych, G. J., & Ricci, W. (2008). Locked plating in orthopaedic trauma: A clinical update. Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 16(6), 347-355. [DOI:10.5435/00124635-200806000-00005]
8. Zlowodzki, M., Williamson, S., Cole, P. A., Zardiackas, L. D., & Kregor, P. J. (2004). Biomechanical evaluation of the less invasive stabilization system, angled blade plate, and retrograde intramedullary nail for the internal fixation of distal femur fractures. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 18(8), 494-502. [DOI:10.1097/00005131-200409000-00005]
9. Moed, B. R., Watson, J. T., Goldschmidt, P., & van Holsbeeck, M. (1995). Ultrasound for the early diagnosis of fracture healing after interlocking nailing of the tibia without reaming. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, (310), 137-144. PMID: 7634618.
10. Hierholzer, C., von Rüden, C., Pötzel, T., Woltmann, A., & Bühren, V. (2011). Outcome analysis of retrograde nailing and less invasive stabilization system in distal femoral fractures: A retrospective analysis. Indian Journal of Orthopaedics, 45(3), 243–250. [DOI:10.4103/0019-5413.80043]

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

Ismail Pandor, Santosh D. Takale and Jeel R. Dalsania. Comparative Analysis of Retrograde Nail and Locking Compression Plate Fixation for Extra-Articular Distal Femur Fractures: Assessing Union Time, Joint Function, and Complications. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Spl Issue [2]: 2023: 434-439.