



## **Equilibrium and Kinetic Study on Biosorption of Chromium Using Tamarind Tree Bark as a Biosorbent**

**Bangaraiah Pagala**

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Chemical Engineering, VFSTR University, Guntur, Vadlamudi, AP, India.

Email: [pbangaraiah79@gmail.com](mailto:pbangaraiah79@gmail.com)

### **ABSTRACT**

*The contamination of aquatic and ecosystem is taking place because of toxic metals releasing from chemical process industries without prior treatment of effluent. There could be a great need of research on withdrawal of poisonous metal ions from effluents releasing from various industries. The heavy metal chromium is one among them. Batch biosorption procedure was adapted to get the capacity Tamarind tree bark (*Tamarindus Indica*) for biosorption of chromium. The process parameters such as agitation time, biomass quantity, metal ion concentration and pH were estimated on removal of Chromium by tamarindus indica biosorbent. The process parameters evaluated are agitation time-40minutes, biomass dose-1.6gm, concentration of metal ion -10mg/l and pH-6. The equilibrium data has been tested with Freundlich and Langmuir models. The given data was correlated well to Langmuir model than Freundlich. The adsorption kinetics were also calculated and R<sup>2</sup> value was found to be 0.993. The kinetic data was best followed to second order kinetics. The chromium removal percentage was determined 98% using *Tamarindus Indica*. The results revealed the agricultural waste material of tamarind tree bark is suitable for biosorption of chromium from waste water.*

**Keywords:** Chromium; biosorption; tamarind tree bark; equilibrium models; kinetics.

Received 15.03.2022

Revised 26.03.2022

Accepted 04.04.2022

### **INTRODUCTION**

Pollution of toxic metals is a burning environmental problem to address nowadays. This problem is due to urbanization. The industrial development may also cause environmental damage from effluents containing toxic metals. The heavy metals releasing from various chemical process industries such as refinery, dyeing and textile are lead, mercury, chromium, zinc and copper. From these toxic metals chromium is one of more toxic metal affecting human and ecosystem. When concentration of chromium exceeds the allowable limit leads to cancer [1]. The traditional methods available for removal of toxic metals are precipitation, ultrafiltration, Phyto remediation, electro dialysis and reverse osmosis. These processes have the drawbacks of partial separation, high cost and sludge formation. Also these techniques are not suitable for removal of metals present at low concentration in effluent [2]. In order to address the above issues a new technique such as biosorption came into picture. Biosorption is a less expensive, easy, no side effects and eco-friendly process for removal of heavy toxic metals even at low concentration of metals [3]. Many studies have been done on removal of chromium using various adsorbents of dead and live microorganisms. Hassan rezaei reported the biosorption of chromium is 72% using spirulina. Mishra investigated on waste plant material of *Portulaca oleracea* for Cr removal and obtained 79% removal. Abdulsalam has done the research on tannery wastes and sweet potatoes peels for removal of chromium and reported the results of 59 and 74% of removal efficiency [4]. The present research work was done on tamarind tree bark, which was an agricultural waste used as a biosorbent for chromium toxic metal removal. The dead cells are easily available, low cost, no nutrient supply and have the good binding efficient character than living cells. In this investigation the process parameters such as agitation time, dosage, concentration of metal ion and pH were analysed [5].

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### **Preparation of biosorbent**

The tamarind tree bark (*Tamarindus Indica*) was collected from Rudravaram village in Andhra Pradesh. The bark was washed with deionized water many times for removal of dirt. The cleaned bark was dried in hot air oven at 70°C for a period of 48 hrs. After drying tamarind bark was crushed by using roll crusher. The powdered biomaterial was sieved for getting required uniform size particles. The prepared biomaterial stored in a dark place for future use [6].

### Stock solution

1.214 gm of potassium dichromate was dissolved in a 500ml distilled water for preparation of chromium stock solution in a conical flask. This stock solution was diluted for preparation of various concentration of required quantity as per the experiment. The solution pH was adjusted with HCl or NaOH. The chemicals which were used in this work are analytical grade and Merck company.

### Experimental procedure

The one parameter biosorption model has been followed for evaluation of process parameters such as agitation time, biosorbent dose, concentration of metal ion and solution pH. The stock solution of 50ml was taken in a beaker. Biosorbent of 1 gm is added to this solution. Then the sample was kept in shaker for a period of 50 minutes at room temperature for effective agitation by maintaining 150rpm. After agitation time sample was withdrawn from the shaker and filtered by using filter paper. The filtrate was analysed with spectrophotometer to find the concentration of metal ion biosorbed by the biosorbent. The percentage removal of chromium was calculated with the following equation [7].

$$\text{Percentage removal of chromium} = \frac{C_o - C_i}{C_o} \times 100$$

Here,  $C_o$ , final concentration of sample,  $C_i$  initial concentration of the sample. The same procedure has been followed to find the optimum process conditions such as biomass dosage (0.1 -1.5gm), metal ion concentration (10-100 mg/l) and pH (1-11) on adsorption onto the tamarind tree bark [8].

### Sorption isotherms and kinetic study

The absorption potential of tamarind tree bark was evaluated by adsorption isotherms. The surface properties were known by calculating constants. In this work Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms were analysed to find the equilibrium relationship between sorbate and sorbent. Freundlich model is based on heterogeneous adsorption onto the biosorbent [9]. Langmuir model was based on homogeneous adsorption onto the surface of the biosorbent with same energy distribution. The degree of sorption was analysed by kinetic study. The Pseudo first order and second order kinetics were tested to evaluate the rate of biosorption. For this 50ml of sample having 10mg/l concentration was taken in a flask and to this 1gm of biosorbent having size of 82.5 micron was added. Then sample was stirred for a period of optimum time. After this final concentration of chromium was analysed by using spectrophotometer [10].

### Thermodynamic study

The thermodynamic parameters were estimated to know the nature of the biosorption. In biosorption changes may occur and can be explained by the three thermodynamic parameters such as enthalpy change ( $\Delta H$ ), entropy change ( $\Delta S$ ) and Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ). These parameters could be evaluated by carrying out the adsorption experiments at different temperatures [10].

The thermodynamic parameters such as  $\Delta G$  (kJ/mol),  $\Delta H$  (kJ/mol) and  $\Delta S$  (J/mol.K) were calculated using below equations:

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln Ke$$

$$\ln Ke = \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{RT}$$

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S$$

## RESULT

### Agitation time

The sample was taken in a beaker and agitated at a speed of 150 rpm to find the optimum time by keeping dosage, concentration and pH parameters at constant. The chromium percentage removal was analysed by taking agitation time range from 1 to 60 minutes. It was observed from figure that chromium removal rate was increased continuously from 72.6% to 98% till time reaches to 40 minutes [11]. After 40 minutes, chromium removal percentage was no change even increase in time and gone to the saturation at 60 minutes. The results were shown in Figure.1




Figure .1 Removal of chromium with agitation time

**Initial chromium metal ion concentration**

The sample was taken in a beaker to estimate the effect of initial metal ion concentration on biosorption. The increase in concentration decrease in percentage removal was observed. It was noticed from figure.2 that as chromium metal ion concentration increases from 10-60mg/l, the percentage removal of chromium was declined from 97.8 to 65.1%[12]. The maximum removal occurred at 10mg/l.




Figure .2 Removal of chromium with metal ion concentration

**Dosage**

The sample was taken in a beaker by keeping agitation time, concentration and pH at constant level to analyse the effect of biosorbent dose on removal of chromium. The dosage ranges of 0.2-2.0gm was considered. The changes in percentage removal of chromium with increasing biosorbent dosage was shown in figure.3. It was stated that chromium removal percentage was increased from 66.8% to 98.1 % with increased tamarind tree bark biosorbent from 0.2 gm dose to 1.6 gm[13].




Figure .3 Removal of chromium with biomass dosage

**pH**

The solution pH was significantly influenced the Chromium removal rate due to hydrogen ions. It effects on functional groups present on to the surface of sorbent and solution chemistry. From figure.4, it was

observed that initial pH values of 1-4, the chromium percentage was low due to protons have to compete with binding sites present on sorbent surface. As pH increases from 5-6, the chromium removal percentage was increased and gone to 98 % at a pH of 6[14].




Figure .4 Removal of chromium with pH

**Adsorption isotherms**

Adsorption isotherms explain the distribution of chromium metal ions between sorbate and sorbent. The degree of sorption also well explained by Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm models.

Freundlich isotherm is  $q_e = k_f \cdot C_e^n$

Metal uptake at equilibrium-  $q_e$ ,  $C_e$  - concentration after adsorption, figure.5,  $n, k$ -Freundlich constants  $n=0.6611$ ,  $R^2$ -Correlation coefficient.  $R^2=0.957$ , it was concluded that Freundlich model does not suitable for the given experimental data. The same can be observed with other study such removal of chromium using red alga [15].

Langmuir model was expressed by the formula:  $C_e / q_e = C_e / Q_m + 1 / (b \cdot Q_m)$

$Q_m$ -Maximum metal uptake. From figure.6,  $R^2 = 0.983$  and  $1/n < 1$ . Langmuir model was well correlated to given data. So, the equilibrium data best followed to langmuir model [16].




Figure.5 Freundlich model




Figure .6 Langmuir model

**Kinetics**

The kinetics were most important to evaluate the biosorbent performance and know uptake rate. In order to interpret the kinetic data, two rate expressions such as first order and second order kinetic expressions are analysed [17].

The rate equation for first order was given as follows

$$\text{Log}(q_e - q_t) = \text{Log } q_e - (k_f / 2.303) \times t$$

Here  $q_e$  = Chromium sorbed at equilibrium;  $q_t$  = Chromium sorbed at any time  $t$ ;  $k_f$  ( $\text{min}^{-1}$ ) = rate constant.

A plot of  $\text{Log}(q_e - q_t)$  vs  $t$  gives  $k_f$  and  $\text{Log } Q_e$  slope and intercept respectively. The kinetic parameters for biosorption of chromium evaluated are  $k_f$ -0.0457,  $q_e$ -0.638 and  $R^2$ -0.974 shown in figure.7.

The rate equation for second order was given by

$$(t/q_t) = (1/k_s q_e^2) + (1/q_e) t$$

Here  $q_t$  - the quantity of Chromium biosorbed at any time  $t$ ,  $k_s$  - rate constant. The constants calculated are  $k_s$ -0.752,  $q_e$ -0.611 and  $R^2$ -0.993 shown in figure.8. From the above results, it was cleared that the data were best correlated to second order kinetics. The biopolymer of lignin also followed the same [18].




Figure.7 First order kinetics




Figure.8 Second order kinetics

**Thermodynamic study**

The thermodynamic parameters were evaluated to find the type of biosorption. From table.1 results revealed that biosorption process is an endothermic process due to positive value of enthalpy and the physical sorption plays a role in biosorption of chromium. The value of entropy above zero confirms the irreversibility of the biosorption process. The negative value of Gibbs free energy shows the reaction was spontaneous [19].

**Table 1: Thermodynamics study of chromium using tamarind tree bark**

S.No	Initial chromium concentration, C <sub>0</sub> , mg/l	Final chromium concentration, C <sub>e</sub> , mg/l	Temperature, T, °K	1/T, K <sup>-1</sup> × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	q <sub>e</sub> = (C <sub>0</sub> - C <sub>i</sub> )/m, mg/gm	Log (q <sub>e</sub> /C <sub>e</sub> )
1	10	7.02	293	3.412969	0.389439	-1.2559
	10	5.6	303	3.30033	0.432043	-1.11266
3	10	4.2	313	3.194888	0.474047	-0.94743
4	10	3.1	323	3.095975	0.507051	-0.78631
5	10	1.8	333	3.003003	0.546055	-0.51804

### Scanning Electron Microscopic Analysis

The SEM of tamarind tree bark is shown in figure.9. It was observed the tamarind tree bark surface is different before and after adsorption of Cr. Before sorption the uneven surface of the tamarind tree bark promotes the metal ion sorption by having number of active sites on the surface. After the biosorption surface of the biosorbent became uniform, because of present of metal ions onto the surface of the tamarind tree bark. The morphology of tamarind tree bark finally indicated that it is more suitable for biosorption of metal ions [20].




Figure.9 SEM structure of Tamarind tree bark a) before b)after biosorption

### DISCUSSION

#### Agitation time

The percentage removal of chromium increased with increase in agitation time. From Figure.1 The results stated that the chromium was sorbed faster rate at initial time, because of more active sites available for biosorption of chromium metal ions onto the tamarind tree bark biosorbent at initial stage. So, the optimum agitation time was considered as 40 minutes [21]. The above results were well followed with the other researchers such as 50 minutes required for soya bean powder and for cork powder 60 minutes was required to reach equilibrium.

#### Initial chromium metal ion concentration

The percentage removal of chromium was decreased with increase in initial concentration. This was due to adsorptive active sites available at lower concentration were high for chromium sorption. As concentration increases the available active sites for biosorption of metal ions become less [22]. So, the removal rate was more at low concentration compare to a highest concentration. The optimum chromium metal ion concentration was considered as 10 mg/l.

#### Dosage

The removal percentage of chromium was raised with increase in dosage, because of more amount of active sites/surface area available for binding of chromium ions at higher biomass. The number of active sites and transfer of metal ions were depended on the amount of biosorbent [23]. So, the optimum biomass dose was considered to be 1.6gm.

#### pH

The chromium removal percentage was increased and gone to 98% at a pH of 6. This was occurred as a result of less number of protons on the surface and decrease in repulsion. The more positive charge on adsorbent surface at lower pH values, which restricted the metal ions due to repulsion [24]. After the pH of 6 the removal rate was decreased because of precipitation of metal ions and formation of complexes. So, the pH was considered to be 6.

### CONCLUSION

The investigation was carried out to find the potential of tamarind tree bark for removal of Cr metal ions from aqueous solution. The experimental findings revealed the optimum conditions of agitation time 40 minutes, dosage 1.6gm, Chromium ion concentration 10mg/l and pH 6. The data well correlated to Langmuir model. The given data suited to second order kinetics. Finally, the results revealed that the cheapest, eco-friendly and agricultural tamarind tree bark was favorable for adsorption of heavy metal chromium and percentage removal was 98%. The adsorption process is endothermic and spontaneous.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Alzahrani, F. M., Alsaiani, N. S., Katubi, K. M., Amari, A., Ben Rebah, F., & Tahoona, M. A. (2021). Synthesis of Polymer-Based Magnetic Nanocomposite for Multi-Pollutants Removal from Water. *Polymers*, 13(11): 1742-1748.
2. Ankita, A., Utkarsh, U., Sreedhar, I., Satyapaul, A., Singh, C., & Patel, M. (2020). A review on valorization of biomass in heavy metal removal from wastewater. *J. Wat. Proc. Eng.*, 38:101602-101610.
3. Şerife, P., & Erol, P. (2015). Natural biosorbents (garlic stem and horse chesnut shell) for removal of chromium(VI) from aqueous solutions. *Environ. Monitor. Assest.*, 187(12):763-769.
4. Pagala, B., & Popuri, A.K. (2019). Removal of arsenic using low cost biosorbent Tamarind bark. *Int. J. Rec. Technol. Eng.*, 8(4):1499-1502.
5. Sheida, A., Bahareh, L., Soheil, S., Mehrdad, C., & Omid, M. (2019). Surveying the efficiency of *Platanus orientalis* bark as biosorbent for Ni and Cr(VI) removal from plating wastewater as a real sample. *Environ. Monitor. Assest.*, 191(6):373-385.
6. Nag, S., Mondal, A., Bar, N., & Das, S.K. (2017). Biosorption of chromium (VI) from aqueous solutions and ANN modelling. *Environ. Sci. Pollut Res. Int.*, 24(23):18817-18835.
7. Muataz Ali, A., Omer Yahya, B., Bassam, S., Tawabini, A.B. (2010). Removal of Chromium (III) from Water by Using Modified and Non modified Carbon Nanotubes. *J. Nanomat.*, 2010:1-9.
8. Xingjian, X. Z., Zhang, Q. H., & Wenli, C. (2017). Biosorption performance of multi metal resistant Fungus XJ-1 for removal of Cu and Cr from aqueous solutions. *Geo micro. J.*, 8(54):30902-30911.
9. Nithya, K., Asha, S., Senthil Kumar, P., & Ramachandran, T. (2016). Biosorption of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solution using raw and acid-treated biosorbent prepared from *Lantana camara* fruit. *Desali. Wat. Treat.*, 5(4):78-86.
10. Jitendra Kumar, S., Sanjeev Kumar, P., Archana, B., Debashis, P., et al. (2020). Surface functionalization of graphene oxide using amino silane magnetic nanocomposite for Chromium (VI) removal and bacterial treatment. *Nano Ex.*, 1:1-6.
11. Shadreck, M., Fidelis, C., Munyaradzi, S., Benias, C. N., & Edith, S. (2013). Removal of chromium (VI) from aqueous solution using chemically modified orange (*Citrus cinensis*) peel. *IOSR J. Appl. Chem.* 6(2): 66-75.
12. Anjali, S.N., Mitali, J.N., & Juned, M. (2016). Removal of hexavalent chromium from wastewater by adsorption: A Review. *Global Res. Develop. J. Eng.*, 3: 1-8.
13. Ali, B., & Aysel, Ç. (2019). Removal of chromium(VI) from polluted wastewater by chemical modification of silica gel with 4-acetyl-3-hydroxyaniline. *RSC Adv.*, 9:37403-37414.
14. Pietrelli, L., Iolanda, F., Antonella, P., Maria, S., Ilaria, S., & Marco, V. (2020). Chromium(III) removal from wastewater by Chitosan Flakes. *Appl. Sci.*, 10(6): 1925-1932.
15. Aravind, J., Sudha, G., Kanmani, P., Devisri, A.J., Dhivyalakshmi, S., & Raghav Prasad, M. (2018). Equilibrium and kinetic study on chromium (VI) removal from simulated waste water using gooseberry seeds as a novel biosorbent. *Global J. Environ. Sci. Manage.*, 1(3):233-244.
16. Mahmoud, A.E.D., Fawzy, M., & Hosny, G. et al (2021). Equilibrium, kinetic, and diffusion models of chromium(VI) removal using *Phragmites australis* and *Ziziphus spina-christi* biomass. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 18: 2125-2136.
17. Bangaraiah, P. (2020). Kinetic and equilibrium study on biosorption of chromium using tamarind fruit shell. *Res. J. Pharm. Tech.*, 13(5): 2340-2344.
18. Ali, I.H., & Alrafai, H.A. (2016). Kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic studies on biosorption of chromium(VI) by using activated carbon from leaves of *Ficus nitida*. *Chem. Cen. J.* 10(36):1-8.
19. Pandey, P.K., Sharma, S.K. & Sambhi, S.S. (2010). Kinetics and equilibrium study of chromium adsorption on zeolite NaX. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 7: 395-404.
20. Mokkapati, R.P., Mokkapati, J., & Ratnakaram, V. N. (2016). Kinetic, thermodynamic and equilibrium studies on removal of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solutions using agro-waste biomaterials, *Casuarina equisetifolia* L. and sorghum bicolor. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 33(8): 2374-2383.
21. Chen, S., Yue, Q., Gao, B., & Xu, X. (2010). Equilibrium and kinetic adsorption study of the adsorptive removal of Cr(VI) using modified wheat residue. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 349(1):256-64.
22. Jagruti, S., Vaza, S., & Bhalerao, A. (2018). Removal of Hexavalent Chromium by using Citric Acid Modified Tamarind Pod Shell Powder (*Tamarindus Indica* L.). *Int. J. Trend Sci. Res. Develop.*, 3(1):200-215.
23. Chaudhari U. E., ghotkar J. L., & Wnjari A. K. (2014). The adsorption kinetics of Cr (VI) metal by using ganulated particles of tamarindus indica seed. *Int. J. Chem. Phy. Sci.*, 3(3):1-6.
24. Agarwal, G.S., Bhuptawat, H.K., & Chaudhari, S. (2006). Biosorption of aqueous chromium(VI) by *Tamarindus indica* seeds. *Bioresour Technol.* 97(7):949-56.

## CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

Bangaraiah Pagala. Equilibrium and Kinetic Study on Biosorption of Chromium Using Tamarind Tree Bark as a Biosorbent. *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci.*, Spl Issue [1] 2022 : 542-548