Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences
Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 10 [10] September 2021 : 71-79

Online ISSN 2277-1808
Journal's URL:http://www.bepls.com
CODEN: BEPLAD
REVIEW ARTICLE **OPEN ACCESS**

A detailed review on Agrochemicals and their Effect on the environment and individual

Viniti Nagar[1], Manoj Kumar[2], *M. P. Gautam[3] and Gyanendra Kumar Singh[4]
[1]Assistant professor, Department of Environment Science, Swami Shraddhanand college, University of Delhi , New Delhi, India
[2]Guest faculty, Department of Extension Education, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) India
[3]Department of Entomology, Sardar VallabhbhaiPatel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut, (U.P.)India
[4]Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture, R. B. S. College, Bichpuri, Agra, U.P.
*Corresponding author Email: gautam.mp522@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
The amount of manure applied by the ranchers s beneath the amount needed for ideal yields, the abundance of synthetic substances in the surface and groundwater could be credited to the strategies and timing of use. The size of these impacts can be diminished by proficient strategies for source control of the toxins. Manure and Pesticides have been found to influence the harvest quality and yield yet in addition the nature of water downstream of the irrigation regions. Nitrate and phosphate levels n these waters surpassed levels recorded before the start of the irrigation season. The nitrate level surpassed the WHO standard and made surface water downstream of the irrigation region unsatisfactory for drinking. Phosphate n surface water surpassed 5 mg l-1, the level that can uphold algal sprouts, and antagonistically influences the organoleptic properties of drinking water and groundwater the centralization of phosphate increased past the OK level for utilization. The level was lower than the base level needed to help a reasonable populace of positive greenery. This distinction was credited to the agrochemicals utilized by the ranchers.
***Keyword**: Agrochemicals, Environment, Human, Water, Soil Health and Beneficial Organisms.*

Received 29.08.2021 Revised 08.09.2021 Accepted 21.09.2021

INTRODUCTION

Weakening of soil quality and decrease in farming usefulness because of supplement consumption, natural matter misfortunes, disintegration and compaction. Contamination of soil and water through the overutilization of composts and the improper use and removal of creature squanders increased the incidence of human and biological system medical issues due to the indiscriminate utilization of pesticides and substances manures. Loss of biodiversity because of the utilization of a decreased number of species which being developed for business purposes. Loss of flexibility qualities when species that develop under explicit nearby natural conditions become wiped out. Loss of valuable harvest-related biodiversity gives environment administrations like fertilization, supplement, cycling and guideline of vermin and sickness episodes. Soil Stalinization, exhaustion of freshwater assets and decrease of water quality because of impractical irrigation rehearses all through the world. Aggravation of soil, physicochemical and natural cycles because of intensive culturing and slice and consuming.
Ranchers apply compost to help crop yields, while herbicides are applied to control weed development. Not the entirety of the compost applied s used by plants as preparation rates surpass crop prerequisites, and inappropriate application strategies are utilized. A portion of the overabundance of synthetics is washed to irrigation waterways during irrigation or precipitation and passed on downstream, while some remains the dirt, infiltrate and dirty the springs in expansion, pesticides that are neither adsorbed nor quickly lost by volatilization, is probably going to be accessible for draining, consequently adding to contamination of groundwater [1]. [2] announced that in the mid-1970s, the general worry with manure and pesticide contamination of waters was restricted, even in created nations.
The social, monetary and natural debacle that has happened in the Aral Sea and its seepage bowl since the 1960sis the world's biggest illustration of how half-baked and clumsy rural practices have crushed a once useful area. Even though there are numerous other impacts on water quality in the area, improper

agrarian practices is the underlying driver of this calamity. All farming s irrigated n this dry region. The Aral Sea bowl includes Southern Russia, Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and part of Kazakhstan, Kirghizstan, Turkmenistan, Afghanistan, and Iran.

EFFECTS ON HUMAN

The connections among pesticides and human wellbeing were suspected as right on time as the 1960s and 1970s US disease transmission specialists noticed a surprising ascent and Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma in spaces of high pesticide use [3]. Various later investigations and audits uncover some basic wellbeing implications of pesticide openness. Among the most discernible medical conditions experienced n an overview, 16% of the respondents revealed irritation the eyes, 21% cerebral pains, 6% tipsiness, 5% skin irritation and 7% heaving in the wake of dealing with pesticides [4]. The interviews further uncovered that 30% of the respondents encountered different wellbeing impacts, with the length of sickness likewise being very critical. Dealer's indicated a normal span of7 hours in terms of eye irritation, 13 hours for migraines and 21 hours for dazedness [4].

Table1-Conceivable human wellbeing perils because of openness to food foreign substances.

	Metals/metalloids
Lead	Complications in the nervous system and red blood cells
	Reduction in cognitive development and intellectual performance
	Death among children
Cadmium	Renal tubular dysfunction, associated with a high risk of lung and breast cancer
	Osteomalacia and osteoporosis
Arsenic	Associatedwithdermal,respiratory,nervous,mutagenic,andcarcinogeniceffects
Nickel	Associated with dermatotoxicity, lower body weight, and fetotoxicity among pregnant women
Mercury	Linked to cardiovascular, reproductive, and developmental toxicity, neurotoxicity, nephrotoxicity,
	Mycotoxins
Aflatoxin	Immunodeficiency
	Aflatoxicosis
	Primary hepatocellular carcinoma
	Liver cirrhosis
Ochratoxin	Nephropathy
Deoxynivalenol	Impaired Intestinal integrity
	The impaired gut-associated immune system
Zearalenone	Hyperestrogenism and reproductive dysfunction
Fumonisins	Esophageal cancer and birth defects
	Antimicrobials
Tetracyclines	Impaired Intestinal flora
Quinolones	Drug-resistant pathogens
Macrolides	Hypersensitivity and anaphylactic shock
Sulfonamides	Kidney damage and nephropathy
	Polycyclicaromatic hydrocarbons(PAHs)
Benzo[a]pyrene	Mutagenicity and carcinogenicity
	DNA damage and oxidative stress
	Impaired male fertility
	Respiratory diseases
	Cognitive dysfunction among children
	Pesticides
Chlorpyrifos	Neurological symptoms
DDTs	Neurological symptoms
	Endocrine disruption
DDT and other OCPs	Infertility and fetal malformation
	Dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls
Dioxins and PCBs	Language delay
	Disturbances mental and motor development
PCBs	Neurological disorders

Source: Modified from[5]

Side effects on parasitoid wasps
Coordinated Pest Management (IPM) programs are utilized worldwide for controlling distinctive farming bugs. The utilization of normal for specialist in blend with chose insecticides, which have no impact on them, s successful n discouraging the populace thickness of the nuisance. By and large, egg parasitoids, for example, Trichogramma have been generally utilized as natural control specialists as detailed by [6], [7] and [8]; who affirmed that 65 – 93% decrease in larval infestations of *Ostrinianubilalis* cornfields was accomplished after Trichogramma discharges in Germany and Switzerland also in Egypt.
Side effects of insecticides on coccinellids
A few investigations have addressed the helplessness of immature and grown-up coccinellids to pirimicarb and pymetrozine, when straightforwardly showered on prey as well as hunters (for example [9]) yet nothings thought about the results of these synthetics on prey/hunter interactions inside tri-trophic frameworks. In this manner, [10] assessed the impacts of pirimicarb and pymetrozine on the veracity of fourth instars hatchlings and grown-ups of *C. undecimpunctata*, under particular situations of openness to synthetic substances inside a prey/plant framework. Unquenchability of *C. undecimpunctata* was not essentially influenced by pirimicarb or pymetrozine when medicines were straightforwardly splashed on the hunter; notwithstanding, when insecticides were showered on the prey/plant framework, the hunter's unquenchability was fundamentally increased. Results recommend that *C. undecimpunctata* doesn't recognize the insecticide on the aphids and indicate that the increase in ravenousness might be because of a lessening n the versatility of insecticide-treated aphids since their catch ought to be simpler than exceptionally portable non-regarded prey as detailed by [10]. The results of such increase in the ravenousness for PM programs are crucial and required n aphid control programs. The social practice that has the best impact on nearby populaces of coccinellids is the utilization of insecticides. Likewise, the best gains might be accomplished through the decrease of poisonous pesticides in coccinellid territories. Insecticides and fungicides can decrease coccinellid populaces. They might have direct or indirect poisonous impacts [11]. Enduring coccinellids may likewise be straightforwardly influenced, e. g. decreases n fruitfulness or life span, or indirectly influenced by the demolition of their food source(s). Grown-ups may scatter from treated regions n Side Effects of insecticides on Natural Enemies and Possibility of Their integrationon Plant Protection Strategies reaction to serious prey decreases or due to insecticide repellence. Pesticides shift generally n their impact on coccinellids, and also, coccinellids differ enormously n their powerlessness to pesticides [12]; [13]; [14]. Botanic insecticides are more secure on regular foes also insect microorganisms as affirmed by many examinations (for example [15],[16],[17],[18]. [19] assessed symptoms of botanicals viz., neem (*Azadirachta indica A. Juss*) leaves (NL), neem seed piece extricate (NSKE), eucalyptus oil (EO) and neem oil (NO) against *aphidophagous coccinellids, Adoniavariegata* (Goeze). The results of neem seed kernel botanicals on the coccinellid recorded the most elevated mortality (73.33%) because of NSKE (10%) trailed by (65.0% mortality) for neem oil (5.0%), and the post-treatment impact (one day after) revealed greatest decrease in taking care of (72.0 %) for NSKE (10%) trailed by that recorded as 68% for neem oil (5%).
Effects on lace wings(*Chrysoperla spp.*)
Spinosadis enrolled in numerous nations including Egypt for controlling lepidopteron and dipterans bothers n natural product trees, decorative plants, field-and vegetable harvests. [20], [21] examined the impact of spinosad on *C. carnea* eggs, pupae, and grown-ups utilizing direct contact and ingestion medicines. As the vast majority of *C. carnea* immature stages don't kick the bucket when presented to sub-lethal dosages, sub-lethal impacts might exist that decrease the viability of *C. carnea* descendants in controlling aphid control [22]. [23]examined poisonousness of spinosad to immature phases of *C. carnea* and its impact on the propagation and endurance of grown-up stages after the direct splash and ingestion medicines. Spinosad was innocuous to *C. carnea* eggs and pupae respective of focuses or strategy for medicines. [23]expressed that oral Side Effects of insecticides on Natural Enemies and Possibility of Their integration n Plant Protection Strategies ingestion of spinosadin fake eating routine came about n quick demise*C. carnea* grown-ups.
Effects on Air
Low relative stickiness and high temperature result in more shower dissipating. The measure of inhalable pesticides in the outside climate s hence frequently subject to the season[24]. Additionally, beads of showered pesticides or particles from pesticides applied as tidies might make a trip on the breeze to different regions, (PRN 2001), or pesticides might hold fast to particles that blow in the breeze, for example, dust particles. [25] Ground showering produces less pesticide float than elevated splashing does. Farmers can utilize a support zone around their harvest, comprising of void land or non-crop plants like evergreen trees to fill in as windbreaks and retain the pesticides, forestalling float into different regions. Such windbreaks are lawfully required in the Netherlands. Pesticides that are applied to harvests

can volatilize and might be passed up breezes into close-by regions, possibly representing a danger to untamed life. Weather conditions at the hour of use just as temperature and relative moistness change the spread of the pesticide in the air. As wind speed increases so do the splash float and openness.

Effect on animals

Pesticides can take out certain creatures' fundamental food sources, making the creatures migrate, change their eating routine or starve. Buildups can go up the evolved way of life; for instance, birds can be hurt when they eat insects and worms that have burned through pesticides. [26], Earthworms digest natural matter and increase supplement content in the top layer of soil. They secure human wellbeing by ingesting disintegrating litter and filling in as bio indicators of soil movement. Pesticides have affected the development and propagation of night crawlers,[27]. A few pesticides can bio accumulate, or move toward poisonous levels in the assortments of creatures that devour them over the long run, a wonder that impacts animal groups high on the evolved way of life particularly hard [26]. A review was directed in 1999 indicated that, following the ethereal splashing cows, calves, goats, fish, bumblebees, mythical beast flies, woman insects, birds and numerous other valuable insects and creatures had been genuinely influenced [28].

Effects on soil health, Beneficial Organisms

Sound soil s fundamental for the creation of yields used to take care of people and animals in expansion to giving a steady base to help plant roots, soil stores water and supplements needed for plant growth. Agricultural creation has made a more prominent ecological change in the biosphere than some other land use [29]. [30]assessed that half of the world's territory s utilized for horticulture and creature creation while just 5% s unmanaged grounds, stops and jams. Horticultural practices add to the consumption of SOC through deforestation and biomass consuming, seepage of wetlands, culturing, crop buildup expulsion, summer neglected, development, and abuse of pesticides and different synthetic compounds [31]. Oil wellbeing and soil quality are terms utilized interchangeably to depict soils that are rich as well as have sufficient physical and organic properties to "support usefulness, keep up with ecological quality and advance plant and creature wellbeing" [32]. Broad utilization of outer agrarian inputs to horticultural creation frameworks prompts soil quality debasement. Natural (carbon-based) contaminations that impact soil quality include pesticides. Pesticides, which are extremely tireless n soil, gradually separate and result in n wellspring of pollution[33]. Soil goes about as channel, support and debasement possibilities concerning the capacity of toxin with the assistance of soil natural carbon [34], however, it is perceived that the specks of dirt an expected pathway of pesticide transport to defile water, air, plants, food and eventually to human through, overflow and sub-surface seepage; interflow and draining; and the exchange of mineral supplements and pesticides from the soil into the plants and creatures that establish the human evolved way of life [35]. A few composts and pesticides are known to contain different degrees of weighty metals, including Cd and Cu [36]. In this way, ceaseless and substantial use of these agrochemicals and other soil alterations might intensify the amassing of weighty metals in farming soils over the long haul [37]. Fungicides for the most part had significantly more prominent consequences for soil living beings than herbicides or insecticides. As these synthetic compounds are applied to control contagious infections, they will likewise influence gainful soil parasites and other soil living beings. Copper-based fungicides were found to have exceptionally critical adverse consequences, which caused long haul decreases of worm populace's n soil [38],[39]. [40]further exhibited huge decreases n microbial biomass, while breath rates were increased, and showed decisively that copper buildups came about n focused on organisms. Organophosphate insecticides (chlorpyrifos, quinalphos, dimethoate, diazinon, and malathion) had a scope of impacts including changes in bacterial and parasitic numbers n soil [41], differed consequences for soil proteins [42],[43], just as decreases in collembolan thickness [44] and worm multiplication [45]. A couple of studies show that some organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides stifle advantageous nitrogen obsession coming about n lower crop yields [46], [47].

Effects on water quality

Albeit the issue is less all around recorded, nitrogen contamination of groundwater shows up additionally to be an issue in non-industrial nation. Nitrate focuses moving toward 40-45 mg N/l n irrigation wells that are found near the intensively developed irrigated paddy fields. Illustrates the variety n N03-N which shows a pinnacle n the *maha* (fundamental) trimming season when rice developing s most intensive in Sri Lanka. Irrigated farming, takes note that water contamination is both a reason and an impact in linkages among agribusiness and human wellbeing.

There are four significant courses through which pesticides arrive at the water: it might float outside of the intended region when it is showered, it might permeate, or drain, through the dirt, it might be conveyed to the water as spillover, or it might be spilled, for instance coincidentally or through disregard. [48] Factors that influence a pesticide's capacity to sully water include water dissolvability, the separation from an application site to a waterway, climate, soil type, presence of a developing yield, and the technique used to apply the compound.

Table 2:Instances of food defilement with various synthetics throughout the planet

Food contaminants	Food	Country
Metals/metalloids		
Deoxynivalenol,zearalenone,T2toxinandHT-2toxin	Wheat, barley, Japanese retail foods	Japan
Pb,Hg	Grains and vegetables	China
Pb,Cd	Livestock organs	Morocco
Pb	Sheep livers	Spain
Pb,Cd,Cu,Zn	Agricultural crops	Romania
Cd	Locally produced foods	Belgium
Tl	Lettuce and chard	Germany
Pb,Cd	Soybean	Argentina
Cu,Zn,Mn,Fe	Fish	Turkey
Mycotoxins		
Aflatoxin, ochratoxin	Wheat flour	China
Fumonisins	Maize	South Africa
Nivalenol	Cereals and cereal products	Tunisia
Aflatoxins	Ground nut oil	Sudan
Antimicrobials		
Antimicrobials	Porkmeat	Madagascar
	Table eggs	Sudan
	Milk	Peru
	Beef	Nigeria
	Meat	Brazil
Polycyclicaromatic hydrocarbons(PAHs)		
Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene	Barbecuedfoods	Sweden
Anthracene Fluoranthene	Yogurt	Italy
19PAHs	Grains,flour,andbran	Poland
TotalPAHs	Oyster	Japan
Pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)		
Chlorpyrifos	Catfish	Australia
	Vegetables	China
	Food plant	Algeria
DDTs and other OCPs	Edible offal Milk Chicken products	Egypt South Africa
	Milk	Ethiopia
	Fish	Mozambique
PCBs and OCPs	Babyfoods	Korea
OCPs and pyrethroids	Honey	Egypt
PCBs and OCPs	Cereals	Poland
PCBs and OCPs	Milk, yak muscle and liver	Tibet Plateau
Radioactive substances		
Radioactive substances	Water and food	Japan
Radionuclides	Seafood	India
Uranium isotopes	Food	Balkans
Radioactive substances	Water and food	Switzerland

Source: Modified from[5]

Ecological and water quality impacts

The salt substance of significant streams surpasses the standard by components of 2-3 tainting of farming items with agro-synthetic substances. Significant degrees of turbidity in significant water sources, significant degrees of pesticides and phenols surface waters. Exorbitant pesticide focuses in air, food items and bosom milk. Loss of soil richness induced climatic changes. Decrease in Aral Sea level by 15.6 m eaters since 1960. Decrease in Aral Sea volume by 69% obliteration of business fishery.

Ecological effects of pesticides

- Bio concentration
- Bio magnification
- Death of the organism.
- Cancers, tumors and lesions on fish and animals.
- Reproductive inhibition or failure.
- Suppression of immune system.
- Disruption of endocrine (hormonal) system.
- Cellular and DNA damage.
- Teratogenic effects (physical deformities such as hooked beaks on birds).
- Poor fish health is marked by low red to white blood cell ratio, excessive slime on fish scales and gills, etc.
- Intergenerational effects (effects are not apparent until subsequent generations of the organism).
- Other physiological effects such as eggshell thinning.

Effect on plants

Pesticides can kill honey bees and are firmly implicated in pollinator decay, the deficiency of species that fertilize plants, including through the component of Colony Collapse Disorder, [49],[50]. In which working drones from a bee colony or western bumble bee settlement suddenly vanish. The use of pesticides on crops that are n sprout can kill bumblebees. Which go about as pollinators? The USDA and USFWS gauge that US ranchers lose essentially $200 million every year from decreased harvest fertilization since pesticides applied to fields wipe out with regards to a fifth of bumblebee states in the US and mischief an extra 15%[51]. Phenoxy herbicides, including 24-D, can injure close by trees and bushes of they float onto leaves [52]. Openness to herbicide glyphosate can seriously lessen seed quality. It can likewise increase the powerlessness of specific plants to illness [53].

The idea for Reducing Agro compound impacts on Wildlife

- Follow all necessities on pesticide item marks.
- Store and discard pesticides appropriately. See instructions on pesticide item name for an item or synthetic explicit instructions.
- Inspect pesticide compartments routinely for breaks and erosion.
- Mix pesticides, clean gear and flush holder’s region where pesticides and wash water can't enter sewers or tempest channels.
- Keep pesticides out of waters and regions close to waters.
- Minimize possible damage to birds, useful insects, and fish by utilizing pesticides just when vital.
- Treat just the particular regions requiring treatment.
- Most insecticides are poisonous to honey bees. When utilizing them outside, apply around evening time when honey bees are not effectively scrounging.
- Read our new naming to improve security for honey bees and discover more information about the warning box.
- Use trap stations for rat goads that are detailed with food (e.g., peanut butter or grain lure) or spot the lure where non-target natural life can't get.

For Farmers

- Follow all necessities on pesticide item marks.
- Keep pesticides out of tempest depletes and drains.
- Consider the attributes of the application site (soil surface, slant, and natural matter) before applying the pesticide.
- Be mindful of the topography and the overall profundity of the groundwater in your region.
- Implement an Integrated Pest Management plan, which utilizes social, mechanical, and organic bug controls where conceivable.
- If a spill happens, contain and tidy immediately.
- Where conceivable, leave a boundary of untreated vegetation between treated regions and regions where untamed life might be available.
- Take care when sowing offered seeds forestall dust that could influence honey bees.
- Follow name precautionary measures intended to ensure pollinators and know about any hives in the region that could be influenced by splashing.
- Read our new naming to improve insurance for honey bees.

CONCLUSIONS

Horticultural manageability requires attention on the since quite a while ago run, on intergenerational value. t should be fit for addressing the necessities of the present while leaving equivalent or better freedoms for what's to come. It should be biologically solid and socially dependable just as monetarily feasible. It should likewise include, however much as could be expected, the component of the neighborhood or territorial creation, and focus on a healthy degree of provincial food security. It energizes a shortening of the distance among makers and shoppers, to the advantage of bothin a neighborhood economy shoppers influence the sort and nature of their food; they add to the 30 insecticides - Development of Safer and More Effective Technologies protection and upgrade of the nearby scene. Natural ranchers attempt to cultivate comprehensively - that is, they plan creation frameworks that exploit the positive collaborations among crops, soils, seeds, and animals, n such a way that every component of the framework advances the usefulness and strength of different components. The foundation of Egypt to Germany's new horticultural approaches will be manageability. There are demonstrated options in contrast to this costly horticulture framework: ranchers know about and as of now treating soils and ensuring crops with natural and maintainable strategies that work with nature, not against t, and can give food to all. Luckily, numerous ranchers are deciding to utilize feasible rural procedures like preservation culturing, crop pivot, and natural preparation n request to ensure our significant soil assets.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There is no financial support and personal assistance for this work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest

REFERENCES

1. Briggs, D. and Coortney, F. (1989) *Agriculture and Environment*. Longman, UK., Buchholz, S. and Kreuels, M. (2009). Diversity and distribution of spiders (Arachnida:Araneae) n dry ecosystems of North Rhine-Westphalia (Germany). *Arachnol Mitt,* 38: 8-27.
2. Zeid, M. A. and Biswas, A. K. (1990). Impacts of agriculture on water quality. *Water no.* 15: 160–167.
3. Gupta, A. (2012). Pesticide use n South and South-East Asia: Environmental Public Health and Legal Concerns. *Americ. J. of Env. Sci.* 8 (2): 152-157.
4. Dasgupta, S. and C. Meisner, (2005). Pesticide traders' perception of health risks: evidence from Bangladesh. *World Bank Policy Research*, working paper 3777.
5. Thompson, L. A. and Darwish, W. S. (2019) Environmental Chemical Contaminants in Food: Review of a Global Problem. *Journal of Toxicology*, 32 (1): 260-274. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2345283.
6. Hassan, N.A. (1982). Mass production and utilization of *Trichogramma*. 3 results of some research projects related to the practical use n the Federal Republic of Germany.`*Les Trichogramma. Colleagues NRA,* 9: 213:218.
7. Bigler, F. (1984). Mass production and field application of *Trichogramma maidis* Pintureau & Voegele against the European corn borer in Switzerland. *Abstract of XVII inter Cong Ent. Hamburg, Germany,* pp: 788-796.
8. El-Wakeil, N.E. (2003) New aspects of biological control of *Helicoverpa armigera* n organic cotton production. *PhD dissertation n Goettingen University, Germany* 140 pages; Fulltext n http://webdoc.sub.gwdg.de /diss/2003/el-wakeil/index.html.
9. James, D.G. (2003). Pesticides susceptibility of two Coccinellids (*Stethorus punctum picipes* and *Harmonia axyridis)* important n biological control of mites and aphids n Washington hops. *Bio cont Sci-Tech* 13:253–259.
10. Cabral, S. Soares, A.O. and Garcia, P. (2011). Voracity of Coccinella in decimpunctata: Effects of insecticides when foraging n a prey/ plant system. *J Pest Sci.,*84: 373–379.
11. DeBach, P. and Rosen, D. (1991). Biological control by natural enemies, *Cambridge University Press*, *Cambridge*.
12. Polonsky, J., Bhatnagar, S.C., Griffiths D.C., Pickett J.A. and Woodcock, C.M. (1989). Activity of quassinoids as antifeedants against aphids. *J Chem Ecol* 15: 993-998.
13. Lewis, W.J., Stapel, J.O., Cortesero, A.M. and Takasu, K. (1998). Understanding how parasitoids balance food and host needs: importance to biological control. *Biol Cont* 11: 175– 183.
14. Decourtye, A. & Pham-Delegue, M.H. (2002). The probosci's extension response: Assessing the sublethal effects of pesticides on the honeybee, *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp:* 67-84.
15. Ofuya, T.I. (1997). Effect of some plant extracts on two coccinellid predators of the cowpea aphid, *Aphis craccivora* (Aphididae). *Biocontrol* 42: 277-282.
16. Schmutterer, H. (1997). Side effects of neem (*Azadirchta ndica*) products on insect pathogens and natural enemies of spider mites and insects. *J Appl Entomol* 121: 121-128.
17. Simmonds, M.S.J., Manlove, J.D., Blaney, W.M. and Khambay, B.P.S. (2000). Effect of botanical insecticides on the foraging and feeding behavior of the coccinellid predator *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri*. *Phytoparasitica* 28: 2 -9.
18. Smitha, M.S. and Giraddi, R.S. (2006) Safety of pesticide sprays to natural enemies in *Capsicum annum* (L.). *J Biol Cont,* 20: 7-12.

19. Swaminathan, R., Jat, H. and Hussain, T. (2010) Side effects of a few botanicals on the aphidophagous coccinellids. *J Biopesticides* 3 (1 Special issue): 81– 84.
20. Medina, P., Budia, F., Smagghe, G. and Vinuela, E. (2001). Activity of spinosad, diflubenzuron and azadirachtin on eggs and pupae of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) under laboratory conditions. *Bio control Sci. Technol.* 11: 597–610.
21. Medina, P., Smagghe, G., Budia, F., Tirry, L. and Vinuela, E. (2003a). Toxicity and absorption of azadirachtin, diflubenzuron, pyriproxyfen, and tebufenozide after direct spray n predatory larvae of *Chrysoperla carnea*. *Environ Entomol* 32: 196–203.
22. Desneux, N., Decourtye, A. and Delpuech, J.M. (2007). The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. *Annu Rev. Entomol,* 52: 81–106.
23. Mandour, N.S. (2009). influence of spinosad on immature and adult stages of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Bio Control* 54:93–102.
24. Damalas, C. A. and Eleftherohorinos. G. (2011). Pesticide Exposure, Safety Issues, and Risk Assessment Indicators. *Int. J. of Env. Res. and Pub. Health*. 8 (12): 1402–1419.
25. Anonymous (2007a). Spray and dust drift label statements for pesticide products. (https://www.epa.gov/ pesticide-registration/prn-2001-x-draft-spray-and-dust-drift-label statements-pesticide-products). *Pesticide Registration*, Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Retrieved, 2007: 09-19.
26. Pedersen, T.L. (1997). Pesticide residues in drinking water (http://extoxnet.orst.edu/faqs/safedrink/pest.htm). extoxnet.orst.edu. Retrieved on September 15, 2007.
27. Yasmin, S. and Desouza, D. (2010). Effects of Pesticides on the Growth and Reproduction of Earthworm: A Review. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*. 42: 1–9.
28. Sultana, P. and N. Nobukazu. 2001. An analysis of pesticide use for rice pest management in Bangladesh. J. of no. Dev. Coop., 8(1): 107-126.
29. Gliessman, S.R (1998). Agroecology: Ecological processes in sustainable agriculture. *Ann Arbor Press, Chelsea, MI.*
30. Pimental, D. Stachow, U. Takacs, D.A. Brubaker, J.W. Dumas, A.R. Meaney, J.J. O'Niel, J.A.S. Onsi, D.E. and Corzilius. D.B. (1992). Conserving biological diversity n agricultural and forestry systems. *Bioscience,* 42: 354-362.
31. Lal, R. (2002). Soil carbon dynamics n cropland and rangeland. *Environmental Pollution*, 116, 353-362.
32. Doron, J. W. (1994). Defining soil quality for a sustainable environment, *Madison, Wis. Soil Science Society of America.*
33. Stephenson, G.A. and Solomon, K.R.(1993) Pesticides and the Environment. Department of Environmental Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada.
34. Burauel, P. and Bassmann, F. (2005). Soils as a filter and buffer for pesticides: Experimental concepts to understand soil functions, *Environ Pollut.,* 133: 11–6.
35. Abrahams, P.W. (2002). Soils: their implications to human health, *Sci. Total Environ*. 291:1–32.
36. Kabata-Pendias, A. Pendias, H.(1992). Trace Elements n Soils and Plants, 2nded. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
37. Siamwalla, A. (1996). Agricultural sustainability n rapidly industrializing Asian economies. integration of Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Development in Agricultural Policy, FAO/Winrock international.
38. Van Zwieten, L., Rust, J., Kingston, T., Merrington, G. and Morris, S. (2004). Influence of copper fungicide residues on the occurrence of earthworms n avocado orchard soils, The Science of the Total Environment 329, 2004, 29–41.
39. Loureiro, S., Soares, A.M.V.M. and Nogueira, A.J.A. (2005). Terrestrial avoidance behavior tests as a screening tool to assess soil contamination. *Environmental Pollution* 138, 121–131.
40. Merrington, G., Rogers, S.L. and Zwieten, L.V.(2002). The potential impact of long-term copper fungicide usage on soil microbial biomass and microbial activity n an avocado orchard. Australian Journal of Soil Research 40, 749–759.
41. Pandey, S. and Singh, D.K. (2004). Total bacterial and fungal population after chlorpyrifos and quinalphos treatments in groundnut (ArachishypogaeaL.) soil. Chemosphere 55: 197–205.
42. Menon, P. Gopal, M. and Parsad, R. (2005). Effects of chlorpyrifos and quinalphos on dehydrogenase activities and reduction of Fe+ n the soils of two semi-arid fields of tropical India. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 108: 73–83.
43. Singh, J. and Singh, D.K. (2004). Dehydrogenase and phosphomonoesterase activities n the groundnut (ArachishypogaeaL.) field after diazinon, imidacloprid and lindane treatments. Chemosphere 60, 2005, 32–42.
44. Endlweber, K., Schadler, M. and Scheu, S. (2005). Effects of foliar and soil insecticide applications on the collembolan community of an early set-aside arable field. *App. Soil Ecology*, 8: 136–146.
45. Panda, S. and Sahu, S.K. (1999). Effects of malathion on the growth and reproduction of Drawidawillsi(Oligochaeta) under laboratory conditions. *Soil Bio. & Biochem.* 31: 363–366.
46. Fox, J.E., Gulledge, J., Engelhaupt, E., Burow, M.E. and McLachlan, J.A. (2007). Pesticides reduce the symbiotic efficiency of nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and host plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 104 (24): 10282-10287.
47. Potera, C. (2007) Agriculture: Pesticides Disrupt Nitrogen Fixation. Environ Health Perspect, 115(12).
48. Papendick, R.I., Elliott, L.F. and Dahlgren, R.B. (1986). Environmental consequences of modern production agriculture: How can alternative agriculture address these issues and concerns? *Amer. Jour. of Alter. Agri.* 1 (1): 3–10.
49. Hackenberg, D. (2007). Letter from David Hackenberg to American growers. https://web.archive. org/web/20070614121809/.

50. Haefeker, W. (2000). "Betrayed and sold out – German bee monitoring" (http://www.beekeeping.com/articles/us/german_bee_monitoring.html.)
51. George T.M. (2004). *Sustaining the Earth: An Integrated Approach* (https://books.google.com/books?id=0GMCPwAACAAJ).
52. Dreistadt, S.H., J.K. Clark and M.L. Flint. (1994). An integrated pest management guide. *University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources,* Pests of landscape trees and shrubs.
53. Brammall, R.A. and V.J. Higgins (1988). The effect of glyphosate on resistance of tomato to Fusarium crown and root rot disease and the formation of host structural defensive barriers. *Can. J. Bot.*, 66: 1547-1555.

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

V Nagar, M Kumar, M. P. Gautam and G K Singh. A detailed review on Agrochemicals and their Effect on the environment and individual. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 10[10] September 2021 : 71-79.