

Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology & Life Sciences

Volume 1, Issue 1, December 2011: 73 - 75 Journal's URL: www.bepls.com [Accepted 18 December 2011]

A New Species of the Cestode *Echenibothrium benedeni* N.SP *Rhynchobatus djeddensis* at Panji, Goa state, (M.S. INDIA)

A.N. Mote and D.D.Khamkar

Department of zoology, Sant. Ramdas Arts, science & commerce college, Ghansawangi Dist. Jalna Department of Zoology Arts, Commerce and Science College, Badnapur, Dist. Jalna

ABSTRACT

The present communication deals with the description new species of genus Echeneibothrium benedeni n.sp. comes closer to E. variabile Benden, 1850. E. fallax Benden, 1875, E. flexile Linton, 1890, E. macrascum Riser, 1955, E. mutiloculatum carvajal and Dailey, 1974, & E. simtti Shinde & Jadhav, 1981 on the basis of number of loculi on each bothridium, however it differs from them in number of loculi; number shape vitellaria . and position of genital pores.

Key worlds: - Marine fish, cestode, echeneibothrium.

INTRODUCTION

The genus Echeneibothrium erected, by Beneden, 1850, its type species E. benedeni. Later on 43 species are added to this genus by various workers in the world. The present description of new species, Echeneibothrium benedeni n.sp [1-2].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ten species, cestode parasites, collected from spiral valve, Rhynchobatus djeddensis. All flattened, preserved 4% formalin, stained with Harris haematoxylene, passed through various alcoholic grades, whole mount slides, prepared for anatomical studies. Drawings made with the help of camera lucida. Measurements in MM.

DESCRIPTION

The scolex large, distinctly marked off from strobila, large, globular, bothridia, short peduncle, 1.426-1.439 x 0.985 1.417; bothridia 4, large, oval, stalked, petal like, each bothridium, single, longitudinal septum, many transverse septa, forming 2 rows, loculi, each row with 16 loculi & single small loculum, each end. (Total 16+16+2-34), 1.137 -1.426 x 0.606 - 0.856; neck short, broad anteriorly, narrow posteriorly, highly muscular, 0.454 - 0.705 x 0.159 - 0,447; mature segments broader than long, 0.282 -0.340 x 0.461 - 0.476; testes small, oval, 18-22 (20), central medulla, distributed in two lateral fields, posterior half of segment, 10 poral, 10 aporal, evenly distributed, 0.015-0.019 x 0.015-0.024; cirrus pouch large, oval, towards anterior margin segments, 0.267 x 0.067 - 0.102; cirrus thin, slightly coiled, within, cirrus pouch, 0.252 x 0.010; vas deferens thin, towards anterior side of segment, enlarges to form external seminal vesicle, 0.131 x 0.005-0.010; external seminal vesicle medium, elongated, oval, anterior cirrus pouch, 0.160 x 0.039 - 0.049; ovary medium, distinctly bilobed, dumb-bell shaped, poral lobe slightly longer than aporal, connected by isthmus, near posterior margin of segment, 0.267-0.335 x 0.102-0.116; isthmus wide; vagina starts from genital pore, to the centre of segments, turns towards posterior side, middle of segments, reaches opens into ootype, 0.383 x 0.010-0.015; ootype medium, oval, post-ovarian, near posterior margin of segments, in aporal half of the segments, 0.029 x 0.029-0.034; genital pores small, oval, marginal, posterior to middle of the segments, unilateral, 0.053 x 0.024; vitellaria granular, thin strips, in corticular region of the segments, on each lateral side, anterior to posterior margin of the segments, longitudinal excretory canals medium, 0.010 in width.


Type species - *Echeneibothrium benedeni* n.sp, Host - *Rkyncobatus djeddensis* cantor, 1851, Habitat - Spiral valve, Locality - Panji, Goa, (West coast of India) India. Date of collection - 21 st January, 1991 [3-9].

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The worm under discussion differs in having number of loculi (34 loculi i.e. 16 pairs + 2) from E. variabile (34 vs. 10), *E. fallax* (34 vs. 18), E. flexile (34 vs. 40), E. macrascum (34 loculi vs. 10 loculi, in 4 pairs, arranged in longitudinal series and rest of two each one on either side of series), in position of

Mote and Khamkar

genital pores from *E. variabile* (Marginal, just posterior to middle of segment vs. at 1/3rd from anterior margin of the segments), E. fallax (marginal, just posterior to middle of segments vs. at about middle of segments), E. flexile (marginal, just posterior to middle of segment vs.at about middle of segment, regularly alternate), E. macraseum (marginal, just posterior to middle of segments vs. in middle of margin of the segments) and E. smitii (marginal, just posterior to middle of segments vs. at about middle of margin) and E. multiloculatum (34 loculi vs. 20 loculi, in 9 pairs and rest of two each one on either side of series). E. smitii (34 vs. 17 loculi, in a row) further differs in number & shape of testes from E. variabile (18-22 (20) vs. 28); E. macrascum (18-22 (20) vs. 20); E. multiloculatum (18-22 (20) vs. 17, round to oblong & E. smitti (18-22 (20) vs. 22-24), further it differs in shdpe of ovary E. variabile (Distinctly bilobed, dumb-bell shaped vs. 'FT shaped), E. fallax (Distinctly bilobed, dumb-bell vs. 'H' shaped), E. flexile (Distinctly bilobed, dumb-bell shaped vs. 'U' shaped), E. macrascum (Distinctly bilobed, dumb-bell shaped vs. 4M' shaped) & from E. smitii (Distinctly bilobed, dumb-bell shaped vs. 'H' shaped); further present cestode differs in shape of vitellaria from E. variabile (Granular, thin strips, corticular vs. follicular, in 3 rows), E. fallax (Granular, thin strips, corticular vs. follicular, in 2 rows), E. flexile (Granular, thin strips, corticular vs. follicular, at the sides of testicular fields,in a single row), E. macrascum (Granular, thin strips, corticular vs. (small follicles, on either side of testicular fields & ovary), E. multiloculatum (Granular, thin strips, corticular vs. follicular, in 1-2 rows), and E. smitii (Granular, thin strips vs. in corticular parenchyma). The above distinct distinguishing characters, as noted aboave, justify the recognization of these worms, into a new species and hence the name Echeneibothrium benedeni n.sp. is proposed after Shri Beneden, who has contributed in the erection of this genus in 1950 [7,9,10-13].



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are grateful to Prof & Head, Department of Zoology Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad for providing laboratory facilities.

REFERENCES

- 1. Campbell, R.A.(1970). Notes on Tetraphyllidean cestodes from the Atlantic coast of N. America, with description of two new species. J. parassit, 56, (3): 498-508.
- 2. Campbell, R,A. (1977).New Tetraphyllidean and Trypanorhyncha cestode from deep sea skates in the Western North Atlantic. Proc.Hel.Soc. Washin., 44 (2), 192-197 (En) Dept. of Biol. South Estern Mass. Univ. North Dart, Morth, Mass 02747, USA.
- 3. Comfort, £.M. (1974). Two Tertraphyllidean cestodes from Hawaiian stingrays. J. parait, 60 (6): 942-948.
- 4. Euzet, L.(1950). One espese novelle d' Echeneibothrium van Ben, 1950. Bull. Soc. Neucholt, SC. Nat. 79: 39-4 L
- 5. Jadhav, B.V. & Shinde, G.B.(1990). Redescription of Echeneibothrium hexila Linton, obtained from spiral valve of Trygon sephen Cuvier, Biol. Sci. J. Vol.11, N-4:71-73.
- 6. Subramaniam, K. (1998). On a new species Echeneibothrium from Rhinobatus granulatus, cuv. Roc. India, mun, 42(3): 457-464.
- 7. William, H.H. (1963). Observations of Echeneibothrium from various species of Raja. Parassit, 53 (3/9): 9.
- 8. William, H.A. (1966). Functional morphology and Taxonomy of Echeneibothrium Benden, 1849. A revision of genus and comment of Diacobothrium. parassit, 56(2): 227-285.

Mote and Khamkar

- Yong, R.T. (1955) Two new species of Echeneibothrium from ray Urabatis halleri. Tr. Am. Micr. Sci. 74 (3): 232 234.
- 10. Yong, R.T. (1956). A review of the cestode genus Echeneibothrium *J. wah. Acad Sci.* 56(8): 255-256.
- 11. Yamaguti, s. (1934) studies on the helminth fauma of Japan. Cestodes of fishes vol. 4
 12. Bray, R.A. (2001). Cestoda, in: Costello M.J. et al. (Ed) (2001). European register of marine species: a check-list of the marine species in Europe and a bibliography of guides to their identification. Collection patrimonies Naturels, 50: pp. 146.149
- 13. The ecology, functional morphology and taxonomy of Echeneibothrium Beneden, 1849 (Cestoda: Tetraphyllidea), a revision of th genus and comments on Discobothrium Beneden, 1870, pseudantho-bothrium Baer, 1956, and phormobothrium Alexander, 1963. H. Harford Willams, parasitology/ volume56/ Issue 02, pp 227-286, published online: 06 April 2009.