Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences
Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 15 [6] May 2026. 09-14

Online ISSN 2277-1808
Journal's URL: http://www.bepls.com
CODEN: BEPLAD

REVIEW ARTICLE **OPEN ACCESS**

Photon-Counting CT for Coronary Artery Disease: Diagnostic Accuracy and Radiation Dose Reduction Compared to Conventional CT Angiography

Rumisa Yaqoob[1], Zeba Shamim[2], Anita Devi[3], Mansi Gaud[4], Kajol Kritika[5], *Mohd Abdullah Siddiqui[6].
[1-6]Department of Paramedical Sciences, Jamia Hamdard University, New Delhi
*Corresponding author: Mohd Abdullah Siddiqui
Email Id : mas15sep@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
The medical field requires precise and reliable non-invasive imaging techniques that enable doctors to identify early stage coronary artery disease and assess its danger to patients who experience this condition which remain Coronary CT angiography (CCTA) serves as a critical diagnostic tool for identifying suspected CAD because it demonstrates high sensitivity. The test results provide doctors with high certainty to rule out the disease because the test shows a negative predictive value that exceeds 95 percent. The standard CCTA method which employs energy-integrating detectors in computed tomography encounter certain limitations because of its ability to produce images with moderate detail and its tendency to create picture imperfections and its challenges in using optimal radiation doses for patients who have stents or extensive coronary calcification. Photon-counting CT is a sophisticated imaging approach, which counts the X-ray photons and detects their energy. This advanced detector design eliminates electronic interference while it enhances the ability to see spatial details. The technology optimizes both image quality and patient radiation exposure because of its superior radiation dose efficiency. PCCT demonstrates high potential for functional assessment and detailed plaque identification and seamless operation with AI-powered image analysis systems. The scientific foundations of PCCT are studied for their impact on diagnostic performance and cutting down on the radiation exposure as compared to standard 263 the EID CT Systems. Its clinical applications and future research areas are explored in the study.
Keywords: *Photon-counting computed tomography; coronary artery disease; Coronary computed tomography angiography; Diagnostic performance; Radiation dose reduction; Cardiac imaging*

Received 02.02.2026 Revised 20.03.2026 Accepted 01.04.2026

INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease is believed to be of critical medical importance because it ranks among the primary reasons that people become ill and die throughout the world. An early and precise diagnosis of coronary artery disease establishes the foundation which healthcare professionals need to choose treatment methods that will lead to better patient results [1]. Medical professionals currently find non-invasive cardiac imaging to be an essential tool which modern medicine requires to operate effectively. The healthcare sector currently advises doctors to use coronary computed tomography angiography as the primary imaging method for patients with suspected stable coronary artery disease because of its high sensitivity and its ability to correctly identify negative cases and its widespread availability [2, 3].

Energy-integrating detector computed tomography (EID-CT) serves as primary method for conducting most CCTA examinations. The method demonstrates clinical effectiveness yet contains multiple operational limitations. EID-CT systems experience performance issues because of beam-hardening effects and picture noise and low spatial resolution and calcium blooming artifacts [4]. The current situation poses a formidable challenge to evaluating coronary artery stenosis in patients with coronary stent & narrow blood vessels and extensive coronary calcium deposits [5]. The existing limitations will lead to false assessments about disease severity which will result in unnecessary invasive coronary angiography procedures [6]. The EID-CT system requires higher radiation levels to deliver high-quality images which creates safety issues because younger patients who require multiple scans will experience cumulative radiation exposure.

Photon-counting computed tomography represents new technological development which addresses existing technical constraints. The energy detection capabilities of photon-counting detectors enable them

to identify single X-ray photons through their unique energy signatures and tracking them in real time. The advantages of this method are clear: intrinsic spectral imaging is made possible, dosage efficiency is increased, and dual-energy scans are no longer necessary. PCCT technology achieves superior material separation and higher resolution and contrast-to-noise ratio compared with conventional CT systems.
The advantages of this method provide significant benefits for coronary artery imaging. The initial study findings demonstrate that PCCT-based CCTA provides better arterial segment assessment which includes both stented and heavily calcified segments. The system achieves effective calcium blooming artifact reduction which enables improve visualization of both the coronary lumen and plaque distribution. Curtailed irradiation results in clear diagnostic image quality because PCCT's advanced photon detection capabilities to create better imaging results.[10] PCCT serves as an effective non-invasive assessment method for CAD because it delivers better diagnostic results with reduced radiation needs. The technologies need to be analyzed through existing data because they are becoming accessible to the general public. The review will assess multiple research studies about PCCT in CAD using its upcoming clinical applications and its ability to diagnose patients and create medical images and decrease radiation exposure as measurement standards.

FUNDAMENTALS OF CT PHOTON-COUNTING TECHNOLOGY

Fundamental operation of photon-counting detectors - PCCT systems use a completely different detector design when compared to Energy-integrating detector computed tomography systems. Energy-integrating detector computed tomography systems use a scintillator to transform incoming X-ray photons into visible light, which scientists then convert into an electrical signal. This indirect conversion detector system measures all integrated energy that it receives. The process generates electrical noise, which results in decreased spatial resolution and reduced dose efficiency. The PCCT systems use direct-conversion semiconductor detectors which operate with cadmium telluride and cadmium zinc telluride materials to transform x ray photons into electrical signals. The detectors register all incoming photons while measuring their energy levels [7, 8].

Differences between Photon Counting and Energy Integrating Detectors. - PCCT enhances contrast-to-noise ratio performance while it successfully eliminates electronic noise through its method of counting individual photons instead of measuring total energy deposition. The system achieves superior imaging results because its improved dosage efficiency enables high-quality imaging with reduced radiation usage compared to standard methods. Photon-counting detectors achieve better spatial resolution because their direct-conversion design enables them to create much smaller detector pixels [4]. The advanced spatial accuracy provided by this technology serves as a vital requirement for coronary artery imaging which demands precise measurement of plaque details and small branch structures.

Energy discrimination and spectral imaging capability - PCCT has a major benefit because it includes spectral imaging as a standard feature. The system achieves its capability to measure multiple energy wavelengths through single acquisition because it tracks the energy value of every separate photon. The system performs tissue characterization and material decomposition through its basic energy discrimination capacity which makes specialized dual-energy scanning methods unnecessary. The system during CCTA provides better assessment capabilities for highly calcified plaques and stents because it decreases the impact of beam-hardening effects while minimizing calcium blooming artifacts [11, 9]. The system achieves improved diagnostic accuracy and lower radiation exposure in early clinical PCCT studies through its combination of direct photon detection and elimination of electronic noise and its enhanced spatial resolution and inherent spectral imaging features [12]

COMPARISON BETWEEN PHOTON-COUNTING CT AND CONVENTIONAL CCTA

Direct Photon Detection vs. Indirect photon detection - The two imaging techniques CCTA and PCCT show their fundamental differences through their methods of radiation dose application and their image production processes and their detector development methods. EID-CT generates electronic noise while it transforms x ray photons into visible light while generating an electrical signal which causes system to lose individual photon energy information. PCCT has the capability to capture energy spectra of single photons which it subsequently counts. The technical differences between the two systems lead to PCCT achieving better image quality and cardiovascular imaging results through its more effective radiation dosage management system [8, 12].

Spatial resolution and noise characteristics- PCCT's superior spatial resolution together with its clinical capability to detect cardiac diseases establishes itself as the most beneficial method when compared to traditional CCTA methods. The use of photon-counting detectors allows clinicians to visualize coronary arteries together with their distant branches because these detectors maintain signal quality through their small detector components. This ultra-high spatial resolution enables precise coronary lumen measurements for patients who have both complex anatomical structures and narrow arterial widths. EID-CT systems produce lower-resolution images because their larger detector diameters and scintillator light dispersion create fundamental performance limitations [10, 4].

Image Quality and Artifact Reduction -The system uses PCCT to minimize noise and artifacts which results in improved image quality. The artery lumen becomes obscured by beam-hardening and calcium blooming aberrations which make it harder to evaluate stenosis severity in highly calcified blood vessels. These problems affect standard CCTA operations. PCCT enables a detailed examination of calcified plaques and stents and stenosis through its spectral imaging and advanced energy discrimination which reduce system errors [11, 9].

Radiation Dose Efficiency and Image Quality Trade-off - The two modalities show their main difference through their capacity to transfer radiation dosages to patients. PCCT systems achieve better contrast-to-noise ratios through their superior photon detection capabilities and their lack of electronic noise. Multiple studies have demonstrated that PCCT-based CCTA significantly reduces patient radiation exposure while preserving or potentially enhancing the quality of diagnostic images in comparison to EID –CT. Standard CCTA procedure requires operators to increase tube current and voltage settings when they treat difficult patients because their current settings do not produce adequate results through the existing noise suppression methods [10, 13]. The system enables users to obtain safe and accurate non-invasive CAD assessments which serve as excellent diagnostic tools for patients with high calcification levels and stents who need continuous medical imaging [14].

ASSESSMENT PRECISION OF PHOTON COUNTING CT IN CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE

Obstructive CAD detection- The PCCT system demonstrates better diagnostic accuracy for obstructive CAD detection because it achieves better control of image artifacts and produces lower image noise and maintains ultra-high resolution capabilities. The PCCT-based CCTA system detects obstructive coronary artery stenosis with equivalent or better sensitivity and specificity than EID-CT according to initial clinical studies which utilized invasive coronary angiography as their reference standard.[10] PCCT serves as an extremely dependable method to confirm or disprove the presence of severe CAD according to the provided information.

Evaluation of coronary stenosis severity- The accurate assessment of coronary stenosis severity establishes the appropriate treatment path for patients. The PCCT system shows enhanced lumen assessment capabilities because it solves two major problems that normally cause CCTA systems to display false results. The system enables users to assess lumen narrowing more accurately because it provides better results for cases that involve intermediate-grade stenosis which create treatment decision-making difficulties. Research contrasting PCCT with traditional CT shows better agreement with invasive angiography which lowers false-positive results and avoids needless follow-up testing.[9,15]

Evaluation in substantially calcified arteries- The standard CCTA procedure has a fundamental limitation because it cannot function in situations where patients have substantial coronary artery calcification. PCCT technology decreases beam-hardening effects and blooming effects through its inherent spectrum imaging capabilities and its ability to achieve high spatial resolution. The clinical studies demonstrate that PCCT improves the accuracy of coronary calcium scoring which helps to diagnose challenging patients. The method enables radiologists to differentiate between pseudo-stenosis caused by artifacts and actual luminal narrowing through its ability to pinpoint the border between calcium and iodine contrast.[16]

Comparison with invasive coronary angiography -The evaluation for in-stent restenosis through traditional CCTA examination faces significant challenges because of its metallic artifacts and inadequate spatial resolution. The smaller detector pixels of PCCT technology enable better observation of both the in-stent lumen and the stent struts. Preliminary research shows that PCCT outperforms EID-CT in diagnosing stented segments which reduces the need for invasive tests.[11,17] PCCT enables advanced plaque evaluation together with its ability to detect stenosis. The system achieves precise identification of plaque types through its combined capability of spectral data enhancement and enhanced measurement of the contrast to noise ratio. Feature assists discovering dangerous plaque features associated with serious heart problems. PCCT demonstrates important extra diagnostic capacity through its advanced CCTA capabilities which assess complete CAD risk, although complete outcome data is not yet ready.[8] PCCT provides exceptional diagnostic accuracy which enables doctors to treat patients who have severe calcification as well as multi vessel disease and prior stent placement.[14]

RADIATION DOSE CONSIDERATIONS

Radiation Dose Metrics in CCTA- CCTA needs its radiation safety procedures to focus on protecting patients because CAD patients require multiple imaging tests throughout their lives. CCTA radiation exposure assessment uses three standard metrics which include the measurement of volume CT dose index,dose length product and effective dose. The sector seeks better technologies which will protect patients while maintaining their diagnostic performance despite current advancements in EID scanner technology and dose optimization methods which now lower patient exposure.[6]

Dose efficiency of photon-counting detectors- The research findings demonstrate that PCCT provides better radiation dose efficiency in comparison to conventional EID-CT systems. Photon counting detectors operate by converting x ay photons into electrical signals and simultaneously minimizing electrical noise, which allows them to maximize their detection capabilities. PCCT systems achieve excellent contrast-to-noise ratios through their ability to operate effectively with minimal radiation requirements for optimal performance. The study shows that PCCT maintains diagnostic imaging capabilities when both tube current and voltage settings are reduced beyond their normal operational limits.[18,13] Research shows that studies show a 20% to 50% decrease in radiation dosage when compared to EID-CT. The extent of dose reductions depends on the specific scanner equipment and the scanning methods used. The high efficiency of photon-counting detectors at detecting extremely low radiation levels makes these advancements crucial for people who weigh between low and moderate body mass indices.[10]

Virtual Non-Contrast Reconstruction and Intrinsic Spectral Imaging-The spectral capabilities of PCCT allow it to reduce its total radiation exposure. PCCT can provide virtual non-contrast (VNC) pictures by capturing energy-resolved data during a single contrast-enhanced scan. The procedure of coronary calcium scoring does not need separate authentic non-contrast imaging. Clinicians can achieve diagnostic accuracy while reducing total radiation exposure through the application of energy discrimination instead of multiple scanning procedures.[8,19]

Optimizing Radiation Dose in High-Risk Populations- PCCT provides special benefits for patient groups that usually make dose optimization more difficult, like those with high heart rates or significant calcification. The advanced detection system of PCCT maintains diagnostic image quality because it produces reduced noise during operations with extreme dose thresholding. The ability to perform PCCT as a follow-up imaging method makes it the ideal choice for patients with established CAD who require multiple imaging sessions to monitor their treatment progress.[9] PCCT technology helps doctors achieve better diagnostic outcomes while maintaining compliance with ALARA operational requirements for radiation safety. [14] The standardization of low-dose protocols requires additional multicenter research to establish their long-term clinical advantages.

PROSPECTS FOR RESEARCH AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Imaging procedure standardization -The clinical implementation of PCCT as a tool for CAD assessment will experience rapid growth according to current predictions. The establishment of PCCT as the gold standard for coronary angiography requires organizations to implement strict standardization procedures for their imaging techniques. Institutions operate with such distinct acquisition and reconstruction settings that researchers now face challenges in conducting valid cross-study examinations of their work[20] Standardized protocols for radiation dosage and diagnostic performance and picture quality assessment require extensive multicenter trials that involve multiple patient demographics.

Integration with artificial intelligence- The connection between AI and PCCT technology represents one of the most exciting research areas in cardiovascular studies. AI systems can enhance PCCT imaging results at minimal radiation exposure by employing deep learning techniques and advanced motion correction and noise reduction methods. Machine learning methods will streamline the diagnostic process by automating three tasks which include coronary segmentation and stenosis measurement and plaque characterization. The application of AI analysis to high-resolution PCCT datasets will significantly enhance the diagnostic benefits of CCTA.[21,22] Future research must study PCCT's role in evaluating advanced plaque assessment methods. The spectral capacity of the modality permits scientists to precisely differentiate between lipid-rich and fibrous and calcified tissue, which enables them to identify vulnerable plaque characteristics that lead to sudden coronary events. The researchers need to conduct extended studies to determine whether the new plaque identification methods lead to better clinical results and patient risk assessment [23].

Large multicenter clinical trial- The current research investigates functional myocardial performance assessment through the use of PCCT technology. The initial findings show that PCCT technology achieves superior spectral and spatial resolution which results in improved CT-based measurements of fractional flow reserve and evaluations of dynamic myocardial perfusion .Clinical application of PCCT-based functional assessments requires extensive validation through large-scale trials which must use invasive reference standards for testing [24].

Effect on clinical recommendations and decision-making -The practical value of PCCT can be determined through clinical impact studies and health economics assessments. The PCCT scanners have higher initial costs when compared to traditional EID-CT systems. However, the PCCT scanners provide multiple benefits which include reduced radiation exposure and decreased non-diagnostic scans and elimination of unnecessary invasive catheterizations because of their superior diagnostic accuracy. The upcoming study needs to evaluate three aspects of PCCT technology which are cost-effectiveness and clinical decision-making impact and patient outcome longevity to establish evidence for its international cardiology recommendation inclusion [25].

Conclusion

Photon-Counting computed tomography (PCCT) offers enhanced technological and clinical advantages that surpass the capabilities of conventional energy –integrating detector CT systems in the field of cardiovascular imaging. The evaluation of coronary artery disease through PCCT results in improved image quality together with enhanced diagnostic accuracy. The technology achieves ultra-high spatial resolution through direct photon detection which enables intrinsic spectral imaging and complete electronic noise elimination. The system delivers revolutionary benefits for clinicians who need to diagnose coronary stent conditions and assess intermediate stenosis and visualize arteries with severe calcification. The technology of photon-counting detectors enables institutions to achieve substantial reductions in patient radiation exposure while maintaining the same level of diagnostic accuracy. The existing positive results from early clinical studies require validation through large-scale multicenter trials which will determine standard imaging protocols and assess long-term patient outcomes and total treatment costs.

REFERENCES

1. Laranjo L, Lanas F, Sun MC, Chen DA, Hynes L, Imran TF, Kazi DS, Kengne AP, Komiyama M, Kuwabara M, Lim J. (2024). World Heart Federation roadmap for secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: 2023 update. Global heart. 22; 19 (1):8.
2. Saraste A, Knuuti J. (2020). ESC 2019 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes: Recommendations for cardiovascular imaging. Herz. 45(5):409-20.
3. Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, Gitter M, Sutherland J, Halamert E, Scherer M, Bellinger R, Martin A, Benton R, Delago A. (2008). Diagnostic performance of 64-multidetector row coronary computed tomographic angiography for evaluation of coronary artery stenosis in individuals without known coronary artery disease: results from the prospective multicenter ACCURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Angiography) trial. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 18; 52(21):1724-32.
4. Willemink MJ, Persson M, Pourmorteza A, Pelc NJ, Fleischmann D. (2018). Photon-counting CT: technical principles and clinical prospects. Radiology. 289(2):293-312.
5. Meloni A, Maffei E, Clemente A, De Gori C, Occhipinti M, Positano V, Berti S, La Grutta L, Saba L, Cau R, Bossone E. (2024). Spectral photon-counting computed tomography: technical principles and applications in the assessment of cardiovascular diseases. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 18; 13(8):2359.
6. Patel MR, Huang M, Pencina M, Mark DB, Heitner JF, Fordyce CB, Pellikka PA, Tardif JC, Budoff M, Nahhas G, (2017). Chow B. Prognostic value of noninvasive cardiovascular testing in patients with stable chest pain. Circulation. 135: 2320-32.
7. Taguchi K, Iwanczyk JS. (2013). Vision 20/20: single photon counting x-ray detectors in medical imaging. Medical physics. ; 40(10):100901.
8. Willemink MJ, Persson M, Pourmorteza A, Pelc NJ, (2018). Fleischmann D. Photon-counting CT: technical principles and clinical prospects. Radiology. 289(2):293-312.
9. Symons R, Choi Y, Cork TE, Ahlman MA, Mallek M, Bluemke DA, Sandfort V. (2018).Optimized energy of spectral coronary CT angiography for coronary plaque detection and quantification. Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. 1; 12(2):108-14.
10. Li M. (2010). Spectral ct at ultra-high resolution via photon-counting and deep learning (Doctoral dissertation, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute).
11. McCollough CH, Leng S, Yu L, Fletcher JG. Dual-and multi-energy CT: principles, technical approaches, and clinical applications. Radiology. 2015 Sep; 276(3):637-53.
12. Jensen CT, Wagner-Bartak NA, Vu LN, Liu X, Raval B, Martinez D, Wei W, Cheng Y, Samei E, Gupta S. Detection of colorectal hepatic metastases is superior at standard radiation dose CT versus reduced dose CT. Radiology. 2019 Feb;290(2):400-9.
13. Pourmorteza A, Symons R, Henning A, Ulzheimer S, Bluemke DA. Dose efficiency of quarter-millimeter photon-counting computed tomography: first-in-human results. Investigative radiology. 2018 Jun 1; 53(6):365-72
14. Anghel F, Raianu RO, Sun Z. Scientific Publication Trend: Using Advanced Technologies in Cardiovascular Disease Research in the Journal of Cardiovascular Development and Disease. Journal of Cardiovascular Development and Disease. 2026 Feb 2; 13(2):73.
15. Alis D, Önal MO, Orman M, Seker ME, Akyol A, Alhan C, Karaarslan E. A scoping review of photon-counting detector computed tomography in cardiovascular imaging. Diagn Interv Radiol. 2025 Nov 20.
16. Nishihara T, Miyoshi T, Nakashima M, Akagi N, Morimitsu Y, Inoue T, Miki T, Yoshida M, Toda H, Nakamura K, Yuasa S. Diagnostic improvements of calcium-removal image reconstruction algorithm using photon-counting detector CT for calcified coronary lesions. European Journal of Radiology. 2024 Mar 1; 172:111354.

17. van der Bie J, Sharma SP, Hirsch A, Boccalini S, van Mieghem NM, Dijkshoorn ML, Booij R, van Straten M, Bos D, Rajiah P, Budde RP. Coronary stent imaging with photon-counting detector CT. Radiology: Cardiothoracic Imaging. 2025 May 29; 7(3):e240338.
18. Taguchi K. Energy-sensitive photon counting detector-based X-ray computed tomography. Radiological physics and technology. 2017 Mar; 10(1):8-22.
19. Higashigaito K, Euler A, Mergen V, et al. Virtual non-contrast imaging using photon-counting CT in coronary imaging. Eur Radiol. 2022;32(7):4706–4714.
20. Flohr T, Schmidt B, Ulzheimer S, Alkadhi H. Cardiac imaging with photon counting CT. The British journal of radiology. 2023 Dec 1; 96(1152)
21. Douek PC, Boccalini S, Oei EH, Cormode DP, Pourmorteza A, Boussel L, Si-Mohamed SA, Budde RP. Clinical applications of photon-counting CT: a review of pioneer studies and a glimpse into the future. Radiology. 2023 Oct 3; 309(1):e222432.
22. Meloni A, Frijia F, Panetta D, Degiorgi G, De Gori C, Maffei E, Clemente A, Positano V, Cademartiri F. Photon-counting computed tomography (PCCT): technical background and cardio-vascular applications. Diagnostics. 2023 Feb 9; 13(4):645
23. Perrella A, Bagnacci G, Di Meglio N, Di Martino V, Mazzei MA. Thoracic diseases: technique and applications of dual-energy CT. Diagnostics. 2023 Jul 21; 13(14):2440.
24. Tremamunno G, Pinos D, Zsarnoczay E, Schoepf UJ, Vecsey-Nagy M, Gnasso C, Fink N, Kravchenko D, Hagar MT, Griffith III J, O'Doherty J. (2025). Influence of virtual monoenergetic reconstructions on coronary CT angiography-based fractional flow reserve with photon-counting detector CT: intra-individual comparison with energy-integrating detector CT. Insights into imaging. 17; 16(1):36.
25. Sun Z, Silberstein J, Vaccarezza M. (2024). Cardiovascular computed tomography in the diagnosis of cardiovascular disease: Beyond lumen assessment. Journal of Cardiovascular Development and Disease. 12; 11(1):22.

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

Rumisa Y, Zeba S, Anita D, Mansi G, Kajol K, Mohd Abdullah S. Photon-Counting CT for Coronary Artery Disease: Diagnostic Accuracy and Radiation Dose Reduction Compared to Conventional CT Angiography. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 15 [6] May 2026. 09-14