Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 8 [4] March 2019 : 110-115 ©2019 Academy for Environment and Life Sciences, India Online ISSN 2277-1808 Journal's URL:http://www.bepls.com CODEN: BEPLAD Global Impact Factor 0.876 Universal Impact Factor 0.9804 NAAS Rating 4.95 ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Genetic variation of oil content and quality in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) under moisture deficit stress conditions

Sowmya P\*.,Vanaja M., P.Raghuram Reddy, Sunita V., Razzaq SK. CRIDA-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture

### ABSTRACT

A field study was conducted on two CRIDA castor genotypes along with the national check 48-1 during kharif-2014under moisture deficit stress conditions to assess their variability for yield and oil quality through genetic analysis. Results revealed significant variability.CRC-2 recorded not only higher seed yield but also higher ricinoleic acid content under moisture deficit stress conditions while CRC-9 was on par with the national check 48-1. High genetic advance with high heritability was recorded for oil yield and ricinoleic acid respectively indicating the predominance of additive gene action in inheritance of these characters. Hence, these traits can be taken into consideration for the improvement of castor productivity of oil content and quality while these two CRIDA castor genotypes have potential to be released as cultivars.

Keywords: CRC-9, CRC-2, Ricinus communis

Received 02.12.2018

Revised 19.12.2018

Accepted 30.01.2019

### INTRODUCTION

Castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.) is a tropical non-edible oil yielding plant of high commercial importance. Castor bean is a monotypic species belonging to the family Euphorbiaceae and has a wide range distribution in both tropical and sub-tropical regions [6]. The major production of castor bean comes from India, China and Brazil, which adds more than 90% of global production. The productivity of the country in 2011-12 was 1417 kg ha-1 with a world average of 850 kg ha-1 [2]. Gujarat is the leading castor growing state in India and contributes about 70% of the production from about 47% of the area [6].

Castor seed contains 40% to 60% of oil and among all the vegetable oils it is the world's most useful and economically important natural oil as it is very distinct with its high level (85%) of fatty acid known as ricinoleic acid in which global demand is increasing [16]. Castor plants have great levels of drought tolerance, but seed yields are condensed under limited water supply [20]. Breeders have given great attention for development of new varieties in castor (Sujatha *et al.*, 2008). Success in Castor is based on looking for genetic distinctions for increased seed yield, high oil content and resistance to various biotic and abiotic stresses [24].

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

During Kharif-2014, a field study was conducted with two CRIDA castor genotypes, viz.,CRC-2, CRC-9and a check 48-1 at Hayathnagar Research Farm, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture (CRIDA), Hyderabad. The trial was sown on July 26th, 2014 in RBD with three replications each for irrigated conditions and for moisture stress conditions. The irrigated plots were maintained stress free by not withholding irrigation at initiation of primaries moisture deficit stress treatment was imposed bywithholding irrigation till wilting symptoms appeared and then the stress was relieved. Five plants from each treatment were randomly selected in each replication in all the genotypes. Three replications were maintained in the field for each treatment.Each genotype was sown in 5m length of three rows with plant to plant spacing of 30cm and 1m between rows.

The crop received 249 mm rainfall spreading in 19 rainy days (>2.5 mm) during the crop growth period, the crop experienced rainless period of more than 10 days during vegetative stage, 31 days during initiation to maturation of primaries and initiation of secondaries and 97 days during maturation of

secondaries and initiation to maturation of tertiaries. During the crop growth period, the average temperature was 30.3°C with minimum and maximum of 4.5°C and 35.6°C respectively.

**Seed quality:**The oil content of seed was determined by soxhlet-extraction method.

**Fatty acid profile:**Fatty acids were analyzed in Department of Biochemistry, Directorate of Oilseed Research, Hyderabad by Autosystem XL Gas Chromatography (Perkin Elmer, USA) equipped with capillary column. The oil extraction was dried in argon current and reconstituted in 1:50 volumes of chloroform [12]. Appropriate fatty acid standards from Sigma Aldrich (USA) were used and the fatty acid peaks were identified by integrating them with the standards' profiles. The area under the peak was expressed as percentage fatty acid content.

# **Genetic Analysis:**

- Heritability in broad sense (H<sup>2</sup>or h<sup>2</sup>) [7]
- Phenotypic and genotypic correlations
- Genotypic ( $\sigma^2$ g) and Phenotypic variances ( $\sigma^2$ ph) [5].
- Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV) and Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV) [21].

Statistical analysis - Analysis of variance (ANOVA)-*STAR* (Statistical Tools For Agricultural Research).

	Т	emp	RH			
	Max. (°C)	Min. (°C)	Max (%)	Min (%)		
Average	30.3	14.4	84.8	49.7		
Minimum	21.3	4.5	42	24.0		
Maximum	35.6	31	100.0	49.7		
			( 0 = ) (0			

### Table-1: Weather data during crop growth period-Kharif-2014

Total Rainfall = 249 mm

Number of rainy days (>2.5 mm) = 19 days



### RESULTS

**Seed yield (g/pl)** :Under irrigated conditions, the seed yield was highest for CRC-2 (41.45g/pl) than CRC-9 (29.18 g/pl) and check 48-1 (36.45g/pl). Under moisture deficit stress condition also CRC-2 (27.68g/pl) was highest for seed yield than CRC-9 (22.21 g/pl) and 48-1 (22.57 g/pl).

**Oil content (%):** Under irrigated conditions, the oil content was low for both the genotypes CRC-2 (30.5%) and CRC-9(42.5%) than 48-1 (43.41%). Under, moisture deficit stress, it was higher for CRC-9 (50.16%) than CRC-2 (38.61%) and 48-1 (36.67%).

**Oil yield (g/pl):** Under irrigated conditions oil yield was higher for check 48-1 (15.82 g/pl) followed by CRC-2 (12.64 g/pl) and CRC-9 (12.41g/pl).Under moisture deficit stress, oil yield was higher for CRC-9 (11.14 g/pl) than CRC-2 (10.69 g/pl) and 48-1(8.28g/pl).

**Ricinoelic acid (%):**Under irrigated conditions ricinoelic acid were highest for check 48-1 (87.1%) followed by CRC-9 (84.47%) and CRC-2 (83.7%).Under moisture deficit stress, ricinoelic acid was higher for CRC-2 (85.86%) than CRC-9 (83.56%) and 48-1(80.4%).

Genotype	Seed yield (g/pl)		Oil cont	tent (%)	Oil yiel	d (g/pl)	Ricinoleic acid (%)		
	Irri Stress		Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	
CRC-2	41.45	27.68	30.50	38.61	12.64	10.69	83.7	85.86	
CRC-9	29.18	22.21	42.50	50.16	12.41	11.14	84.47	83.56	
48-1	36.45	22.57	43.41	36.67	15.82	8.28	87.1	80.4	
Average	35.7	24.16	38.80	41.81	13.62	10.04	85.09	83.27	

# Table-2: Mean performance of castor genotypes for oil and its yield underirrigated and moisture deficit stress conditionsduring Kharif-2014

The ANOVA for oil content (%) showed significant variability among the genotypes, moisture levels and interaction of genotypes and moisture levels (Table-3).

Table-3: Analysis of variance for oil parameters in castor genotypes during Kharif-2014
---

			Mean sum of squares								
Source	DF	Oil content	Oil Yield	Seed yield	Ricinoleic acid						
Replication	2	0.020	11.288	62.203	1.579						
Genotypes	2	208.240**	0.240	118.676**	1.712						
Moisture levels	1	40.861**	57.878**	599.118**	14.833**						
G x ML	1	107.096**	17.783*	23.437	30.338**						
Error	2	0.008	2.935	12.874	0.854						
CV(%)		0.22	14.48	11.99	1.10						

\*Significance at p<0.05 and \*\*Significance at p <0.01

**Oil quality:** Under irrigated condition, both CRC-2 and CRC-9 were superior for all the six fatty acids composition studied except for ricinoleic acid. Under moisture stress conditions, CRC-2 and CRC-9 were better than the check (Table-4).

Tab	ole -4: Oil q	lualit	y of tv	wo	castor	' genotypes	alon :	g with	chec	k 48-1	during	Kharif-2	014	
												- • •		7

Genotype	Palmitic acid		Linolenic acid		DHSA		Linoleic acid		Stearic acid		Ricinoleic acid (%)	
	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress
CRC-2	1.80	1.60	7.06	6.64	0.57	0.63	4.9	3.51	1.97	1.76	83.7	85.86
CRC-9	1.72	1.89	7.44	8.32	0.68	0.66	3.9	3.65	1.79	1.91	84.47	83.56
48-1	1.55	2.37	6.03	9.87	0.59	0.64	3.18	4.47	1.56	2.25	87.1	80.4

The ANOVA for oil quality showed significant differences among the genotypes for palmitic acid and linoleic acid (Table-5).

Table-5: Analysi	is of v	variance for oil quality parameters in castor genotypes during Kharif-2014
		Moon sum of squares

		Mean Sum of S	ican sum of squares									
Source	DF	Palmitic acid	Linolenic acid	Linoleic acid	Stearic acid	DHSA	Ricinoleic acid					
Replication	2	0.002	12.604	0.003	0.00	0.001	1.579					
Genotypes	2	0.107**	2.504	0.337**	0.005	0.008	1.712					
Moisture levels	1	0.312**	44.588*	0.061*	0.178**	0.004	14.833**					
G x ML	1	0.397**	6.218	2.729**	0.306**	0.003	30.338**					
Error	2	0.001	2.79	0.370	0.001	0.002	0.854					
CV(%)		1.89	24.95	2.32	1.85	7.00	1.10					

**Correlations:** The genotypic  $(r_G)$  and phenotypic correlations $(r_P)$  for all the oil parameters under both irrigated and moisture stress conditions are presented in Table-6.

and motorer e activities contactions auting infaith 2011											
		Oil content		Oil	yield	Ricinol	eic acid	Seed yield			
		Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress		
Oil content	r <sub>G</sub>	1.00	1.00	0.546	0.995**	0.772	0.223	-0.804	-0.822		
	r <sub>P</sub>	1.00	1.00	0.437	0.465	0.654	0.220	-0.712	-0.276		
Oil mield	r <sub>G</sub>			1.00	1.00	0.998**	0.998**	0.062	-0.997**		
on yield	r <sub>P</sub>			1.00	1.00	0.897	0.579	0.318	0.715		
Diginalaig agid	r <sub>G</sub>					1.00	1.00	-0.197	0.997**		
Ricinoleic aciu	r <sub>P</sub>					1.00	1.00	-0.001	0.540		
Seed Yield	r <sub>G</sub>							1.00	1.00		
	r <sub>P</sub>							1.00	1.00		

Table-6:Genotypic and phenotypic correlations for oil quality of castor genotypesunder irrigated
and moisture deficit stress conditions during Kharif-2014

\*Significance at p<0.05 and \*\*Significance at p <0.01

**Genotypic and phenotypic variability,heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM %):** The variances, coefficient of variations, heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) were presented in Table-7.Under irrigated conditions,high heritability with high GAM was recorded for seed yield and oil yield, whereas, high heritability with low GAM was recorded for ricinoleic acid and low heritability with high GAM was found for oil content. Under moisture deficit stress, high heritability with high GAM was observed for oil yield and ricinoleic acid and moderate heritability with low GAM was observed for seed yield and ricinoleic acid and moderate heritability with low GAM was observed for seed yield.

	in rigated and moisture denert su ess conditions during Kildin-2014												
	Genotypic Variance		ypic Phenotypic nce Variance		GCV		PCV		Heritability		GAM (%)		
	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	Irri	Stress	
Oil	51.93	53.17	51.93	53.19	18.57	17.43	18.87	17.44	0.100	0.951	38.26	35.92	
content													
Oil yield	3.06	0.68	4.81	5.72	12.84	8.25	16.10	23.83	0.637	0.900	21.12	5.89	
Ricinoleic	2.63	7.45	3.68	7.61	1.90	3.27	2.156	3.31	0.714	0.979	3.32	6.68	
acid													
Seed	34.84	2.638	44.36	22.78	16.53	6.72	18.65	19.75	0.785	0.553	30.19	4.71	
yield													

Table-7: Co-efficient of variation, variances, heritability and GAM for oil Parameters under irrigated and moisture deficit stress conditions during Kharif-2014

# DISCUSSION

Among the three genotypes studied, the *per se* oil content was highest in 48-1(43.41%) under irrigated condition. However, with15.5% reduction in oil content with moisture deficit stress it was lowest among the genotypes revealing the susceptibility of this genotype to moisture deficit stressfor this trait.

The high yielding genotype CRC-2, recorded lowest oil content under irrigated among the three genotypes and moderate with moisture deficit stress. It is interesting to note that the low yielding genotype CRC-9 recorded highest oil content under moisture deficit stress. This trend reflected in performance of oil yield of these genotypes.

Under moisture deficit stress, oil yield was highest for low yielding genotype CRC-9 as it recorded highest *per se* oil content than high yielding CRC-2 revealing the importance of *per se*oil content than quantum of seed yield.

The impact of moisture deficit stress was lower in CRC-2 for oil content and ricinoleic acid whereas for oil yield in CRC-9. Among the genotypes, moisture deficit stress impact was higher for 48-1 as the reduction for oil content, oil yield and ricinoleic acid was more revealing its susceptibility for these quality traits.

Climatic conditions could be considered as a determining factor for oil percentage and yield and the reduction of these may be due to unfavorable conditions like moisture deficit stress and high temperature in flaxseed [13].

Salimon *et al.* [19] reported ricinoleic acid content of 84% of the total fatty acid composition and with the total of 10% of linoleic, palmitic, stearic and linolenic acids in Malaysian castor bean varieties. Earlier reports of Wang *et al.* [23] of fatty acid analysis revealed about 84.51% ricinoleic acid and among 1033 castor accessions collected from 48 countries worldwide in the USDA germplasmand found significant variability in fatty acid composition among the accessions. Reports of Omari *et al.* [14] in Tanzanian

varieties of castor revealed fatty acid compositions of ricinoleic acid (83.5%-92.3%).Variations in percentage composition of fatty acids occur where there are differences in species or varieties, geographical origin, climatic conditions, soil types etc. [11]. Ramos *et al.* [17] found that different varieties have different ricinoleic acid content ranging from 83.65% to 90.00%. Rojas-Barros *et al.* [18] reported considerable variation for ricinoleic acids in the analysis of fatty acid composition of individual F2 castor seeds.

Ramos *et al.* [17] observed non-significant simple correlation for oil content with ricinoleic acid and nonsignificant correlations for oil content with fatty acid percentage in castor. Patel *et al.* [15] observed nonsignificant  $r_{g}$  and  $r_{p}$  correlation for seed yield with oil content in castor. Huang *et al.* [8] observed nonsignificant simple correlations with seed yield and ricinoleic acid in castor. Bharadwaj *et al.* [4] found non-significant relationship with oil content and ricinoleic acid in castor.

Khan *et al.* [9] reported high heritability with high GAM for seed yield and oil yield in sunflower. Awas *et al.*[3] observed high heritability with high GAM for oil content in Ethiopian coriander. Singh *et al.*[21] found high heritability with low GAM for oil yield in Jatropa. Khan *et al.* [9] observed medium heritability with low GAM for seed yield in maize.

Oil yield and seed yield recorded high heritability with high GAM under irrigated conditions while under drought oil content recorded high heritability with high GAM indicating the additive gene action and are their selection is important for the crop improvement under both the conditions.

## CONCLUSION

From the per se values and genetic observations, it can be concluded that among the genotypes, CRC-2 was superior and CRC-9 was on par with check 48-1 for the traits studied viz., seed yield, oil content,oil yield and ricinoleic acid content. Hence these traits can be taken into consideration for the improvement of castor productivity and these two CRIDA castor genotypes can be progressed further to release as varieties mainly for rain-fed tracts of semi-arid regions.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the Director, CRIDA and Head, Division of Crop Sciences for providing both field and lab facilities to conduct experiments.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Tahir M.H.N. and Basra, S.M.A. 2013.Genetic studies of morpho-physiological traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedling. *African Journal of Agricultural Research***8(28)**:3668-3678.
- 2. Anonymous (2013). Annual report Castor. Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad.
- **3.** Awas G, Mekbib F and Ayana A (2015).Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Some Yield and Yield Related Traits and Oil Content in Ethiopian Coriander (*Coriandrumsativum* L.)Genotypes.*International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics***9**(**3**): 116-125.
- 4. Bhardwaj HL, Mohamed AI, Webber CL and Lovell GR (1996).Evaluation of castor germplasm for agronomic and oil characteristics.In: Janick J (Ed.), Progress in new crops. ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA, p. 342-346.
- 5. Comstock RE, Robinson HF (1952).Genetic parameters, their estimation and significance. Proc. 6.Tnt. Congr.; **1**:284-291.
- 6. Dapke JS, Naik, MR, Singh, AP, Baraskar VV, Narwade AV and Indivar Prasad (2016). Genetic association yield with different agronomic traits in castor (*Ricinuscommunis* L.).*International Journal of Biological Research***1(1)**: 47-49.
- 7. Falconer DS and Mackay TFC (1996).Introduction to quantitative genetics. Essex, UK: Longman Limited.
- 8. Huang FL, Zhu GL, Chen YS, Meng FJ, Peng M, Chen XF, He ZB, Zhang ZY and Chen YJ (2015). Seed characteristics and fatty acid composition of castor (*Ricinuscommunis* L.) varieties in Northeast China. *Phyton International Journal of Experimental Botany***84**: 26-33.
- 9. Khan H, Muhammad S, Shah R and N Iqbal (2007). Genetic analysis of Yield and some Yield Components in Sunflower. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture***23(4)**: 985-989.
- 10. Khan N and Naqvi FN (2011). Heritability of Morphological Traits in Bread Wheat Advanced Lines under Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Conditions. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences***3(3)**: 215-222.
- 11. Lochab B, Varma IK and Bijwe J (2012).Sustainable Polymers Derived from Naturally Occurring Materials. *Advances in Material Physics and Chemistry***2**:221-225.
- 12. Manku MS, Horrobin DF, Huang YS, Morse N (1983). Fatty acids in plasma and red cell membranes in normal humans. *Lipids* **18**:906-908.
- **13.** Mirshekari M, Amiri R, Nezhad HI, Seyeed A Sadat Noori and Zandvakili OR (2012). Effects of Planting Date and Water Deficit on Quantitative and Qualitative Traits of Flax Seed. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environment Science***12** (7): 901-913.

- 14. Omari A, Quintino A. MganiandEgid B. Mubofu (2015).Fatty Acid Profile and Physico-Chemical Parameters of Castor Oils in Tanzania.*Green and Sustainable Chemistry***5**:154-163.
- 15. Patel JR, Saiyed MP, Patel CG, Bhatt RK and Bhatt JP (2010).Genetic variability and correlation studies in castor (*Ricinuscommunis* L.).*International Journal of Agricultural Sciences***6(1)**: 129-131.
- 16. Ramesh T, Siva Sankar A, Sreelakshmi J, GouthamiPalle, Leela C, Durga Rani ChV, Gouri Shankar V, Lavanya B, Narayana Reddy P and Dudhe MY (2016). Utilization of in silico EST–SSR markers for diversity studies in castor (*Ricinuscommunis* L.). *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants* DOI 10.1007/s12298-016-0367-x.
- 17. Ramos LCD, Tango JS, Savi A and Leal NR (1984).Variability for Oil and Fatty Acid Composition in Castor bean Varieties.*Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society***61:** 1841-1843.
- 18. Rojas BP, de Haro A, Muñoz J and Fernández-Martínez JM (2004). Isolation of a natural mutant in castor with high oleic/low ricinoleic acid content in the oil.*Crop Science***44**: 76-80.
- 19. Salimon J, MohdNoor DA, Nazrizawati AT, MohdFirdaus MY and Noraishah A (2010).Fatty Acid Composition and Physicochemical Properties of Malaysian Castor Bean (*Ricinuscommunis*L.)Seed Oil.*SainsMalaysiana*39(5): 761–764.
- 20. Severino LS, Auld DL, Baldanzi M, Candido MJD, Chen G, Crosby W, He X, Tan D, Lakshmamma P, Lavanya C, Machado OLT, Mielke T, Milani M, Miller TD, Morris JB, Morse SA, Navas AA, Soares DJ, Sofiatti V, Wang ML, Zanotto MD, Zieler H (2012). A Review on the Challenges for Increased Production of Castor.Agron J. 104: 853.
- 21. SinghS, Prakash A, Chakraborty NR, Wheeler C, Agarwal PK and Arup Ghosh (2016). Genetic variability, character association and divergence studies in *Jatropha curcas* for improvement in oil yield. *Trees***30** (4): 1163–1180.
- 22. Sujatha M, Reddy TP, and Mahasi MJ (2008). Role of biotechnological interventions in the improvement of castor (*Ricinuscommunis* L.) and *Jatropha curcas* L. *Biotechnology Advances* **26**:424–435.
- 23. Wang ML, Morris JB, Tonnis B, Pinnow D, Davis J, Raymer P and Pederson GA (2011). Screening of the entire USDA castor germplasm collection for oil content and fatty acid composition for optimum biodiesel production. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **59(17)**: 9250-9256.
- 24. Weiss EA (2000).Oilseed Crops, 2nd Edition. Blackwell Scientific Ltd., Oxford, England,.

# **CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE**

Sowmya P., Vanaja M., P.Raghuram Reddy, Sunita V., Razzaq SK.. Genetic variation of oil content and quality in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) under moisture deficit stress conditions. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 8 [4] March 2019 : 110-115