Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences

Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 12 [12] November 2023: 31-39 ©2023 Academy for Environment and Life Sciences, India Online ISSN 2277-1808

Journal's URL:http://www.bepls.com

CODEN: BEPLAD

ORIGNAL ARTICLE



Effectiveness of Rock Salt Hot Application on Level of Pain among **Patients with Arthritis**

Janki Rathva* and Rose Mary George

Department of Medical-Surgical Nursing, Parul Institute of Nursing, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

*Email ID: jankirathva123@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Pain is defined as an unpleasant sensation occurring in varying degrees of severity as a consequence of injury, disease, or emotional disorder. Arthritis is a term often used to mean any disorder that affects joints. Symptoms generally include joint pain and stiffness. A hot application increases blood flow and circulation because of the elevated temperature, which aids in healing and soothes muscle spasms. The objectives of the study were to assess the level of pain among patients with arthritis, evaluate the effectiveness of rock salt hot application on the level of pain among patients with arthritis, find out the association between the pre-test level of pain of arthritis patients with selected socio-demographic variables, find out the association between the pre-test level of pain of arthritis patients with selected clinical variables. In this study, a quantitative research approach and one group pre-test post-test research design were used to assess the effectiveness of rock salt hot application on the level of pain among patients with arthritis. Non-probability convenience sampling technique was used to select 50 arthritis patients with orthopedic OPD and the ward of Parul Sevashram Hospital, Vadodara. A structured questionnaire was used to assess sociodemographic and clinical data, and a standardized tool was assessing patients' level of pain by the numerical pain rating scale. The day-1 pre-test mean pain score was 5.84 with a standard deviation of 0.510 and on day-7 the post-test mean pain score was 1.68 with a standard deviation of 0.621, the t-value was found to be 77.858, and the significance level was 0.00. There was an association between the pre-test level of pain and socio-demographic variable, occupation (p=0.0036). There was no association between the pre-test level of pain with clinical variables. The study findings concluded that rock salt hot application was effective in reducing the level of pain among patients with arthritis as it is an easily available and cost-effective therapy.

Keywords: Rock salt, Hot application, Level of pain, Arthritis

Received 17.04.2023 Revised 30.06.2023 Accepted 24.10.2023

INTRODUCTION

Pain is characterized as an uncomfortable feeling that develops as result of an accident or illness and can vary in intensity. The nervous system's primary component is pain. In the end, pain is both a sensation and a state of the body [1]. There are two types of pain: acute and chronic. Based on the pain itself, a person may differentiate between acute and chronic pain, not how long it lasts. In 80% of cases, joint pain is a musculoskeletal condition that people experience at some point in their lives [2].

Arthritis means any disorder that affects joints. Pain and stiffness of the joints are typical signs of arthritis. Redness, warmth, swelling, and a reduced range of motion in the afflicted joints are possible additional symptoms [3]. Many different forms of arthritis exist, each with its own unique symptoms and causes. Joints, surrounding tissue, and tissues related to joints are all impacted by arthritis. It is not true to say that arthritis affects only older people but arthritis occurs in all age groups including children [4].

Most of the time, as the body ages, arthritic pain and inflammation cannot be prevented. In fact, arthritic symptoms are present in the majority of adults over 50 years of age. Arthritis can be controlled by a mix of drugs, physical activity, rest, weight control, nutrition, surgery etc [5].

It may be unable to carry out daily duties if a person has severe arthritis, especially if it affects their hands or arms. In some cases, joints may gradually lose their shape and alignment [6]. The body's defense mechanism includes pain as well, which sets off cerebral problem-solving techniques meant to put a stop to the uncomfortable situation. Its severity can range from mild to severe [7].

Heat therapy has the therapeutic benefit of reducing pain by causing changes in physiological processes in the body, such as vasodilatation and muscular relaxation [8]. Physicians must be careful with selecting the right medication for each individual patient, taking into consideration the cost, effectiveness, and toxic profile because arthritis patients are more likely to develop complications from NSAIDs [9].

Rock salt hot application to the affected area causes physiological changes in the body, including relaxation of muscles and joints and vasodilatation, which have the therapeutic benefit of reducing pain. It is an intervention without any side effects and a less expensive therapy [10].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted using a quasi-experimental research design and a quantitative research methodology. The convenience sampling technique was used to select the samples for the current investigation, which included 50 patients. The study was conducted in the orthopedic ward and orthopedic OPD in Parul sevashram hospital, Vadodara. Before gathering the data, necessary permissions were obtained from the institutional ethical committee and also informed consent was taken from the study participants. Patient's Socio-demographic and clinical history was taken using a self-structured questionnaire and the level of pain was assessed by means of the Numerical pain rating scale. The tool was given to 8 experts in the field of medical-surgical nursing to ensure content validity. The numerical pain rating scale is a standardized tool and its reliability established in literate patients with arthritis is r = 0.96 and in illiterate patients with arthritis is r = 0.9511. The data was analyzed using inferential and descriptive statistics.

RESULTS Section A: Patient distribution based on their socio-demographic data.

Table 1: Frequency and Percentage distribution of patients according to their socio-demographic data.

n=50

Socio-	Demographic Data	Frequency	Percentage
		(f)	(%)
Age	20 – 30	2	4.0
	31 – 40	11	22.0
	41 – 50	21	42.0
	51 – 60	11	22.0
	61 - 70	5	10.0
Gender	Male	24	48.0
	Female	26	52.0
	Trance gender	0	0.0
	Other	0	0.0
Level of education	Illiterate	6	12.0
	No formal education	0	0.0
	Primary	12	24.0
	Secondary	21	42.0
	High secondary or diploma	7	14.0
	Degree	4	8.0
	Master degree or above	0	0.0
Occupation	Unemployed	20	40.0
-	Daily work / Labor work	0	0.0
	Self-employed	17	34.0
	Private	10	20.0
	Government job	3	6.0
	Student	0	0.0
Religion	Hindu	42	84.0
	Muslim	6	12.0
	Christian	2	4.0
	Other	0	0.0
Marital status	Married	48	96.0
	Unmarried	2	4.0
	Divorced	0	0.0
Living status	With spouse and children	40	80.0
	With children	5	10.0
	Live in relationship	0	0.0
	With spouse	1	2.0
	With friend	0	0.0
	With relative	0	0.0
	With parents	4	8.0

Table 1 Results showed that out of 50 patients, 21(42%) were 41-50 years-old, 11(22%) were 31-40 years-old, 11(22%) were in the age group of 51-60 years, 5(10%) between 61-70 years, and 2(4%) were 20-30

years. 26(52%) were females and 24(48%) were males. 21(42%) had secondary education, 4(8%) had degree, 12(24%) had primary education and 7(14%) of patients had higher secondary or diploma education, none of the patients had formal education, and master's degree or above. There were 20(40.0%) unemployed, 17(34%) were self-employed, 10(20.0%) had private job, 3(6.0%) had government job. In religion Hindu were 42(84.0%), Muslim 6(12.0%), Christian 2(4.0%), 48(96%) married and 2(4%) unmarried. There were 40(80%) living with spouse and children, 5(10%) living with children, 4(8%) living with parents, and 1(2%) living with spouse.

Section B: Patients distribution based on their clinical data.

Table 2: Frequency and Percentage distribution of patients according to their clinical data n=50

	percentage distribution of patients		
Clini	cal Data	Frequency	Percentage
Tymog of outbuild	Ostopouthnitis	(f)	(%)
Types of arthritis	Osteoarthritis	49	98.0
D ti	Reactive arthritis	01	2.0
Duration	< 6 months	23	46.0
0 1 1 1	6 months – 2 years	27	54.0
Currently taking	Yes	50	100.0
medicine	No	00	0.0
If yes, which medicine	Analgesic	08	16.0
	NSAIDs	40	80.0
0 1.1	Steroids	02	04.0
Comorbid conditions	Cardiovascular problem	04	8.0
	Stoke	00	0.0
	Autoimmune disorder	01	2.0
	Diabetes	24	48.0
	Cancer	00	0.0
	Hypertension	11	22.0
	None	10	20.0
Performs physical activity	Daily	10	20.0
	3 – 4 times/weeks	26	52.0
	Once in week	04	8.0
	No physical active	10	20.0
Take rest periods in	Never	09	18.0
between activities	Seldom	00	0.0
	Occasional	21	42.0
	Sometimes	17	34.0
	Always	03	6.0
Use any stress	Yes	04	8.0
management techniques	No	46	92.0
/ relaxation techniques			
If yes, specify	Yoga	04	8.0
	None	46	92.0
Take regular follow up to	Never	02	4.0
physician	Occasional	29	58.0
	Regular	19	38.0
Take prescribed	Yes	16	32.0
medications regularly	No	34	68.0
If no, specify	Forget to take medicine	20	40.0
	Lack of time	02	4.0
	Side effects	14	28.0
	Other	2	4.0
	None	12	24.0
Joint pain	Yes	50	100.0
	No	00	0.0
Swelling	Yes	26	52.0
	No	24	48.0
Morning stiffness	Yes	17	34.0
	No	33	66.0
Fatigue	Yes	06	12.0
_	No	44	88.0
Other	Yes	00	0.0
	No	50	100.0

Table 2 Results showed that out of 50 patients, 49(98%) had osteoarthritis, and 1(2%) had reactive arthritis. 23 patients (46%) had less than 6-month duration and 27(54%) had 6 months - 2 years duration. 50 (100%) patients were currently taking medicine, out of which 40(80%) were on NSAIDs, 8(16%) were taking analgesics, and 2(4%) steroids. In the comorbid condition, 24(48%) had diabetes, 11(22%) had hypertension, 4(8%) had cardiovascular problems and 1(2%) had autoimmune disorder. Those performing physical activity were 26(52.0%) 3 - 4 times/week, 10(20.0%) daily, 10(20.0%) were not performing physical activity, 04(8.0%) once in a week. Taking rest periods in between activities 21(42%) were doing occasionally, 17(34%) sometimes, 09(18%) never, and 03(6%) were taking always rest. In use of any stress management technique or relaxation techniques 46(92.0%) did not use any stress management and 04(8.0%) were using yoga. Regarding taking follow-ups of physicians 29(58.0%) were taking occasionally, 19(38.0%) regularly doing follow-up, and 02(4.0%) never taking regular follow-up. Taking prescribed medications regularly 34(68.0%) were not taking, and 16(32.0%) were taking their medications. The reasons for not taking medications were that 20(40.0%) forgot to take them, 14(28.0%) due to their side effects, 02(4.0%) due to lack of time was 02(4.0%). 50(100.0%) had symptoms such as joint pain, 26(52.0%) had swelling and 24(48.0%) had no swelling, 17(34.0%) had morning stiffness and 33(66.0%) had no morning stiffness, 06(12.0%) had fatigue and 44(88.0%) had no fatigue.

Section C: Assessment of the level of pain score among the patients with arthritis.

Table 3: Day wise frequency and percentage distribution of pre-test and post-test levels of pain among patients with arthritis.n=50

Days	Level of pain	Pre	-test	Pos	t-test
		Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
		(f)	(%)	(f)	(%)
Day-1	Mild pain	00	0.0	01	2.0
	Moderate pain	01	2.0	49	98.0
	Severe pain	49	98.0	00	0.0
Day-2	Mild pain	00	0.0	01	2.0
	Moderate pain	01	2.0	49	98.0
	Severe pain	49	98.0	00	0.0
Day-3	Mild pain	00	0.0	07	14.0
3	Moderate pain	01	2.0	43	86.0
	Severe pain	49	98.0	00	0.0
Day-4	Mild pain	00	0.0	37	74.0
	Moderate pain	01	2.0	13	26.0
	Severe pain	49	98.0	00	0.0
Day-5	Mild pain	00	0.0	49	98.0
	Moderate pain	06	12.0	01	2.0
	Severe pain	44	88.0	00	0.0
Day-6	Mild pain	00	0.0	49	98.0
	Moderate pain	36	72.0	01	2.0
	Severe pain	14	28.0	00	0.0
Day-7	Mild pain	00	0.0	50	100.0
	Moderate pain	45	90.0	00	0.0
	Severe pain	05	10.0	00	0.0

As per the data presented in **Table 3** level of pain among arthritis patients, day 1 in the pre-test 98% had severe pain, 2% had moderate pain no one had mild pain and in the post-test 98% had moderate pain, 2% had mild pain, no one had severe pain. In day 2, in the pre-test 98% had severe pain, 2% had moderate pain no one had mild pain and in the post-test 98% had moderate pain, 2% had mild pain, no one had severe pain. Day 3 in the pre-test 98% had severe pain, 2% had moderate pain no one had mild pain and in the post-test 86% had moderate pain, 14% had mild pain, no one had severe pain. Day 4 in the pre-test 98% had severe pain, 2% had moderate pain, no one had mild pain and in the post-test 74% had mild pain, 26% had moderate pain. Day 5 in the pre-test 88% had severe pain, 12% had moderate pain, and in the post-test 98% had moderate pain, 2% had moderate pain, 2% had moderate pain, no one had severe pain, Day 6 in the pre-test 72% had moderate pain, 28% had severe pain, no one had mild pain, and in the post-test 98% had moderate pain, no one had moderate pain, no one had moderate pain, no one had mild pain, and in the post-test 90% had moderate pain, no one had mild pain, and in the post-test 90% had moderate pain, no one had mild pain, and in the post-test 90% had moderate pain and severe pain.

Section D: Assessment of the effectiveness of rock salt hot application on the level of pain score among patients with arthritis.

Table 4: Comparison of Mean, Standard deviation, and "t" Value of pre-test and post-test

levels of pain among patients with arthritis from day 1 to day 7 n=50								
	Number of patients	Mean	SD	Calculated "t" value	tabulated 't' value	df	p= value	
Pre-test Day 1	50	8.84	0.510	62.610	1.677	49	0.000016	
Post-test Day 1	50	4.84	0.584					
Pre-test Day 2	50	8.44	0.644	53.973	1.677	49	0.00	
Post-test Day 2	50	4.20	0.535					
Pre-test Day	50	7.82	0.523	69.649	1.677	49	0.00	
Post-test Day 3	50	3.86	0.452					
Pre-test Day 4	50	7.44	0.611	65.740	1.677	49	0.00012	
Post-test Day 4	50	3.24	0.476					
Pre-test Day 5	50	6.92	0.444	66.943	1.677	49	0.00	
Post-test Day 5	50	2.80	0.495					
Pre-test Day 6	50	6.26	0.565	80.829	1.677	49	0.000013	
Post-test Day 6	50	2.26	0.527					
Pre-test Day 7	50	5.84	0.584	79.731	1.677	49	0.00	
Post-test Day 7	50	1.68	0.621					

SD – Standard Deviation

Data presented in **Table 4** shows the patients' level of pain score after rock salt hot application. Since the calculated 't' value is higher than the tabulated 't' value of 1.677, it can be concluded that applying rock salt hot from day 1 to day 7 is much more beneficial at reducing pain in arthritis sufferers.

Table 5: Comparison of Mean, Standard deviation, and "t" Value of level of pain among patients with arthritis between day 1 pre-test and day 7 post-test n=50

		Number of patients	Mean	SD	Calculated "t" value	tabulated 't' value	df	p= value
Γ	Pre – test Day 1	50	8.84	0.510	77.858	1.677	49	0.00
Ī	Post – test Day 7	50	1.68	0.621				

SD - Standard Deviation

Data presented in **Table 5** patients' level of pain score after rock salt hot application between day 1 pretest and day 7post-test. The finding that the calculated 't' value of 77.858 was higher than the tabulated 't' value of 1.677 suggests that the level of pain experienced by patients with arthritis was significantly decreased by the rock salt hot application.

Section E: Association between pretest level of pain of arthritis patients with selected socio-demographic variables.

df - Degree of Freedom

df - Degree of Freedom

Table 6: Association between pretest level of pain of arthritis patients with selected sociodemographic variables. n=50

Domogran	ohic variable	Frequency	χ² Value	df	Level Of
Demograp	onic variable	(f)	χ² value	Value	Significance
		(1)		value	(p=Value)
Age	20 - 30	2	$\chi^2 = 9.184$	4	0.06 NS
1160	31 - 40	11	7 7.101	•	0.00
	41 - 50	21	1		
	51 - 60	11	1		
	61 - 70	5	7		
Gender	Male	24	$\chi^2 = 1.105$	1	0.29 NS
	Female	26	7		
	Trance gender	0	1		
	Other	0	1		
Level of education	Illiterate	6	$\chi^2 = 3.231$	4	0.520 NS
	No formal education	0			
	Primary	12	1		
	Secondary	21	1		
	Higher secondary or	7	1		
	Diploma				
	Degree	4			
	Master degree or	0	1		
	above				
Occupation	Unemployed	20	$\chi^2 = 50.000$	4	0.0036s
-	Daily work / Labor	0	7		
	work				
	Self-employed	17			
	Private	10			
	Government job	3			
	Student	0			
Religion	Hindu	42	$\chi^2 = 0.194$	3	$0.978 ^{ m NS}$
	Muslim	6			
	Christian	2			
	Other	0			
Marital status	Married	48	$\chi^2 = 0.043$	1	$0.837\mathrm{NS}$
	Unmarried	2			
	Divorced	0			
Living status	With spouse and	40	$\chi^2 = 0.255$	2	0.968 NS
	children		_		
	With children	5	_		
	Live in relationship	0	_		
	With spouse	1	_		
	With friend	0	_		
	With relative	0	_		
	With parents	4			

[|] With parents | 4 | S - significant, NS - not significant, χ² - Chi-square, df – Degree of Freedom

Table 6 depicts the association found between the pre-test level of pain of arthritis patients with sociodemographic variable, occupation (χ^2 =50.000, p=0.0036, df = 4). There was no association between arthritis patients' pre-test levels of pain and variables like age, gender, education level, religion, marital status, and living status.

 $\textbf{Section } \textbf{F} : Association \ between \ pretest \ level \ of \ pain \ of \ arthritis \ patients \ with \ selected \ clinical \ variables.$

Table 7: Association between pre-test level of pain of arthritis patients with selected Clinical variables. n=50

		variables. n=			
Clinical	variable	Frequency (f)	χ² Value	df	Level Of Significance (P Value)
Types of arthritis	Osteoarthritis	49	$\chi^2 = 0.021$	1	0.889 NS
	Reactive arthritis	01			
Duration	< 6 months	23	$\chi^2 = 0.869$	1	0.351 NS
	6 months - 2 years	27			
	2 – 5 years	00			
	> 5 years	00			
Currently talking	Yes	50	NA	NA	NA
medicine	No	00			
If yes, medicine	Analgesic	08	$\chi^2 = 0.255$	2	0.880 NS
	NSAIDs	40			
	Steroids	02			
Any other comorbid	Cardiovascular	04	$\chi^2 = 1.105$	4	0.893 NS
condition	problem				
	Stoke	00			
	Autoimmune	01			
	disorder				
	Diabetes	24	_		
	Cancer	00	_		
	Hypertension	11	_		
	None	10	1		
Perform physical	Daily	10	$\chi^2 = 0.942$	3	0.815 NS
activity	3 – 4 times/weeks	26			
	Once in week	04			
	No physical active	10			
Take rest periods in	Never	09	$\chi^2 = 4.649$	3	0.199 NS
between activities	Seldom	00			
	Occasional	21			
	Sometimes	17			
	Always	03			
Use any stress	Yes	04	$\chi^2 = 0.089$	1	0.766 NS
management	No	46			
techniques /					
relaxation,					
techniques	77	0.4	2 0 000	1	0.766.00
If yes, specify	Yoga	04	$\chi^2 = 0.089$	1	0.766 NS
T-1 C-11	None	46	-2 0.720	2	0.601 NS
Take regular follow	Never	02	$\chi^2 = 0.739$	2	0.691 NS
up to physician	Occasional	29	4		
m 1 1 1	Regular	19	3 0 400	1	0.400 NC
Take prescribed	Yes	16	$\chi^2 = 0.480$	1	0.488 NS
medications regularly	No	34			
regularly If no, specify	Forget to take	20	χ² =2.624	4	0.623 NS
ii iio, specify	medicine	20	λ2.024	7	0.023
	Lack of time	02	1		
	Side effects	14	1		
	Other	2	1		
	None	12	1		
Joint pain	Yes	50	NA	NA	NA
, P	No	00	┨ ・┈-	11	
Swelling	Yes	26	$\chi^2 = 0.942$	1	0.332 NS
	No	24	7 ~ 5.712	1	3.552
Morning stiffness	Yes	17	χ² =1.981	1	0.159 NS
	No	33	1 h -1.701	1	0.107
Fatigue	Yes	06	χ² =0.139	1	0.709 NS
augue	No	44	λ υ.13 ⁹	1	0.707
			_		+
Other symptoms	Yes	00	NA	NA	NA

S - significant, NS - not significant; χ^2 - Chi-square; df – Degree of Freedom

According to the data in **Table 7** shows that there was no association between pre-test level of pain of arthritis patients with clinical variables like types of arthritis, duration, current medications, comorbid conditions,

physical activity, taking rest periods in between activities, stress management techniques, regular follow up to the physician, taking prescribed medications regularly, and common symptoms experienced.

DISCUSSION

The findings of the present study revealed that the rock salt hot application therapy was effective in reducing the pain level in patients with arthritis.

A similar study conducted by K. Samayochitha, B. Geetha Praveena in 2020 to assess the effectiveness of applying hot water and Epsom salt to relieve knee pain in old age with a total of 60 samples. It was found that in the experimental group, 2 (6%) had mild pain, 11 (37%) had moderate pain, 8 (27%) had severe pain, and 9 (30%) had the worst pain. And in the control group, 10 (34%) had the worst pain, 4 (13%) had moderate pain, 6 (20%) had severe pain, and 10 (33%) the least pain [12].

In the current study, patients' level of pain score after rock salt hot application between day 1 pre-test and day 7 post-test found that the calculated 't' value of 77.858 was higher than the tabulated 't' value of 1.677 suggesting that the level of pain experienced by patients with arthritis was significantly decreased by the rock salt hot application.

A similar study conducted by Lavanya Sankar in 2019 to assess the effectiveness of Epsom salt with hot water application on knee joint pain with a total of 29 sample, it was found that the calculated t-value 7.085 was higher than the tabulated t-value indicating that Epsom salt with hot water application was effective to revile knee joint pain [13].

The present study findings indicated that there was a significant association found between the pre-test level of pain of arthritis patients with socio-demographic variable, occupation (χ^2 =50.000, p=0.0036, df = 4). A similar study conducted by Ruth Benita. F in 2016 to assess the effect of hot water application with Epson salt in reliving joint pain arthritis patients experiencing joint pain found that old age, education level, and exercise levels had significant association with the pain scores [14].

Our study findings did not show any association between the pre-test level of pain of arthritis patients with clinical variables, whereas an experimental study conducted by Rajapriya, G in 2016 to assess the effectiveness of hot application Vs contrast therapy on knee related symptoms among 60 osteoarthritis patients in selected community area found that physical activity, types and duration of osteoarthritis showed statistically significant association with pre-test level of knee-related symptoms [15].

CONCLUSION

According to study's findings, rock salt hot application was effective in reducing the level of pain in arthritis patients as it is an easily available and cost-effective therapy. Hence the health care workers can be educated regarding this and encouraged to use rock salt hot application for pain relief of patients in the hospital and in community.

REFERENCES

- 1. Potter and Perry's (2008). Textbook of fundamentals of Nursing, Elsevier publication, south Asian edition,
- 2. Branco, M., Rêgo, N. N., Silva, P. H., Archanjo, I. E., Ribeiro, M. C., & Trevisani, V. F. (2016). Bath thermal waters in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled clinical trial. European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine. 52(4), 422–430.
- 3. Lewis's textbook of Medical-Surgical Nursing, edition 3rd, volume-2, page no. 1476
- 4. Brunner & Suddarth's textbook of Medical-Surgical Nursing, Edition 13th (south Asian edition), volume-2,
- 5. Okram, D., Sethi, D., Chavan, R., & Ramesh, S. (2020). The Effectiveness of Hot Fomentation with Epsom Salt Versus Common Salt on Knee Joint Pain among Elderly in the Selected Old Age Home of Pune City. *Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology*, 14(4).
- 6. Hammond, A., Jones, V., & Prior, Y. (2016). The effects of compression gloves on hand symptoms and hand function in rheumatoid arthritis and hand osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Clinical rehabilitation*, *30*(3), 213–224. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215515578296.
- 7. Aciksoz, S., Akyuz, A., & Tunay, S. (2017). The effect of self-administered superficial local hot and cold application methods on pain, functional status and quality of life in primary knee osteoarthritis patients. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 26(23-24), 5179-5190. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.14070
- 8. Ron Clijsen, Rahel S. (2022).Local heal application as a treatment of physical and functional parameters in acute and chronic musculoskeletal disorders or pain. "A textbook of archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation", pages 505-522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2021.06.015
- 9. Wongrakpanich S, Wongrakpanich A, Melhado K, Rangaswami J. (2018) A comprehensive review of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use in the elderly. Aging and disease. Feb;9(1):143.
- 10. Emine KE, Gulbeyaz C. (2022) The effect of salt-water bath in the management of treatment-related peripheral neuropathy in cancer patients receiving taxane and platinum-based treatment. EXPLORE. 1;18(3):347-56.
- 11. Ferraz MB, Quaresma MR, Aquino LR, Atra E, Tugwell P, Goldsmith CH. (1990). Reliability of pain scales in the assessment of literate and illiterate patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol; 17:1022–4.

- 12. Samayochitha, K., & Praveena, B. G. International Journal of Nursing Research (IJNR).
- 13. Sankar L. (2019) Effectiveness of Epsom Salt with Hot Water Application on Knee Joint Pain among Elderly in a Selected Rural Area at Puducherry. Pondicherry Journal of Nursing (PJN).;12(2):42-5p.
- 14. Ruth Benita F. (2016) A study to assess the effectiveness of hot water application with epsom salt in reducing joint pain among old age patients with rheumatoid arthritis in a selected hospital at Coimbatore (Doctoral dissertation, Ellen College of Nursing, Coimbatore).
- 15. Rajapriya G. (2016) Effect of hot application versus contrast therapy on knee related symptoms among patients with knee osteoarthritis in selected community area at Perambalur (Doctoral dissertation, Thanthai Roever College of Nursing, Perambalur).

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

Janki Rathva and Rose Mary George. Effectiveness of Rock Salt Hot Application on Level of Pain among Patients with Arthritis. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 12 [12] November 2023: 31-39