Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences

Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 7 [2] January2018 : 01-04 ©2017 Academy for Environment and Life Sciences, India

Online ISSN 2277-1808

Journal's URL:http://www.bepls.com

CODEN: BEPLAD

Global Impact Factor 0.876 Universal Impact Factor 0.9804

NAAS Rating 4.95





OPEN ACCESS

Effect of Estrous Synchronization Protocols on Estrous induction Response and Conception rate in anoestrous Gir cows

S. S. Parikh^{1*}, R. B. Makwana², B. D. Savaliya³ and T. K. Patbandha⁴

1,2,3 Cattle Breeding Farm, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India.

4 College of Veterinary Science & Animal Husbandry, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India.

*E-mail:drss.parikh@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The present study was planned to assess the effect of estrous synchronization protocolsfor the management of anoestrous condition in Gir cows. The study was carried out on 24 true anoestrous and 12 normal cyclic cows. Twelve anoestrous cows each were treated with Ovsynch and Cosynch protocol considering the day of GnRH injection as day 0. The cows in oestrus were inseminated with good quality frozen-thawed semen by a single technician at least for three cycles post-treatment if not settled. Pregnancy diagnosis was performed at 60 days post insemination in non-return cases. Conception rates at induced estrous in ovsynch and cosynch protocol were 58.33 and 25%, respectively. The corresponding overall conception rates of 3 cycles post-treatment were 75 and 66.67%, respectively. In control group, first service and overall conception rates were 33.33 and 41.67%, respectively. The results were best with Ovsynch followed by cosynch protocol. In conclusion, Ovsynch and cosynch protocols may serve as an excellent tool for induction of estrous and improvement of pregnancy rate in anoestrous Gir cows.

Key Words: Gir cows, Anoestrous, Ovsynch, Cosynch, Conception rate.

Received 10.10.2017 Revised 27.11.2017 Accepted 30.12.2017

INTRODUCTION

In a dairy farm, economic success primarily depends on production of calf crop at a regular interval and any deviation from this results economic loss owing to prolonged dry period, reduced number of calf crop and lactation during the life span of animal. Reproductive efficacy is considered as a key factor in dairy farm management. Improved reproductive management in dairy cattle increases milk productivity as well as reproductive capabilities of cows and young stocks [6]. Though the Gir cattle are recognised as one of the hardiest breeds among the high yielders in the world [9], they have poor reproductive performance (extended post-pubertal and postpartum anoestrus periods) as compared to exotic temperate breeds reared under similar management including the surrounding environments. The reproductive performance of high yielding cattle with high genetic merit declines in many dairy industries because of the negative association between the two traits. One of the major constraints of profitable dairy farming is low conception rate in dairy cows [20]. Anoestrous is the alarming condition consisted majority of infertility problems in zebu cattle. In such situation, hormonal interventions in dairy cattle have been gaining more importance to improve heat detection and insemination as well as pregnancy rates under a variety of production systems.

Reproductive efficacy could be improved in infertile cattle by hormonal therapies only with better nutrition [3, 14]. Various protocols have been developed using a combination of different hormones to induce and synchronize estrus for better pregnancy in anoestrous dairy bovines. These therapies induce cyclicity in acyclic animals and help to achieve ideal inter-calving interval of 12-13 months through a lesser number of services per conception [13]. Estrous synchronization protocol has been reported to considerably narrow down the ovulation time to a range of 24hours to achieve the goal of maximum conception rate with fixed time artificial insemination and eliminates the need for estrous detection [8]. Ovsynch and Cosynch protocols have been standardized well to control the estrous cycle and improve reproductive efficiency in dairy cattle [11]. The present study was designed to evaluate the comparative

efficacy of two estrous induction and synchronization protocols (Ovsynch and Cosynch) in postpartum anoestrus Gir cows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals, ration and experimental design:

The present study was conducted on 36 Gir cows selected from the herd maintained at the Cattle Breeding Farm, JAU, Junagadh. Of these, 24cowshad the history of anoestrous, whereas other 12 normal cyclic cows served as control. The cows had a moderate body condition with body weight ranging from 350 to 450 kg and were of 2 to 6 parity with the average milk production of 2500 to 3000 litres per lactation. All animals were fed green fodder, hay, compounded concentrate and mineral mixture as per the standard feeding schedule on the farm. Throughout the study period, animals were maintained under similar feeding and other farm practices under loose housing system of management. All the animals were in healthy condition and kept under strict control measures for internal and external parasitism, as they undergo a periodical deworming and prophylactic vaccination against the endemic diseases. The cows were screened gynaeco-clinically for their reproductive status. Detailed history and rectal palpation findings were recorded. Anoestrous cows were confirmed by palpating smooth inactive ovaries per rectum twice 10 days apart. They were randomly subjected to following two estrous synchronization protocols (viz., Ovsynch and Cosynch, n=12 each) with fixed time artificial insemination.

Treatment Protocols:

Twelve true anoestrous cows (Group-I)under Ovsynch protocol were administered intramuscularly with injection of GnRH, 5ml (Buserelin acetate 0.0042mg/ml equivalent to 0.004mg Buserelin/ml 'Receptal', Intervet, Germany) on day 0, followed by an injection $500\mu g$ (2ml) $PGF_2\alpha$ (Pergola, Virbac)on day 7, and a second GnRH injection of 5ml on day 9. Fixed time AI was performed 22hrs after second GnRH injection. Another twelve animals(Cosynch group, Group- II) were treated with the single intramuscular injection of 5 ml, GnRH, followed by an injection of $500\mu g$ (2 ml) of $PGF_2\alpha$ on day 7 and fixed time AI was performed 48 hours after PG injection together with single intramuscular injection of 5 ml of GnRH.

The third group (Group-III) of 12 normal cyclic cows that expressed spontaneous estrus within 90 days postpartum and inseminated served as normal cyclic control group. Cows in spontaneous or induced estrous were inseminated using good quality frozen-thawed semen by a single technician. Animals detected in estrous subsequent to FTAI were re-inseminated up to two cycles and in non-return cases pregnancy was confirmed per rectum 60 days of last AI.

Statistical analysis:

The data were compiled, expressed as a percent for better interpretation and analyzed statistically. The variation between groups in estrous induction response and conception rate was compared by chi-square test and considered as significant if $p' \le 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Anoestrous is the commonest single cause of infertility in cattle [17]. Results pertaining to estrous induction response and fertility in anoestrous Gir cows have been presented in Table-1.

Table-1: Oestrus induction response and fertility in true anoestrus Gir cows treated with Ovsynch, Cosynch and Untreated control groups.

Reproductive	Treatment	No.	Estrous	Conception rate (%)			
Status	Group	of	Induction	First	Second	Third	Overall
		Cows	Response (%)	Service	Service	Service	
Anoestrous	Ovsynch	12	100 (12/12)	7/12	2/5 (40)	-	9/12
Gir Cows	(Group-I)			(58.33)			(75)
	Cosynch	12	100 (12/12)	3/12	3/9	2/6	8/12
	(Group- II)			(25)	(33.33)	(33.33)	(66.67)
Normal	Untreated	12	-	4/12	-	1/8	5/12
Cyclic Gir	Control			(33.33)		(12.5)	(41.67)
Cows	(Group- III)						
Chi-square value				3.039	0.8	0.347	3.039
p-value		36	<u>-</u>	0.219	0.06	0.884	0.219

All the animals came to heat after estrous induction using Ovsynch and Cosynch treatment in anoestrous Gir cows. The conception rates obtained at induced estrous in cows under these two protocols were 58.33 and 25%, respectively, with corresponding overall pregnancy rates of three cycles as 75 and 66.67%. In the untreated normal cyclic control group (n=12),the conception rates at the first service and overall of

three cycles were 33.33 and 41.67%, respectively. The overall conception rate was higher in Group- I and II as compared to the normal cyclic group- III. But, the results were statistically non- significant. The response of anoestrous animal was at par the normal cycling animals indicating that the treatment has a significant effect on conception.

The findings with the Ovsynch protocol is in line with Dhami *et al.* [5] as 50.00% and 40.00%, first and second service conception rate in anoestrous crossbred cows, respectively, whereas, the overall conception rate was 80.00%.Lower first service conception rates of 30.00% [15] and 29.41% [4]with Ovsynch protocol are also documented by other researchers. Nak *et al.* [13] reported overall conception rate of 42.18%in non-cycling cows and 44.07% for heifers. Relatively lower conception rates of 46.66 to 55.55% were noted in other breeds of cows elsewhere [19, 16, 7]. However, conception rates of 61.00 to 90.00% were also noted in cows by Ansari *et al.* [2] and Muneer *et al.* [12].The possible reason for variation could be the reproductive status or stage of estrous cycle at the beginning of the protocol, nutritional, managerial, lactation, drug source, age and breed and variations in geographical locations.

The conception rate was 33.33% at induced estrus and 75.00% in the second cycle, with an overall conception rate of 83.33% for two cycles obtained with Cosynch protocol by Ramakrishnan *et al.* [18]. The conception rate obtained at first service of this study was similar to that reported previously (28-38%) in other breeds of anoestrus cows [1, 10].

CONCLUSION

An anoestrous condition in Gircows could be augmented by different hormonal protocols *viz*. Ovsynch and Cosynch protocols. Hence, it can be used by the practising veterinarians in anoestrous cows to improve their reproductive efficiency and thereby the farmers economy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ahuja, C., Montiel, F., Canseco, R., Silva, E. and Mapes, G. (2005). Pregnancy rate followingGnRH+PGF2á treatment of low body condition, anestrous *Bos taurus* by *Bos indicus* crossbred cows during the summer months in a tropical environment. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, **87**(3): 203-213.
- 2. Ansari, S.M.A., Rao, K.S. and Raju, K.G.S. (2008). Studies on post-partum anoestrus with special emphasis on induction of oestrus in crossbred cows. *Proc. XXIV Annual Convention of ISSAR and National Symposium, KVAFSU, Bangalore*, 11-13Dec., p.23.
- 3. Chaudhari, C. F., Suthar, B. N., Sharma, V. K., Dabas, V. S., Chaudhari, N. F. and Panchasara, H. H. (2012). Estrus induction and fertility response in delayed pubertal Kankrej heifers treated with Norgestomet ear implant. *Vet. World*, **5**: 453-458.
- 4. Dhami, A. J., Nakrani, B. B., Hadiya, K. K., Patel, J. A., Shah, R. G. (2015). Comparative efficacy of different estrus synchronization protocols on estrus induction response, fertility and plasma progesterone and biochemical profile in crossbred anestrus cows. *Veterinary World*, 8(11): 1310-1316.
- 5. Dhami, A.J., Panchal, M.T., Hadiya, K.K., Patel, J.A. andShah, R.G. (2014). Use of controlled breeding techniques under field conditions for estrus synchronization and conception in anoestrus crossbred cows and buffaloes. Proceedings 2nd Annual Meeting of SVSBT and National Seminar on Biotechnological Approaches to Challenges in Animal Health and Production. Veterinary College, DUVASU, Mathura, UP, India, March 6-7. p86.
- 6. Dudhatra, G. B., Mody, S. K., Patel, H. B., Modi, C. M., Chukewar, A. B., Avinash Kumar and Awale, M. M. (2012). Prostaglandins and its analogues: An approach for the treatment of anoestrous and to enhance breeding efficiency. *Vet. The world*, **5(6)**: 378-384.
- 7. Geary, T.W., Whittier, J.C., Downing, E.R., LeFever, D.G., Silcox, R.W., Holland, M. D., Nett, T.M. and Niswender, G.D. (1998). Pregnancy rates of postpartum beef cows that were synchronized using Syncro-Mate-B or the Ovsynch protocol. *J. Anim.Sci.*, **76**:1523-1527.
- 8. Jayaganthan, P., Vijayarajan, A., Prabhaharan, V., Rajkumar, R., Sivakumar, A. and Raja, S. (2016). Effect of Ovsynch plus CIDR protocol in the management of repeat breeding crossbred Jersey cows. *Int. J. of Sci. Envi. & Tech.*, **5(6)**: 3707-3712.
- 9. Kumar, P. and Singhal, L.K. (2006). Gir: An important milch cattle of Western India. The Indian Cow, 1: 67-68.
- 10. Larson, J.E., Lamb, G.C., Geary, T.W., Stevenson, J.S., Johnson, S.K., Day, M. L., Kesler, D.J., DeJarnette, J.M. and Landblom, D. (2004). Synchronization ofestrus in replacement beef heifers using GnRH, prostaglandin F (PG), and progesterone (CIDR): a multi-location study. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **82**(1): 368.
- 11. Lucy, M. C., McDougall, S. and Nation, D. P. (2004). The use of hormonal treatments to improve the reproductive performance of lactating dairy cows in a feedlot or pasture-based management systems. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, **82–83**: 495–512.
- 12. Muneer, S., Rao, K.S. and Raju, K.G.S. (2009). Efficacy of GnRH-PGF2á-GnRH, PMSG and PMSG+ hCGin postpartum anestrous crossbred cows. *Indian J.Anim Reprod.*, **30**(1):7-9.
- 13. Nak, Y., Tuna, B., Nak, D., Karakas, E. and Simsek, G. (2011) The effects of Ovsynch, Ovsynch with progestin and progestin plus double TAI on pregnancy rates in unobserved oestrus dairy cows and heifers. *Kafkas Univ. Vet. Faul. Derg.*, **17(6)**: 917-922.

Parikh et al

- 14. Nakrani, B.B., Panchal, M.T., Dhami, A.J., Hadiya, K.K., Patel, J.A., Gosai, R.K. and Shah, R.G. (2014). Influence of controlled breeding techniques on estrus induction response, conception rate and plasma progesterone profile in anoestrus buffaloes. *Glob. J. Med. Res.*, **14(3)**: 1-6.
- 15. Patel, K.R., Dhami, A.J., Hadiya, K.K., Savalia, K.K. andSarvaiya, N.P. (2013). Effect of CIDR and Ovsynch protocolson estrus response, fertility and plasma progesteroneand biochemical profile in true anoestrus crossbred cows.*Indi. J. Anim. Prod. Mange.*, **29(3-4)**: 50-58.
- 16. Pursley, J.R., Mee, M.O. and Wiltbank, M.C. (1995). Synchronization of ovulation in dairy cows using PGF and GnRH. *Theriogenology*, **44**(7): 915-923.
- 17. Roberts, S. J. (1998). Veterinary Obstetrics and Genital Diseases. 2nd Edition (Indian reprint) CBS Publishers, New Delhi- 100012, PP. 436.
- 18. Ramakrishnan, A., Dhami, A. J., Naikoo, M., Parmar, B. C. and Divekar, B. S. (2012). Estrous induction and fertility response in postpartum anoestrous Gir cows. Ind. J. of Ani. Repro., 33(1): 37-42.
- 19. Sathiamoorthy, T. and Kathirchelvan, M. (2010). Efficacyof PGF2, CIDR and Ovsynch treatment on estrusresponse and fertility rate in crossbred cows. *IndianJ. Anim. Reprod.*, **31**(2): 43-45.
- 20. Shamsuddin, M., Bhuiyan, M. M. U., Sikder, T. K., Sugulle, A. H., Alam, M. G. S. and Galloway, D. (2001). Constraints limiting the efficiency of artificial insemination of cattle in Bangladesh. In radioimmunoassay and related techniques to improve artificial insemination programmes for cattle reared under tropical and subtropical conditions. Proceeding of a final research co-ordination meeting organized by the joint FAO/IAEA. Division of nuclear techniques in food and Agriculture and held in Uppsala, Sweden.

CITATION OF THE ARTICLE

S. S. Parikh, R. B. Makwana, B. D. Savaliya and T. K. Patbandha. Effect of Estrous Synchronization Protocols on Estrous induction Response and Conception rate in anoestrous Gir cows. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 7 [2] January 2018: 01-04