



## **Effect of Zinc Nanoparticles Supplementation on plane of Nutrition and weight of Vital organs in Guinea Pigs**

**Sandeep Uniyal<sup>1</sup>, A.K Garg<sup>1</sup>, M.Raza<sup>2</sup>, S.K Jaiswal<sup>2</sup>, Ashwin K<sup>1</sup>, J.K Sahoo<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Animal Nutrition Division <sup>2</sup> Division of Poultry Science

Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly (U.P) -243122

### **ABSTRACT**

*A study was conducted to assess the effect of zinc nanoparticles on plane of nutrition and weight of vital organs in guinea pigs. The treatments, groups (T<sub>1</sub>, control (inorganic zinc; ZnSO<sub>4</sub>); T<sub>2</sub>, organic Zn (Zn methionine), commercial zinc nanoparticle (T<sub>3</sub>), two zinc nanoparticles prepared in our laboratory (T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>) were formed with six guinea pigs in each group (289±3.5 g body weight) in a completely randomized design. They were each supplemented with 20 mg Zn per kg feed on dry matter basis for 90 days experimental period. The results showed that supplementation of 20 ppm Zn nanoparticles had no beneficial effect on plane of nutrition and weights of vital organs were also comparable in all the treatment groups.*

**Keywords:** Zn, nanoparticles, ALT, AST

Received 21.01.2017

Revised 05.02.2017

Accepted 01.03.2017

### **INTRODUCTION**

Zinc (Zn) is a trace element essential for every living being [1]. It is an important component of various metallo-enzymes and activator of more than 300 enzymes plays vital role in hormone secretion, especially related to growth, reproduction and immune-competence[2]. Zinc is an essential component of both DNA and RNA polymerases [3]. It is a part of liver enzymes as cofactor including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and found in large quantities in liver enzymes [4]. Zinc is one of the structural component of wide variety of proteins and dependent enzymes like superoxide dismutase (SOD) that act as essential component of antioxidant defense system [5]. Zinc is required for normal activity of NK cells and function of B and T lymphocytes and maintains the integrity of immune system by preventing atrophy of lymphoid organs [6]. It is required for normal testicular development and spermatogenesis [7]. So far, the major source of Zn for animal feed supplementation has been its inorganic salts, such as zinc sulphate (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>), zinc oxide (ZnO) and zinc chloride (ZnCl<sub>2</sub>). However, bioavailability of Zn from inorganic sources has been reported to be quite low [8]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that material at nano meter dimension exhibit novel properties different from its normal sized particles. Studies showed that nanoparticles of minerals have higher bioavailability

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### *Synthesis of Zinc Nanoparticles*

Zinc nano particles were synthesized by two different methods i.e. direct precipitation method [9] and Chitosan/ ZnO method [10] using chitosan and ZnO as starting materials and alkali as precipitating agent.

#### Characterization and Quantification of Zinc

Particle size of synthesized products (zinc nanoparticles) was measured using transmission electron microscope (JEOL JEM-1400) at ICAR-National Institute of High Security Animal Diseases, Bhopal.

*Animals, Feeding and Management*

Thirty weaned healthy male guinea pigs (*Cavia porcellus*) were procured from Laboratory Animal Research Section of ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar and divided into five groups of six each on the basis of body weight ( $289\pm 3.5$  g) following completely randomized design. Experimental animals were housed in a well-ventilated room adopting similar management and hygienic practices throughout the experiment. Clean drinking water was provided *ad libitum*. Experimental feeding was similar in all five groups, except for the source of zinc, which was zinc sulphate in group T<sub>1</sub>, organic zinc (Zn-methionine) in group T<sub>2</sub>, commercial zinc nanoparticles (SRL chemicals) in group T<sub>3</sub>, zinc nanoparticles prepared by the method of [9] in group T<sub>4</sub> and by the method of [10] in group T<sub>5</sub>. The weighed amount of the basal diet [20% ground maize (*Zea mays*) hay, 32% ground maize grain, 22.5% bengal gram (*Cicer arietinum*), 9% soybean (*Glycine max*) meal, 9% wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) bran, 6% fish meal, 1% mineral mixture (without zinc), 0.45% common salt and 0.05% vitamin C, 20 ppm zinc along with about 10-20 g green maize fodder daily (to meet their vitamin A requirement)] was offered daily at 09.30 h to meet the nutrient requirement. The amount of feed was regularly revised at weekly interval as per body weight of the animals during entire experimental feeding period of 90 days

*Plane of nutrition*

To determine plane of nutrition a four days metabolism trial was carried out after 45 days of experimental feeding. For this purpose, four animals from each group were randomly selected and kept in individual metabolic cages. Animals were adapted to these metabolic cages for three days before starting the actual collection of feces and urine. The feeding schedule during the metabolism trial was the same as was during the experimental feeding. During the metabolism trial representative samples of feeds offered and residue were dried, pooled and stored in airtight containers for further analysis. The total feces voided by individual animal during 24 hour period was separately collected and weighed at a fixed time daily. Half of the faeces were taken for DM estimation and dried samples were pooled for all four days in the polythene bags. Remaining half of the faeces for each animal was collected daily into a glass bottle containing 20% sulphuric acid and pooled for four days for nitrogen estimation. Urine excreted was also collected daily and pooled and preserved in 20% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for the estimation of minerals and nitrogen.

*Chemical Analysis*

The feed and fodder were dried in a hot air oven until a constant weight is achieved and then ground to pass 1 mm sieve and stored in airtight polythene bags for further analysis. Analyses of proximate principles [11].

*Estimation of weight of vital organs*

At the end of experimental period of 90 days four animals from each group were sacrificed and vital organs like liver, kidney, spleen and testes were collected and washed with normal saline solution and there after weight of each vital organs were recorded on as such basis.

*Statistical Analysis*

All the data generated in the above experiment were statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS version 13 computer package. For comparison of groups, generalized linear model ANOVA procedure and Duncan's multiple range test were used [12].

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION***Chemical composition of basal diet*

A level of 20.29% CP in the basal diet (Table 1) fed to the guinea pigs in different groups was comparable to the requirement of guinea pigs as per [13].

*Plane of nutrition*

Comparable ( $P>0.05$ ) intake of DCP and TDN and nutritive value of diet in all experimental groups (Table 2), indicated that supplementation of zinc either through organic source (Zn methionine) or as its nano particles at 20 ppm level in the diet had no effect on plane of nutrition of guinea pigs. However, similar to our observation [14] in kids, [15] in lambs [16] in guinea pigs and [17] in bulls did not observe any difference in DCP and TDN intake on supplementation of 20-40 ppm of either organic Zn or ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.

*Estimation of weight of vital organs*

Similar ( $P>0.05$ ) weights of liver, kidney, spleen and testes among different groups, (Table 3), indicated that supplementation of Zn either through organic source (Zn methionine) or as its nano particles at 20 ppm level in the diet had no effect on weights of vital organs in

the guinea pigs. Similar to our observations, [16] did not observe any difference in weight of liver and spleen in guinea pigs supplemented 20 ppm Zn as organic or through ZnSO<sub>4</sub>. [18] also did not observe any significant difference in weights of vital organs in broilers supplemented with 80-120 ppm organic or inorganic Zn.

**Table 1 Chemical composition (% DM basis) of basal diet and green fodder**

Nutrients	Basal diet	Green maize
OM	92.51	92.24
CP	20.29	4.90
EE	2.35	2.24
NDF	48.77	70.69
ADF	20.08	39.67
Ca	2.17	0.85
P	1.20	0.58
Zn (ppm)	18.0	7.75
Cu (ppm)	14.98	11.42
Fe (ppm)	50.2	10.60
Mn (ppm)	39.72	17.11
Co (ppm)	1.77	0.36

**Table 2 plane of nutrition of guinea pigs in different groups during metabolic trail**

Attributes	G 1	G 2	G 3	G 4	G 5	SEM	P value
Mean BW (g)	498.0±7.2	492.0±31.6	504.2±17.0	506.9±36.3	496.3±4.4	9.7	0.807
DMI (g/kg <sup>0.75</sup> )	41.2±3.9	43.6±4.7	44.0±1.5	44.3±3.5	44.9±1.0	1.3	0.817
OMI (g/kg <sup>0.75</sup> )	38.1±3.6	40.3±4.4	40.7±1.4	41.0±3.3	41.5±0.9	1.2	0.817
CPI (g/kg <sup>0.75</sup> )	7.56±0.8	8.03±0.9	8.14±0.3	8.19±0.7	8.31±0.2	0.3	0.821
DCPI (g/kg <sup>0.75</sup> )	5.35±0.6	5.82±0.7	5.99±0.2	5.86±0.5	5.86±0.1	0.2	0.849
TDNI (g/kg <sup>0.75</sup> )	31.4±3.3	33.8±4.4	33.9±0.6	34.8±3.1	34.5±0.7	1.1	0.850
<b>Nutritive value of diet (%)</b>							
CP	18.31±0.19	18.35±0.22	18.47±0.08	18.47±0.08	18.50±0.03	0.06	0.831
DCP	12.93±0.26	13.28±0.27	13.59±0.20	13.19±0.19	13.05±0.08	0.10	0.283
TDN	76.0±2.39	76.9±2.86	77.1±1.66	78.3±1.26	76.8±1.19	0.79	0.948

**Table 3 Weight of vital organs of guinea pigs in different groups**

Attributes	G 1	G 2	G 3	G 4	G 5	SEM	P Value
Mean Body wt (g)	527.1±32.0	522.7±34.42	548.1±11.56	527.2±25.55	509.6±20.31	10.4	0.895
<b>Organ wt (g)</b>							
Liver	21.90±1.50	19.00±1.27	21.35±2.10	21.60±2.38	20.55±1.03	0.76	0.805
Kidney	4.20±0.60	4.15±0.25	3.95±0.26	4.35±0.13	4.25±0.10	0.1	0.778
Spleen	0.70±0.10	0.75±0.10	0.80±0.12	0.75±0.10	0.80±0.08	0.04	0.996
Testes	4.40±0.40	5.05±0.13	5.65±0.41	5.35±0.26	5.15±0.22	0.14	0.163
<b>Organ wt (as % of BW)</b>							
Liver	4.15±0.03	3.63±0.11	3.88±0.34	4.08±0.31	4.03±0.11	0.10	0.589
Kidney	0.81±0.16	0.80±0.01	0.72±0.04	0.83±0.04	0.84±0.03	0.02	0.457
Spleen	0.14±0.03	0.14±0.01	0.15±0.02	0.15±0.02	0.16±0.02	0.01	0.944
Testes	0.84±0.03	0.97±0.05	1.03±0.06	1.02±0.02	1.01±0.03	0.02	0.115

## CONCLUSION

Results reveal that there were no significant difference in the plane of nutrition and weights of vital organs in guinea pigs supplemented 20 ppm of zinc nanoparticles as compared to other treatment groups.

**REFERENCES**

1. Underwood, E. J. and Suttle, N. F. 1999. The Mineral Nutrition of Livestock. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. New york, CABI Publishing. 614 p.
2. Boland, M. P. (2003). Trace minerals in production and reproduction in dairy cows. *Adv. in Dairy Tech.* **15**: 319-329.
3. Tuorma, T. E. 1995. Adverse effect of zinc deficiency a review from the literature. *J. Orthomol. Med.* **10(3)**: 149-164.
4. Bennet, P. M., Jepson, P. D., Law, R. J., Jones B. R., Kuiken, T., Baker, J. R., Rogan, E. and Krikwood J. K. (2001). Exposer to heavy metals and infectious disease mortality in harbor porpoises from England and Wales. *Environ. Pollution.* **112**: 33-40.
5. Bao, Y. M. and Choct, M. (2009). Trace mineral nutrition for broiler chickens and prospects of application of organically complexed trace minerals: a review. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* **49**: 369-282.
6. Ibs, K. H. and Rink, L. (2003). Zinc altered immune function. *J. Nutr.* **133**: 1452-1456.
7. Kumar N., Verma R. P., Singh L. P., Varshney V. P. and Dass R. S. (2006). Effect of different levels and sources of zinc supplementation on quantitative and qualitative semen attributes and serum testosterone level in crossbred cattle (*Bos indicus* × *Bos taurus*) bulls. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* **46**: 663-675.
8. Zhao, Y. C., Shu, T. X., Xiao, Y. X., Qiu, S. X., Pan, Q. J. and Tang, X. Z. (2014). Effects of dietary zinc oxide nanoparticles on growth performance and antioxidative status in broiler. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **160(3)**: 361-367.
9. Becheri, A., Dürr, M., Nostro, P.L., Baglioni, P. (2008). Synthesis and characterization of zinc oxide nanoparticles: application to textiles as UV-absorbers. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **10**, 679-689.
10. Abdelhady, M.M. (2012). Preparation and characterization of chitosan/zinc oxide nanoparticles for imparting antimicrobial and UV protection to cotton fabric. *Int. J. Carbohydr. Chem.* **2012**, 840591.
11. AOAC. (2012). Official Method of Analysis of AOAC International, 19th edn. Virginia, USA, Association of Analytical Communities International.
12. Snedecor, G. W, Cochran, W. G. (1994). Statistical method, 6th ed. The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
13. ICAR, Delhi, India, (2013). 1<sup>st</sup> edn. Nutrient requirements of companion, laboratory and captive wild animals.
14. Kala, A. (2009). Effect of inorganic and organic zinc supplementation on the performance of male kids. Thesis, MVSc. Deemed University, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, India. 122 p.
15. Garg, A. K., Mudgal, V. and Dass, R. S. (2008). Effect of organic zinc supplementation on growth, nutrient utilization and mineral profile in lambs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **144**: 82-96.
16. Shinde, P. L, Dass, R. S, Garg A. K. Chaturvedi V. K. and Kumar, R. (2006). Effect of zinc supplementation from different sources on growth, nutrient digestibility, blood metabolic profile, and immune response of male guinea pigs. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **112(3)**: 247-262.
17. Mandal, G. P., Dass, R. S., Isore, D. P., Garg, A. K. and Ram, G. C. (2007). Effect of zinc supplementation from two sources on growth, nutrient utilization and immune response in male crossbred cattle (*Bos indicus* × *Bos taurus*) bulls. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **138**: 1-12.
18. Jahanian, R., Moghaddam, H. N. and Rezaei, A. (2008). Improved broiler chick performance by dietary supplementation of organic zinc sources. *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* **21(9)**: 1348-1354

**CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE**

S Uniyal, A.K Garg, M.Raza, S.K Jaiswal, Ashwin K, J.K Sahoo. Effect of Zinc Nanoparticles Supplementation on plane of Nutrition and weight of Vital organs in Guinea Pigs. *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci.*, Vol 6[2] January 2017: 74-77