**Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences** Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 6 [9] August 2017: 53-57 ©2017 Academy for Environment and Life Sciences, India Online ISSN 2277-1808 Journal's URL:http://www.bepls.com CODEN: BEPLAD Global Impact Factor 0.533 Universal Impact Factor 0.9804

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# Enhancement of Heavy Metals Eliminating Ability of Spinach With Microbial Enrichment

\*Alavala Uma Rajshekhar, R. Subhash Reddy<sup>1</sup>, P. Chandrasekhar Rao<sup>2</sup>, M. Chandini Patnaik<sup>3</sup>

<sup>\*1</sup>Department of Agricultural Microbiology & Bioenergy,Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural university, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030,India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil science and Agricultural chemistry, College of Agriculture,Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030,India.

<sup>3</sup>AICRP on Micronutrients soil and plants, Telangana , Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural

university, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030,India.

\*Corresponding author – shekharagrico34@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to determine the uptake and accumulation of heavy metals in different parts of vegetable crop spinach and also reduction in heavy metals in the soil due to microbial inoculation. The poly bag experiment was conducted following complete randomized block design with 12 treatments and three replications.Polluted Soil with supply of fresh water ,Unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water, Unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water, Unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water.The heavy metal concentrations of soil, shoot and leaves revels that the Ni and Cobalt were more in the treatments  $T_{10}(Soil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas),T_{10}(Soil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas),T_2(SFSoil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas)),T_{10}(Soil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas),T_{2}(Soil+FYM),Co accumulated more in the shoots of treatment <math>T_{8}(SFSoil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas),Cd$  was accumulated in the shoots of treatment  $T_{12}(Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas),Where as in the heavy metal accumulation content in the leaves,Ni content more in the treatment <math>T_{12}$ ,Co was accumulated more in the treatment  $T_{9}(Soil+FYM),Cd$  was more accumulated in the treatment  $T_{8}$ .

Keywords: Microbial cultures, polluted and unpolluted soils, spinach, water, heavy metal concentration

Received 21.05.2017

Revised 11.06.2017

Accepted 29.07.2017

### INTRODUCTION

Intensification of agriculture and manufacturing industries has resulted in increased release of a wide range of xenobiotic compounds to the environment. Improper treatment and disposal of industrial waste waters and solid wastes are the major causes of soil contamination by heavy metals. Heavy metals are absorbed and accumulated by plants thus are absorbed directly or indirectly by human through the food chain. Heavy metal contamination is known to have adverse effects on soil biological functions, including the size, activity and diversity of the soil microbial community [2], the activity of enzymes involved in transformations of C, N, P and S [5] and crop growth. There is strong evidence that soil microbes are more sensitive to heavy metal contamination than crop plants or animals [3]. Significant reductions in microbial biomass and soil respiration have been found in metal contaminated soils compared to uncontaminated soils. Loss of microbial populations in metal-contaminated soils impacts elemental cycling, organic remediation efforts, plant growth, and soil structure. Phytoremediation also presents a cheap, non invasive and safe alternative to conventional clean up techniques and can be accomplished by phytoextraction, phytodegradation, phytostabilization, phytovolatilization and rhizofiltration [4]. Spinach is a common vegetable grown all round the year. It can take up cadmium and accumulate in stems from polluted soil significantly. It is an easily cultivable crop, with minimum cultivation practices.

The present study was conducted to determine the uptake and accumulation of heavy metals in different parts of vegetable crop spinach and also reduction in heavy metals in the soil due to microbial inoculation

### MATERIAL AND METHODS Soil Samples and Soil Characteristics

Soil samples of polluted and unpolluted soils were collected before sowing and analysed for the physical(pH, EC, and particle size and chemical characters like NPK and OC parameters) and microbiological properties by adopting standard procedures at Department of Agricultural Microbiology and Bio-energy and Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad.Water samples were also analyzed before sowing of crop in polluted and unpolluted soils.

# Estimation of heavy metal content and microbial count of spinach beet in poly bags treated with chemical fertilizers, farm yard manure

#### **Crop details**

The pot culture experiment was conducted at Department of Agricultural Microbiology and Bioenergy during 2012-13. For this investigation leafy vegetable crop, spinach beet, PusaJyothi variety was sown in pot experiments followed completely randomized block design with four treatments and three replications.

### Experiment details

### Treatments

The treatments forpoly bag experiment were fixed as twelve treatments each treatment with three replications were designed. All three replications were used to record observations on yield, quality parameters of spinach around 30 and 60 days after sowing.

In this context of pot culture experiment having twelve treatments and followed statistical design .in this treatment subdivided into three parts: polluted soil with supply of fresh water, unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water and unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water. Polluted soil with supply of fresh water have T1:SF Soil+FYM@12 t/ha,T2:SF Soil+FYM+VAM+*Pseudomonas*,T3:SF Soil+RDF,T4: SF Soil + RDF + FYM + VAM + *Pseudomonas*. Unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water, have T5: Soil + FYM,T6: Soil + FYM + VAM + *Pseudomonas*,T7: Soil + RDF, T8 :Soil+ RDF + FYM + VAM + *Pseudomonas*. Unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water, have T5: Soil + RDF, T8 :Soil + RDF + FYM + VAM + *Pseudomonas*. Unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water, have T9:Soil + RDF, T8 :Soil + RDF + FYM + VAM + *Pseudomonas*. T11:Soil + RDF, T12:Soil + RDF + FYM + VAM + *Pseudomonas*, T11:Soil + RDF, T12:Soil + RDF + FYM + VAM + *Pseudomonas*.

## Preparation of poly bags mixture

The cleaned poly bags were filled with 8 kg soil and this soil was mixed with chemical fertilizer (0.14: 0.24: 0.37 g poly bag<sup>-1</sup> NPK), farm yard manure (78.75 g poly bag<sup>-1</sup>) and Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae (100 to 150 g of infected propagules poly bag<sup>-1</sup>) according to the treatments which were neatly arranged in the net house.

#### Chemical fertilizers

Phosphorus and potassium @ 0.24 g poly bag<sup>-1</sup>  $P_2O_5$  and 0.37 g poly bag<sup>-1</sup>  $K_2O$  were applied through Di Ammonium Phosphate and Muriate of Potash respectively as basal application. Nitrogen was applied in the form of Urea @ 0.24 g poly bag<sup>-1</sup> after germination and after 30 and 60 days after sowing.Farmyard manure was applied @ 78.75 g poly bag<sup>-1</sup> which was mixed with soil according to the treatments requirement. EC and pH of FYM were 0.95 dS/m and 7.59 respectively and Ni, Co, Cd content in FYM was 0.91, 0.20, 0.01-0.02 respectively.

### Seed Sowing and maintenance

The poly bags were sown with PusaJyothi variety of spinach beet at the rate of 20 seeds per poly bag. After germination, thinning was done and routine care was taken to protect the plants from pest and diseases.

#### Heavy metals analysis

The Ni, Co, Cd in soil before sowing crop and after harvesting of crop determined using DTPA method by Atomic absorption spectrophotometer [7].

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Heavy metal accumulation in soil

The data showing the Nickel content in spinach pot culture experiment irrigated with polluted water showed highest value in treatment  $T_{10}$  1.14mg/kg and the lowest values were observed in  $T_5$  (0.49mg/kg) in unpolluted soils. Similar findings were reported by Srinivasrao *et al.* [8] and Adriano *et al.* [1] in heavy metals contaminated area.

The data showing the Cobalt content in spinach pot culture experiment irrigated with polluted water showed highest values in treatment  $T_2$  and  $T_{10}$  (1.21mg/kg) and the lowest values was observed in  $T_7$  (0.89mg/kg) in unpolluted soils.

The values of heavy metal Cadmium in polluted and unpolluted soils collected after harvesting of spinach crop. Among all the treatments, the treatment  $T_2$  0.30 mg/kg showed highest values in polluted soils as followed by treatment  $T_1$  (0.29) and the lowest values were found in  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_7$  as same value 0.25mg/kg in unpolluted soils (Table 1).

### Heavy metal accumulation in shoot

Nickel content in spinach shoots of the pot culture experiment irrigated with different quality of water in polluted and unpolluted soils. The data showed that there was more accumulation of the Ni in the treatment  $T_9$  (4.80mg/kg) with irrigation with polluted water and followed by  $T_8$  (4.62mg/kg) and  $T_{12}$  (3.70mg/kg). The lowest accumulation by Ni was found in  $T_1$  (1.42mg/kg) in polluted soil. This variation might be due to the reason that Ni content in sewage water is high compared to tube well water.

Cobalt content in spinach shoots of the pot culture experiment irrigated with different quality of water in polluted and unpolluted soils. The data showed that there was more accumulation of the Co in the treatment  $T_8$  (9.27mg/kg) with application of fresh water in unpolluted soil ,followed by , $T_{11}$  (8.65 mg/kg),  $T_6$  (8.54 mg/kg),  $T_4$  (8.51 mg/kg) and the lowest accumulation of Co was found in treatment T10 (3.56mg/kg) in application with polluted water. Similar findings were reported by Leyval*et al.* [6].

Cadmium content in spinach shoots of the pot culture experiment irrigated with different quality of water in polluted and unpolluted soils. The data showed that there was more accumulation of the Cd in the treatment  $T_{12}$  (9.66mg/kg) with application of polluted water in unpolluted soil. The lowest accumulation of Cd was found in treatment T10(4.49mg/kg) in application with polluted water.

#### Heavy metal accumulation in leaves

Nickel content in spinach leaves grown in pot culture experiment irrigated with different quality water, is presented in the Table 2. The data showed that maximum Ni accumulation  $T_{12}$  (5.88mg/kg) was observed soil in irrigated with polluted water, followed by  $T_{11}$  (5.00mg/kg) and  $T_2$  (4.34mg/kg) and the lowest Ni accumulation was found in treatment  $T_1(1.28mg/kg)$  in polluted soil.

Cobalt content in spinach leaves grown in pot culture experiment irrigated with different quality of water is presented in the Table 2. The data showed that maximum Co accumulation showed in treatment  $T_9$  (4.66mg/kg) was observed in unpolluted soil application with fresh water treatments which was on par with  $T_8$  (4.40mg/kg). The lowest value was found in  $T_{10}$  (1.27mg/kg) in polluted soils.

Cadmium content in spinach leaves grown in pot culture experiment irrigated with different quality of water is presented in the Table 2. The data showed that maximum Co accumulation showed in treatment  $T_8$  (8.00 mg/kg) was observed in unpolluted soil application with fresh water treatments which was on par with  $T_1$  (7.90mg/kg) and  $T_7$  (7.94mg/kg). The lowest value was found in  $T_{10}$  (2.34 mg/kg) in polluted soils.

Treatments	Ni	Со	Cd			
Polluted Soil with supply of fresh water						
T <sub>1</sub> - SF Soil+FYM	0.99	1.17	0.29			
T <sub>2</sub> - SF Soil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	1.12	1.21	0.30			
T <sub>3</sub> -SF Soil +RDF	1.03	0.93	0.27			
T <sub>4</sub> - SF Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	0.81	0.99	0.25			
Unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water						
T <sub>5</sub> - SF Soil +FYM+Psuedomonas	0.49	0.9	0.25			
T <sub>6</sub> - SF Soil + FYM+ VAM+ <i>Psuedomonas</i>	0.90	1.15	0.27			
T7- SF Soil+RDF	0.69	0.89	0.25			
T <sub>8</sub> - SF Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	0.78	1.05	0.26			
Unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water						
T9- Soil+FYM	0.87	0.99	0.28			
T <sub>10</sub> -Soil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	1.14	1.21	0.27			
T <sub>11</sub> - Soil+RDF	0.74	1.02	0.27			
T <sub>12</sub> - Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	0.86	0.97	0.26			
SE m±	0.014	0.031	0.006			
C.D at 5%	0.083	0.056	0.018			

# Table 1.Effect of microbial cultures on heavymetals Ni, Co, Cd (mg/kg) in soil at 30 and 60 DAS in polluted and unpolluted soils of spinach beet

Table 2Effect of microbial cultures on heavy metals Ni, Co, Cd (mg/kg) in leaf at 30 and 60 DAS in				
polluted and unpolluted soils of spinach beet				

	opinat				
Treatments	Ni	Со	Cd		
Polluted Soil with supply of fresh water					
T <sub>1</sub> - SF Soil+FYM	1.28	2.93	7.90		
T <sub>2</sub> - SF Soil+FYM+VAM+ <i>Psuedomonas</i>	4.34	3.48	6.51		
T <sub>3</sub> -SF Soil +RDF	2.00	3.62	6.10		
T <sub>4</sub> - SF Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	2.82	4.03	6.43		
Unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water					
T <sub>5</sub> - SF Soil +FYM+Psuedomonas	3.84	2.53	2.75		
T <sub>6</sub> - SF Soil + FYM+ VAM+ <i>Psuedomonas</i>	2.70	3.18	7.24		
T <sub>7</sub> - SF Soil+RDF	2.67	3.52	7.94		
T <sub>8</sub> - SF Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	2.20	4.40	8.00		
Unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water					
T9- Soil+FYM	2.55	4.66	7.66		
T <sub>10</sub> -Soil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	2.69	1.27	2.34		
T <sub>11</sub> - Soil+RDF	5.00	4.10	7.31		
T <sub>12</sub> - Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	5.88	4.14	7.74		
SE m±	0.357	0.15	0.083		
C.D at 5%	1.058	0.438	0.241		

 Table 3 Effect of microbial cultures on heavy metals Ni, Co, Cd (mg/kg) in shoot at 30 and 60 DAS in polluted and unpolluted soils of spinach beet

Treatments	Ni	Со	Cd			
Polluted Soil with supply of fresh water						
T <sub>1</sub> - SF Soil+FYM	1 4 2	7 4 2	70			
To SE Soil + EVM + VAM + Devedomonas	1.42	7.42	7.0			
12- SF Soll+FTM+VAM+FSueuomonus	2.19	8.20	8.41			
T <sub>3</sub> -SF Soil +RDF	3.58	8.48	8.15			
T <sub>4</sub> - SF Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	2.58	8.51	8.35			
Unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water						
T <sub>5</sub> - SF Soil +FYM+Psuedomonas	2.40	6.58	6.75			
T <sub>6</sub> - SF Soil + FYM+ VAM+ <i>Psuedomonas</i>	2.73	8.54	8.66			
T <sub>7</sub> - SF Soil+RDF	2.23	8.26	8.50			
T <sub>8</sub> - SF Soil+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	4.62	9.27	9.36			
Unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water						
T9- Soil+FYM	4.80	9.10	9.35			
T <sub>10</sub> - Soil+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas						
	2.05	3.56	4.49			
T <sub>11</sub> - Soil+RDF	0.07	0.47	0.00			
	3.35	8.65	8.98			
I 12- SOII+RDF+FYM+VAM+Psuedomonas	3.70	9.04	9.66			
SE m±	0.325	0.261	0.091			
C.D at 5%	0.947	0.762	0.266			

SF soil = Student Farm Soil, RDF = Recommended dose of fertilizers, FYM = Farm Yard Manure

## CONCLUSION

In this present study the improvement of heavy metals removing ability of Spinach with microbial enrichment by the mainly with the comparison of heavy metals in the soil with accumulation of heavy metals in the leaves and shoots. Mainly emphasizes on microbial consortia treatment of Polluted Soil with supply of fresh water, Unpolluted soil with supply of fresh water, Unpolluted soil with supply of polluted water were improved the heavy metal (Ni,Co,Cd) extraction capacity of the spinach plants. This research will promote the phytoremediation and bioremediation.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Adriano, D.C., Bolan, N.S., Koon, B.J., Naidu and Wenzel, W.W. (2002). Natural remediation process bioavailability interactions in contaminated soil 17<sup>th</sup> WCSS Symposium 14-21 August (2002), Thailand. 42: 501.
- 2. Chander, K., Dyckmans, J., Joergensen, R.G., Meyer, B and Raubuch, M. (2001). Different sources of heavy metals and their long-term effects on soil microbial properties. *Biological Fertility Soils*.34: 241–247.
- 3. Giller, K. E., Witter, E and Mcgrath, S.P. (1999). Assessing risks of heavy metal toxicity in agricultural soils. *Human Ecological Risk Assessment*. 5: 683–689.
- 4. Glick, B. (2003). Phytoremediation: synergistic use of plants and bacteria to clean up the environment. *Biotechnology Advance.* 21: 383-393.
- 5. Hemida, S.K., Omar, S.A and Abdel, M.A.Y. (1997). Microbial populations and enzyme activity in soil treated with heavy metals. *Water Air and Soil Pollution*. 95: 13–22.
- 6. Leyval, C., Joner, E.J., Delval, C and Haselwandter, K. (2002).Potential of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for bioremediation.*Mycorrhizal Technology in Agriculture*. 2: 175-186.
- 7. Lindsay, W.L and Norvell, W.A. (1978).Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper.*Soil Science Society of American Journal*. 41: 421-428.
- 8. Srinivasarao, C.H., Ramagayatri, S., Venkateswarlu, B., Jakkula, V.S., Wani, S.P and Sahrawat, K.L. (2012). Heavy metals concentration in soils under rainfed agro- ecosystem and their relationship with soil properties and management practices. *International Journal of Environmental Science Technology*. 42: 445-450

#### **CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE**

Alavala Uma Rajshekhar, R. Subhash Reddy, P. Chandrasekhar Rao, M. Chandini Patnaik. Enhancement of Heavy Metals Eliminating Ability of Spinach With Microbial Enrichment. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 6 [9] August 2017: 53-57