Using Bayesian Network for the Prediction and Diagnosis of Diabetes
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ABSTRACT
There are many feedback loops in the human body which keep the biotic balance. Disability or malfunction of any of these loops causes severe diseases with short- or long-term complications. Diabetes is one such disease which is caused due to the imprecise operation of these natural loops in the body. Diabetes is ascribed to the acute conditions under which the production and consumption of insulin is disturbed in the body which consequently leads to the increase of glucose level in the blood. Bayesian networks are considered as helpful methods for the diagnosis of many diseases. They, in fact, are probable models which have been proved useful in displaying complex systems and showing the relationships between variables in a graphic way. The advantage of this model is that it can take into account the uncertainty and can get the scenarios of the system change for the evaluation of diagnosis procedures. In this study, decision tree and Bayesian models have been compared. The results indicated that the Bayesian model is much more accurate in diabetes diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION
Diabetes, known as the silent murderer, is one of the most dangerous diseases in the present century. This disease is considered as a substantial threat for the public health of society in both developed and undeveloped countries. At the present moment, diabetes is the fourth reason of death in the majority of the developed countries. Diabetes is a chronic disease which occurs when the body cannot produce the adequate amount of insulin the cells require or when the body cannot make an efficient use of the insulin produced. Both of these two cases lead to the increase of glucose level in the blood. Diabetes is of two major types: Type 1 and Type 2. The body of those people who are affected by the first type produces little or no insulin so they need to inject it to their bodies. This type of diabetes mostly occurs in children and teenagers. Type two diabetic people are those whose bodies cannot use the required insulin in efficient ways. This type which is more dangerous and more widespread occurs in people who are over 30 years old [1].

Millions of people are stricken by this disease all over the world. Unfortunately, many of these people are not informed of their problem and while time is a vital factor for the prediction and treatment of diabetes, it may take a long time for them to know about it. As important complications of diabetes heart attack, blindness, nephrogenic problems, blood pressure and neural hurts can be named among many others. Diagnosing diabetes and gaining information about the probability of being stricken by it is a challenging job because it displays various emblems some of which exist in other diseases as well. A physician, therefore, should check the results of the patient’s medical experiments and work on the decisions he has made for his previous patients with similar situations. The physician, in other words, needs both knowledge and experience. But because of the large number of patients and various medical experiments, the need for an automatic tool is felt to do a search among patients stricken by heart diseases [2]. An important way used for data inference is the Bayesian network.

In this article, the aim is to implement the Bayesian network, decision tree and the MATLAB software, to compare these models by the use of measuring scales on a data set collected from diabetic women and to
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determine the most accurate and optimum model. The comparison of the two methods of decision tree and Bayesian model indicates that the Bayesian model is superior with regard to accuracy and precision. The data set in this paper is comprised of the information gained from diabetic women who have different situations.

DATA PREPROCESSING
Much of the data used for data-analysis were not collected with a specific purpose. Some of this data may include errors or missing values. In order to use this data set in data-analysis procedure, data normalization and data discretization is needed. The data set used in this study is made of information about diabetic women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute No.</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>PREGANAT</td>
<td>Numbers of time pregnant</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>GTT</td>
<td>Plasma glucose concentration in an oral glucose tolerance test</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure(mmHg)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4</td>
<td>SKIN</td>
<td>Triceps skin fold thickness(mm)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5</td>
<td>INSULIN</td>
<td>Serum insulin(μU/ml)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X6</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body mass Index(kg/m)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X7</td>
<td>DPF</td>
<td>Diabetes pedigree function</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X8</td>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>Age of patient(years)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>DIABETE</td>
<td>Diabetes diagnose results(&quot;tested_positive&quot;, &quot;tested_negative&quot;)</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: THE ATTRIBUTES OF PIMA DATASET**

**Data Normalization**
We first do a brief statistical analysis on the data. Table 2 indicates the mean and the standard deviation of each of these attributes. As seen in this table, the value range between the attributes is high.

**Table 2: The mean ± SD initial data problem**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Number</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>120.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>79.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X8</td>
<td>11/8</td>
<td>33/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>32/0</td>
<td>12/09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, we use the MIN-MAX model to change the attributes to a new range. The used formula is:

\[
X = \frac{X - \min(X)}{\max(X) - \min(X)}
\]

The data is normalized in order to get better results.

**Numerical Data Discretization**
All attributes in Table 1 are numeric. In order to have the standard conditional probability of Bayesian networks, discretization of data is required. At first each Binary attribute is examined according to high and low values and then a numerical probability distribution is fit for each node. MATLAB software is a
set of machine learning algorithms used for data mining tasks [3] and includes tools for preprocessing, categorization, regression, clustering, association rules and visualization [4].

The Suggested Method
Many methods have been designed for the efficient deduction in Bayesian networks which aim to deduct accurately. The model we presented here compares Bayesian method with the decision tree.

![Figure 1: Structure of the proposed system for the diagnosis of diabetes](image)

**Implementation**
The Bayesian networks are good displays for the control of uncertainty. A Bayesian network is a directed no circle graph the vertexes of which are accidental variables and each vertex is a conditional distribution based on parent.

**Bayesian Foundation**
According to Table 1, from a training set of patient data, marginal probabilities of symptoms $P\left(s_i\right)$ and disease $P\left(d_j\right)$ and conditional probabilities of symptoms on all diseases $P\left(s_i/d_j\right)$ are calculated by counting frequencies in the data. Given a set of symptoms $\left\{s=\left\{ s_i \right\} \right\}$ for a patient, the posterior probability for each diagnosis is calculated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho\left(d_j|s\right) &= \frac{\rho\left(d_j\right)\prod_{s_i\in S}\rho\left(s_i|d_j\right)}{\sum_{d_j} \rho\left(d_j\right)\prod_{s_i\in S}\rho\left(s_i|d_j\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Formula number 2, we can think of an idea for designing the structure of Bayesian network. This formula determines the meaning of a Bayesian network. The conditional probability of Bayesian model is calculated by Formula number 3:

$$
\rho\left(n|D,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\nu\right) = \frac{\rho\left(D|W,\beta,M\right)\rho\left(W|\alpha,M\right)}{\rho\left(D|\alpha,\beta,M\right)}
$$

Where $W$ is the vector of network weights, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are function parameters, $D$ represents the data vector, and $M$ is the neural network used. Using Formula number 4 and 5, we can have the difference between the maximum and minimum amounts.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(4\right) & \frac{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}} \times 100 \\
\left(5\right) & \frac{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}} \times 100
\end{align*}
$$

The performance of the Bayesian networks is measured by computing the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) which was first used for disease diagnosis and prediction by finding the absolute value of the derivation. This value is then divided by the diagnosis and multiplied by $100$ to determine the percentage error.

$$
\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{|\rho\left(s_i|d_j\right) - \rho\left(s_i|d_j\right)|}{100}
$$

Where $r$ is the total number of disease ($i$) is the disease diagnosis and $P\left(i\right)$ is the prediction.

**Bayesian Network Structure**
A Bayesian structure can be made based on diabetes data. Pregnancy, age, DPF (Diabetes Pedigree Function) can be some of the effective factors on the appearance of diabetes. A considerable part of data set is related to two measures of obesity: SKIN (triceps skin fold thickness) and BMI (Body Mass Index) which we assume as a hidden variable in the network. Regarding the fact that skin fold thickness is not a good evidence of diabetes, BMI is considered as obesity value. Both GTT and insulin measurements are used for testing diabetes and cause diabetes.

Whether blood pressure is a reason for diabetes or not is a question. Following the experiments, it has been found that blood pressure is not a cause of diabetes. Pregnancy, age and obesity are all reasons for blood pressure. According to the presented analysis, Figure 1 indicates the main Bayesian structure in diabetes diagnosis.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
As Figure 1 displays, we used MATLAB software for the implementation of data and filled the conditional probability table with 768 samples which have been collected completely in compatibility with the standards of sanitary organization. In this data set, we have examined the background of 9 diabetic women who are at least 21 years old. In Table 3, the data related to these women who have different conditions is shown.

Table 3: Attributes of diabetic female patients with different conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 1</td>
<td>18 85</td>
<td>[19 66] [20 29] [21 0] [22 26.6] [23 31] [24 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 8</td>
<td>[26 183] [27 64] [28 0] [29 0] [30 23.3] [31 32] [32 1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 1</td>
<td>34 89</td>
<td>[35 66] [36 23] [37 94] [38 28.1] [39 21] [40 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 0</td>
<td>[42 137] [43 40] [44 35] [45 168] [46 43.1] [47 33] [48 1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 5</td>
<td>[50 116] [51 74] [52 0] [53 0] [54 25.6] [55 30] [56 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 3</td>
<td>[58 78] [59 50] [60 32] [61 88] [62 31.0] [63 26] [64 1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 10</td>
<td>[66 115] [67 0] [68 0] [69 0] [70 35.3] [71 29] [72 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 2</td>
<td>[74 197] [75 70] [76 45] [77 543] [78 30.5] [79 53] [80 1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the first part of implementation, we have used the decision tree the graph of which is as the following:

![Decision Tree Graph](image-url)
In the second part of implementation, we have used the Bayesian network. The results related to this section are shown in Figure 4.

As seen in this figure, Bayesian graph has the fewest number of errors when the train data is about 35 percent while the training data have the best results in decision sentence method. The findings indicate that the precision and accuracy of results are higher when Bayesian method is used.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Based on the statistics presented by the international sanitary organization, diabetes is the world future disease. The complications of this disease can be extremely severe in the long run and may lead to heart attack, brain attack and blindness. Before discovering insulin, the quantity of diabetics' life was important but after discovering this hormone, the quality of their life became the focus of attention. There are too many complexities and uncertainties which compel us to use advanced controlling techniques. In this study, the aim was to design an algorithm which could help to diagnose diabetes having the fewest number of errors. Bayesian networks and decision tree are more effective in the diagnosis of many diseases because of their structures.

Bayesian network uses statistical calculations which are based on equations, Lagrangian functions and sample frequencies which lead to more precise and reliable results.

**Future Studies**: The use of more parameters may lead to gaining higher accuracy in diabetes diagnosis.
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