Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences

Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 3 [9] August 2014: 156-163 ©2014 Academy for Environment and Life Sciences, India

Online ISSN 2277-1808

Journal's URL:http://www.bepls.com

CODEN: BEPLAD

Global Impact Factor 0.533 Universal Impact Factor 0.9804



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Lactobacillus Species and Strains Effective on Symptoms of Irritable Bowel Syndrome

Sara Riyahi

Department of Microbiology, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran

ABSTRACT

IBS is one of the most prevalent gastrointestinal disorders (12-20% worldwide) with mostly unknown pathophysiology. There is question regarding role of intestinal flora and change in intestinal permeability in its pathogenesis. Numerous studies have been performed to show efficacy of probiotics in treatment of IBS. In this review study, it was attempted to accumulate information from best performed studies regarding use of lactobacillus for the treatment of IBS. This was a review study of RCT studies performed on the efficacy of Lactobacillus species in the treatment of IBS patients. All studies in the English language performed up to September of 2014 were searched in Pubmed, Google scholar, Wiley and Embase and Cochrane Database. In total 16 studies on efficacy of Lactobacillus probiotic or symbiotics were found for this review study with sample sizes of 24-214 participants. All studies were in favor of treatment groups except for one study on Lactobacillus plantarum MF 1298. Improvement in global symptoms was reported in seven studies and treatment success was reported in two studies. Six studies showed improvement in abdominal pain which included treatment with the single probiotics Lactobacillus plantarum 299v (DSM 9843) and Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in two studies. Bloating was decreased in five studies in the treatment group including single probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum 299v (DSM 9843), and flatulence was decreased in one study which was mixed species treatment. Overall, studies agree with probiotic Lactobacillus and mixed species containing Lactobacillus improve symptoms in IBS patients. Studies have been limited in addressing degree of effectiveness of individual probiotics. Most trials are still small and effectiveness in various subtypes of IBS has not been evaluated. The same tools of measurement have not been used in most studies and quality of life has not been assessed in many studies which is important considering that IBS is a chronic disease and treatment is symptomatic and for improvement of functional status.

Keywords: Lactobacillus, Probiotics, Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Symptoms, Randomized Controlled Study

Received 10.05.2014 Revised 21.06.2014 Accepted 10.07.2014

INTRODUCTION

IBS is one of the most prevalent gastrointestinal disorders (12-20% worldwide). Various kinds of IBS by Rome III criteria are: diarrhea predominant, constipation predominant, alternating or mixed and undefined IBS (1). The features of irritable bowel syndrome are abdominal pain or discomfort relieved by defecation or gas release, alteration in bowel habits for at least 3 months. Its etiology appears to be multifactorial and its pathophysiology is mostly unknown. Genetic factors may have minor influence. Twenty to thirty five percent of all cases are post infectious which has lead to questioning the role of intestinal flora and change in intestinal permeability in its pathophysiology. It has been associated with female gender, stress and anxiety and has a wide range of differential diagnoses. Treatment has been directed at symptom control with lifestyle changes and variety of medications with efficacy of 35-45% (2). Three common IBS symptoms are abdominal pain, bloating or flatulence (3). In a study by Lembo et al, 60% of 443 IBS patients referred to a tertiary care center reported bloating as the symptom that bothered most and 29% considered abdominal pain as the most severe problem and flatulence was reported as one of the symptoms in 66% of patients (4, 5).

The concept of probiotics goes back to 1907 in publications of Metchinkoff who has proposed that lactobacilli suppress putrefying intestinal bacteria and subsequently, probiotics were defined in 1998 by Guarner et al. Probiotics are living organisms that ingesting them in certain numbers leads to health benefits beyond nutritional value. Later, it was introduced that these microorganisms modulate the immune system. Probiotics are usually certain types of Streptococcus, Lactobacilli, Bifidobacteria and E. Coli-Nisle 1917 and yeasts such as Saccharomyces boulardii, etc. Therapeutic advantages of one strain of probiotic cannot be extended to other strains and their efficacy needs to be studied (1). Lactobacilli and

Bifidobacteria and other commensal organisms are considered to be safe in general although concerns regarding use of massive doses in immunodepressed patients or those with intestinal resection exists (6). Additionally, 80 cases of bacteremia have been reported in Finland in individuals with severe prior comorbidity (7).

Mechanism of action of probiotics include: binding epithelium and producing substances that have antibiotic activity, competing for attachment and reducing invasion by other pathogens, affecting luminal immunity by changing cytokine and cellular profile from pro-inflammatory to anti-inflammatory, and converting carbohydrates to short chain fatty acids which provides nutrients to intestinal flora and affects motility (8, 9, 10).

Lactobacilli are members of the lactic acid producing bacteria and belong to the phylum Firmicutes. They are gram positive nonsporulating anaerobic bacteria. Lactobacilli require rich growth media and have key role in food fermentation. They are found in the human and animal GI tract and considered minor fecal microflora (0.01-0.6% of total bacterial counts). They also exist in the female urogenital tract. Best evidence exists regarding treatment and prevention of enteric infections and post antibiotic syndromes (11). Lactobacilli reside in the small intestine and stabilize gut microbiota in man and animals (12). L. rhamnosus GG is the most widely studied probiotic in children and adults. Orally at doses above 10⁹ CFU/day, it at least temporarily colonizes the intestine and reduces diarrhea. Yet, in infants it has not decreased load of pathogens and it is of concern, it may have antagonistic activity (13).

Studies show variability in fecal composition among different IBS subtypes and healthy individuals; although consistent results have not been supported and also change with age and diet occurs (14). Probiotics are a diverse group of microorganisms that may help with balance of intestinal microflora. Numerous studies have been performed to show efficacy of these bioactive substances, yet there is lack of repeat studies and clarity of the most effective organisms in IBS patients. Lactobacilli are found in the human and animal GI tract, and it seems reasonable to select them as a probiotic for treatment of IBS patients. Therefore, in this review study it was attempted to accumulate information from best performed studies regarding use of lactobacillus for the treatment of IBS. It will be attempted to review repeat studies on some of the probiotic studies, gain insight to strains that are effective and review side effect profiles with use of certain Lactobacilli.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a review study of RCT studies performed on the efficacy of Lactobacillus species in the treatment of IBS patients. All studies in the English language performed up to September of 2014 were searched in Pubmed, Google scholar, Wiley and Embase and Cochrane Database. Available studies on Lactobacillus preparations used for treatment of IBS were included. Search was performed on topics including microbiology and intestines and probiotics, bioactive microorganisms, probiotics and irritable bowel syndrome and RCTs, microorganisms and microbiota, gut microflora.

Inclusion criteria: RCT study with placebo comparison, Rome I, II, III criteria for diagnosis of IBD, adult and children studies, English language studies.

Exclusion criteria: Studies on probiotics other than Lactobacillus.

RESULTS

In total 16 studies were found, 14 for adults and 2 for children. All studies were RCTs that compared treatment with placebo. Studies were performed in various countries with sample sizes of 24-214. There were 6 studies on a single probiotic and 10 studies on symbiotics. Six of ten studies did not mention strain of microorganisms used. Statistical analysis was by intention to treat in 9 studies, not mentioned in 4 and per protocol in 3. Compliance with medication was mentioned and good in 4 studies. Follow up was reported only in one study at one month. Table 1 summarizes the results of the studies.

Table 1. Summary of Findings of RCT Studies on Lactobacillus Efficacy on IBS Symptoms

Study	Number	of	Probiotic	Results
	Patients			
Capello ¹⁵ et al	n=64		Probinul: 5 gm twice a dayx	Inclusion in statistical analysis : not mentioned.
2013			4weeks	Flatulance was reduced in symbiotic group compared to
(Italy)			Lyophilized	placebo (P<0.05). After treatment, longer rectosigmoid
			Each 5gm	transit time and significant improvement in SF-36 scores
			5x 10 ⁹ Lactobacillus plantarum	were found in symbiotic group compared to placebo. The
			2x109 Lactobacillus casei spp	symptoms of bloating, pain and urgency was the same for
			rhamnosus	treatment and placebo groups. Quality of life based on

		2x10º Lactobacillus gasseri	short form-36 was significantly improved from pre to
		1x10° Bifidobacterium infantis	post treatment with symbiotic, but also in the placebo
		1x 10° Bifidobacterium longum	group in the domains of role-physical, bodily pain and
		1x10 ⁹ Lactobacillus acidophilus	mental health.
		1x10º Lactobacillus salivarus	Compliance >95% by diary.
		1x10 ⁹ Lactobacillus sporogenes	Side Effects: none reported.
		5x10° Steptococcus termophilus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		(inulin)	
Cui & Hu ¹⁶	n=60	Bifid triple viable capsules: 2	Inclusion in statistical analysis: not mentioned
2012	n oo	three times a dayx 4weeks	During intervention, the treatment group showed
(China)		Bifidobacterium longum	significantly more improvement in symptom severity
(Gillia)		Lactobacillus acidophilus	score compared to placebo. Significant difference was not
		Zuctobucinus ucidopinius	found between the two groups (probiotic and placebo)
			regarding dissatisfaction with defecation. After
			treatment, significant difference was found between the
			treatment and placebo groups on time and frequency of
			pain, discomfort, abdominal distention and dissatisfaction
			with bowel habits. Patients with diarrhea predominant
			IBS had low amounts of Bifidobacterium spp and
			Lactobacillus spp in stool samples compared to healthy
			individuals. Other IBS patients only had low amounts of
			Bifidobacterium spp.
			Side Effects and compliance not available.
Dapoigny ¹⁷ et	n=50	3 capsules (each 250mg) a dayx4	Inclusion in statistical analysis: full analysis scale.
al 2012	11=50	weeks	In the total population, improvement in IBS severity score
(France)		Each capsule 2x108 CFU per day	
(France)		Lactobacillus casei rhamnosus	was not statistically different between LCR35 and placebo groups. Clinical response favoring LCR35 was found in
		LCR35	
		LCK35	patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS (not statistically
			significant). Eighty five percent of patients treated with LCR35 had Lactobacillus in their stools.
			Compliance not available.
			Side Effects: reported as none.
Ducrotte ¹⁸ et	n=214	One capsule a dayx4 weeks	•
	n=214	•	Inclusion in statistical analysis: full analysis scale.
al 2012		10x109 Lactobacillus plantarum	Pain severity and daily frequency at the end of 4 weeks
(France)		299v(DSM 9843)	were lower in the treatment group compared to placebo (P<0.05). Bloating also showed similar results. Overall
			, ,
			78.1% of patients scored the probiotic effective on their
			symptoms as excellent or good compared to 8.1% for
			placebo (P<0.01). Decrease in abdominal pain frequency
			was significantly higher in probiotic group compared to
			placebo (51.9% versus 13.6%) as well as stool frequency,
			bloating and feeling of incomplete emptying. Mean
			severity of abdominal pain, bloating and feeling of
			incomplete emptying significantly decreased in treatment
			group more than the placebo group.
			Compliance not available.
			Side Effects: no significant side effects reported: One
F 33 40	444 131	7 . 1 . 11 . 1	patient in probiotic group had vertigo.
Francavilla ¹⁹	n=141 children	Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG	Inclusion in statistical analysis: intention to treat.

Sara Riyahi

et al 2010	with functional	(LGG)	LGG led to decreased frequency and severity of abdominal
(Italy)	abdominal	3x10°CFU twice a dayx 8 weeks	pain compared to baseline (P<0.01 for both) but not
	pain		placebo. Significant difference persisted at 8 weeks. After
			12 weeks from start of treatment, 48/71 children in the
			LGG group had treatment success compared to 37/70 in
			the placebo group (P<0.03). LGG but not placebo led to
			significant decrease in number of patients with abnormal
			intestinal permeability testing (P<0.03). Effects were
			seen mainly in children with IBS.
			Compliance was 89% with probiotic and 86% with
			placebo.
			Side effects profile was not available.
Gawronska	n=104	Lactobacillus GG 3x10 ⁹ twice a	Inclusion in statistical analysis: intention to treat.
²⁰ et al 2006	children with	day x4 weeks	The LGG group showed more treatment success (lack of
(Poland)	functional	uay x4 weeks	pain) than placebo (25% versus 9.6%) relative benefit
(Polanu)			
	dyspepsia, IBS		2.6, 95% CI 1.05-6.6, number needed to treat 7, 95% CI 4-
	or functional		123. For IBS patients (n=37), the LGG group had more
	abdominal		successful treatment compared to placebo, reduced
	pain		frequency of pain but not severity (P=0.10). For the
			functional dyspepsia and functional abdominal pain
			groups no differences were noted.
			Compliance was not reported.
			Side effects: reported as none.
Jafari ²¹ et al	n=108	Combination probiotic twice a	Inclusion in statistical analysis: intention to treat
2014		day x 4 weeks	Overall, 85% of the symbiotic group noted general
(Iran)		Bifidobacterium animalis subsp	symptomatic relief compared to 47% of the control group
		lactis BB-12	(P<0.01). Abdominal bloating and pain were relieved
		Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5	significantly more with symbiotic than placebo. This
		Lactobacillus delbreuckii subsp	effect decreased but remained significant at one month
		bulgarius LBY-27	follow up, but not for feeling of incomplete defecation.
		Streptococcus thermophilus STY-	Compliance was not reported
		31	Side effects: nausea, heartburn, borborygami, abdominal
		With minimum potency per dose	pain and distension which was not different from control
		of 4x10 ⁹ CFU	group statistically.
Kajander ²²	n=86	Lactobacillus rhamnos GC	Inclusion in statistical analysis: intention to treat
et al 2008		L. rhamnosus LC 705	Total IBS symptom score after treatment decreased more
(Finland)		Propionibacterium freudenreichii	significantly in symbiotic compared to control (37%
		ssp shermani JS	versus 9%, P=0.0083). Abdominal pain and distension
		Bifidobacterium animalis ssp lactis	were especially affected. Stool microbiota stability was
		Bb12	higher in the probiotic compared to placebo group. Effect
		Each 1x107 CFU/ml (1.2dl qd) for	on CRP and cytokines was not observed.
		5 months	Compliance was not reported.
			Side effects: gastrointestinal or respiratory tract related,
			eye operation, atherosclerosis in carotid artery, inflamed
			mole, cystitis and tenosynovitis.
Kajander ²³ et	n=103	Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG	Inclusion in statistical analysis: per protocol population
al 2005	1 100	L. rhamnosus LC 705	who completed the study.
(Finland)		Bifidobacterium breve Bb 99	At the end of treatment, there was 42% symptom
(i iiiiaiia)		Propionibacterium	reduction in symbiotic group compared to 6% in the
		opioinbacterrain	Today in Symptotic group compared to 570 in the

Sara Riyahi

freudenreickii placebo. Borborygmi was milder in treatm compared to placebo (p=0.008). Other sympton show significant trend. Significant changes we CFU/day x 6 months urgency and feeling of incomplete evacuation in	circ group
total amount of bacteria 8-9x10 ⁹ show significant trend. Significant changes we	ns did not
LFU/day x 6 months I greency and feeling of incomplete evacuation in	
	-
compared to placebo group. Health related qua	-
score was decreased slightly in treatment g	group and
increased in placebo.	
Compliance was 96% (by capsular return)	
Side effects profile was not available.	
Kim et al 2003 n=25 Lyophilized bacteria: Inclusion in statistical analysis: intention to trea	t.
(US) Bifidobacterium (B. longum, B. Significant GI transit measurement, bowel	function
infantis and B. breve) scores, or satisfactory global symptom relie	f was not
Lactobacillus (L. acidophilus, L. found. Abdominal bloating was reduced (symb	
casei, L. bulgaricus and L. points, placebo -1.7 points, P=0.046). Sympt	
plantarum) scored using visual analogue scale (100-mm).	
Streptococcus (S. salivarius Compliance was not reported.	
subspecies thermophilus) Side effects: reported as none.	
450x10 ⁹ bacteria/day x 8 weeks	
Lingaarden ²⁴ n=16 Lactobacillus plantarum MF 1298 Inclusion in statistical analysis: modified in	tention to
et al 2010 one capsule of 10 ¹⁰ CFU per day x treat.	
(Norway) two three-week periods with a Total IBS score was 6.44 in the probiotic group	compared
four-week washout in between. to 5.35 in the placebo group (P=0.010) which	disfavored
probiotic treatment. Mean number of w	eeks with
satisfactory symptom relief with probi	otic was
significantly less than placebo (0.5 versus 1.44,	P=0.006).
Compliance was 95%.	
Side effects: nature of three minor side effect	s was not
reported.	
Lyra et al 2010 n=42 Multi species probiotic Inclusion in statistical analysis: not mentioned.	
(Finland) L. rhamnosus GG (ATCC 53103) Eight bacteria with possible role in IBS were ev	raluated in
L. rhamnosus LC 705 (DSM 7061, stool sample by PCR. Ruminococcus tord	
LC phylotype similarity) remained abundant in the	
705) group but decreased in the probiotic group	_
P. freundenreckii spp shermanii JS Clostridium thermosuccinogenes 85% remaine	d elevated
(DSM 7067, PJS) during intervention.	
B. breve Bb 99 (DSM 13692, Compliance was not reported.	
Bb99) Side effects profile was not available.	
Total daily bacterial dose of 8-	
9x10°CFU	
Michael 25 & n=24 VSL#3 Inclusion in statistical analysis: not mentioned.	
Kenche H. IBS patients 900x109 bacteria per day for 8 Improvement in satiety was found in treatment.	ent groun.
2011 with diarrhea weeks. Intestinal flora by 16sRNA detection did not sho	
(US) predominance Compliance was not reported.	change.
Side effects profile was not available.	
Murakami ²⁶ n=35 Lactobacillus brevis KB 290 one Inclusion in statistical analysis: as per protocol.	
et al 2012 capsule (≥10¹0 CFU/capsule) a Significant difference in IBS symptoms was	
(Japan) day for 4 weeks, stopped for 4 during various periods, but mean quality of	life scores
weeks and administered improved during test capsule consumption	n. Also
oppositely for 4 weeks frequency of watery and musky stools and	abdominal

Sara Riyahi

			pain were significantly lower during test capsule consumption. Stool samples analyzed by T-RFLP showed significantly higher Bifidobacterium and lower clostridium after test capsule use compared to placebo. Compliance was not reported. Side effects profile was not available.
Roberts ²⁷ et al	n=179	Yogurt product containing	Inclusion in statistical analysis: intention to treat.
2013	IBS patients	Bifidobacterium lactis (1-2494)	Significant difference between groups at 4 weeks was not
(England)	with	1.25x10 ¹⁰ CFU	observed in symptoms of IBS. By week 8, 46% of
	constipation	S. thermophilus (French strain I-	treatment group versus 68% of placebo reported
	element	1630) and	sufficient relief (P=0.03) which persisted at 12 week.
		L. bulgaris (French strain I-1632,	High dropout rate due to dislike of product taste and
		I-1519) 1.2x109 CFU per cup two	nausea was reported.
		times a day for 12 weeks	Compliance was not reported.
Yoon ²⁸ et al	n=49	Multispecies probiotic	Inclusion in statistical analysis: intention to treat.
2014		Bifidobacterium longum	Proportion of patients with improved IBS symptoms at 4
(Korea)		B. bifidum	weeks was significantly higher in symbiotic compared to
		B. lactis	placebo (68.0% versus 37.5%, P<0.05). Only treatment
		Lactobacillus acidophilus	and not placebo group showed improvement in
		L. rhamnosus	abdominal pain/discomfort and bloating. Change in
		Streptococcus thermophilus	abdominal pain was not statistically significant. Fecal
		Each capsule 5x109 viable cells	analysis by PCR showed that B. lactis, L. rhamnosus and S.
		twice daily x 4 weeks	thermophilus increased significantly in the treatment
			group and B. lactis increased in placebo group.
			Compliance was not reported.
			Side effect profile was not available.

DISCUSSION

In total 16 studies on efficacy of Lactobacillus probiotic or symbiotics were found for this review study with sample sizes of 24-214 participants. All studies were in favor of treatment groups except for one study on *Lactobacillus plantarum* MF 1298 [24]. Improvement in global symptoms was reported in 7 studies [16, 18, 21, 22, 23, 27, 28] and treatment success was reported in two studies [19, 20]. The above agrees with a meta-analytic study performed evaluating efficacy studies of probiotics in IBS. Twenty trials were included in the analysis from 1982-2007. Probiotic use improved global IBS symptoms compared to placebo (pooled relative risk 0.77, 95% CI 0.62-0.94). They were also associated with less abdominal pain in comparison with placebo (RR pooled=0.78, 0.69-0.88) [3]. In another meta-analysis of studies up to the year 2007, 14 RCT's were identified. Results showed a modest improvement in overall symptoms after treatment for several weeks. Seven trials with dichotomous data showed OR 1.6 (95% CI 1.2 to 2.2), for six trials with continuous data standardized mean difference was 0.23 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.38) [29].

Six studies showed improvement in abdominal pain which included treatment with the single probiotics *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v (DSM 9843) [18] and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG in two studies [19, 20]. Bloating was decreased in 5 studies in the treatment group including single probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v (DSM 9843) (18), and flatulence was decreased in one study which was mixed species treatment. This agrees with a meta-analysis study by Ortiz-Lucas and colleagues where *L. plantarum* was noted for improving distension [30].

Psychological assessment with quality of life measures was performed in a few of the studies. Improvement was seen in 2 studies [15, 26] and worsening in one [23]. This assessment is important to be included in studies as IBS is a chronic disease and treatment is mainly symptomatic and functional. Stool bacteria were evaluated in 4 studies. In one study with mixed species treatment, Stool microbiota stability was higher in the probiotic compared to placebo group [22]. In another study with mixed species treatment, *Ruminococcus* torque (94% phylotype similarity) remained abundant in the placebo group but

decreased in the probiotic group. Also *Clostridium thermosuccinogenes* 85% remained elevated during intervention [31]. In a study with single probiotic Lactobacillus brevis KB 290 treatment, Stool samples analyzed by T-RFLP showed significantly higher *Bifidobacterium* and lower clostridium after test capsule use compared to placebo [26]. In another study reviewed with mixed species treatment, fecal analysis by PCR showed that *B. lactis*, *L. rhamnosus* and S. *thermophilus* increased significantly in the treatment group and B. lactis increased in placebo group. The placebo effect was contributed to dietary intake [28]. The above agree with studies regarding variability in fecal composition among different IBS subtypes and healthy individuals; although consistent results have not been supported and also change with age and diet occurs [14]. *C. thermosuccinogenes* 85 has been shown to be associated with IBS-mixed patients and healthy controls compared to IBS-diarrhea predominant. The R. torques 94% phylotype has been associated with IBS-diarrhea predominant [32,31]. Previous studies show higher counts of anaerobic organisms such as clostridium in stool cultures of IBS patients compared to controls [3]. It has been shown that amount of R. torques was positively correlated with severity of IBS symptoms reported by patients [34].

Side effect profile showed none reported in 4 studies, present in 4 studies and not available in 8. Treatment duration in studies that reported side effects were 4 weeks, 4 weeks, 5 months, and total of 6 weeks (with in between wash out period) [18, 21, 22, 24]. Most side effects were reported in the 5 month treatment group where the duration of treatment can explain why side effects appeared even though lower dosages of probiota were used. Side effects were reported in both single and mixed species studies which included vertigo, GI symptoms, respiratory system symptoms, eye operation, coronary artery disease, inflammatory conditions and cystitis. The above agrees with adverse events reported in a meta-analysis study were dyspepsia, headache, nausea were reported and nature of events in some studies were not given. In another meta-analysis, adverse effects were reported as few and not serious and similar in treatment and control groups. Recommendations were considering variables such as predominance of GI symptoms, obtaining microbiological profile and psychological profile [30].

Limitations of this study were few studies were obtained where single probiotics were evaluated for efficacy and safety. Tools for comparison were not the same across the studies to be able to evaluate efficacy amounts.

Overall, studies agree with probiotic Lactobacillus and mixed species containing Lactobacillus improve symptoms in IBS patients. Studies have been limited in addressing effectiveness of individual probiotics. Most trials are still small and effectiveness in various subtypes of IBS have not been evaluated. The same tools of measurement have not been used in most studies and quality of life has not been assessed in many studies which is important considering that IBS is a chronic disease and treatment is symptomatic and for improvement of functional status. For future studies, consideration of the above and comparison with other medical treatments is suggested.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dai C, Zheng CQ, Jiang M, et al. (2013). Probiotics and irritable bowel syndrome. World J Gasteroenterol 19(36): 5973-5980.
- 2. Bixquert M.(2013).Treatment of irritable bowel syndrome with probiotics: growing evidence. Indian J Med Res 2013; 138(2): 175-177.
- 3. McFarland LV, Dublin S. (2008). Meta-analysis of probiotics for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. World J Gastroenterol; 14(17): 2650-2662.
- 4. Kim HJ, Camilleri M, Mckenzie S, et al. (2003). A randomized controlled trial of probiotic, VSL#3, on gut transit and symptoms in diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther; 17: 895-904.
- 5. Lembo T, Naliboff B, Munakata J,et al. (1999). Symptoms and visceral perception in patients with pain-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. Am J Gastroenterol; 94(5): 1320–6.
- 6. Salminen MK, Rautelin H, Tynkkynen S, et al. (2004). Lactobacillus bacteremia, clinical significance, and patient outcome, with special focus on probiotic L. rhamnosus GG. Clin Infect Dis; 38: 62-69.
- 7. Salminen MK, Tynkkynen S, Rautelin H, et al. (2002). Lactobacillus bacteremia during a rapid increase in probiotic use of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in Finland. Clin Infect Dis; 35: 1155-1160.
- 8. Johansson ML, Molin G, Jeppsson B, et al.(1993). Administration of different Lactobacillus strains in fermented oatmeal soup: in vivo colonization of human intestinal mucosa and effect on the indigenous flora. Appl Environ Microbiol; 59: 15-20
- 9. Menard S, Candalh C, Bambou JC, et al. (2004). Lactic acid bacteria secrete metabolites retaining antiinflammatory properties after intestinal transport. Gut; 53: 821-828.
- 10. Quigley EM, Flourie B. (2007). Probiotics and irritable bowel syndrome: a rationale for their use and an assessment of the evidence to date. Neurogastroenterol Motil; 19: 166-172.
- 11. Leeber S, Van der Leyden J, De keersmaecker SCJ. (2008). Genes and molecules of lactobacilli supporting probiotic action. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews; 728-764.
- 12. Klaenhamer TR. (1982). Microbiological considerations in selection and preparation of lactobacillus strains for use as dietary adjuncts. J Dairy Sci 65: 1339-1349.

Sara Rivahi

- 13. Reid G. (1999). Scientific basis for probiotic strains of lactobacillus. APPl Environ Microbiol; 65(9): 3763.
- 14. Lyra A, Krogius-Kurikka L, Nikkila J, et al. (2010). Effect of multispecies probiotic supplement on quantity of irritable bowel syndrome-related intestinal microbial phylotypes. BMC Gastroenterology; 10:110.
- 15. Cappello C, Tremolaterra F, Pascariello A, et al. (2013). A randomized clinical trial (RCT) of a symbiotic mixture in patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS): effects on symptoms, colonic transit and quality of life. Int J Colorectal Dis; 28: 349-358.
- 16. Cui S, Hu Y. (2012). Multistrain probiotic preparation significantly reduces symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome in a double-blind placebo-controlled study. In J Clin Exp Med; 5(3): 238-244.
- 17. Dapoigny M, Piche T, Ducrote P, et al. (2012). Efficacy and safety profile of LCR35 complete freeze-dried culture in irritable bowel syndrome. World J Gastroenterol 2012; 18(17): 2067-2075.
- 18. Ducrotte P, Sarvant P, Jayanthi v. (2012).Clinical trial: *Lactobacillus plantarum* 199v (DSM 9843) improves symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome. World J Gateroenterol; 18(30): 4012-4018.
- 19. Francavilla R, Miniello V, Magista AM, et al. (2010). A randomized controlled trial of Lactobacillus GG in children with functional abdominal pain. Pediatrics; 126: e1445.
- 20. Gawronska A, Dziecheiarz P, Horvath A, Szajewsk AH. (2006). A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of Lactobacillus GG for abdominal pain disorders in children. Aliment Pharmacol Ther; 25: 177-184.
- 21. Jafari E, Vahedi H, Merat S, et al.(2014). Therapeutic effects, tolerability and safety of multi-strain probiotic in Iranian adults with irritable bowel syndrome and bloating. Arch Iran Med; 17(7): 466-470.
- 22. Kajander K, Myllyluoma E, Rajilic-Stojanovic M, et al. (2008). Clincial trial: multispecies probiotic supplementation alleviates the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome and stabilizes intestinal microbiota. Aliment Pharmacol Ther; 27: 48-57.
- 23. Kajander K, Hatakka K, Poussa T, et al.(2005). A probiotic mixture alleviates symptoms in irritable bowel syndrome patients: a controlled 6-month intervention. Aliment Pharmacol Ther; 22: 387-394.
- 24. Ligaarden SC, Axelsson L, Naterstad K, et al. (2010). A candidate probiotic with unfavorable effects in subjects with irritable bowel syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Gasteroenterology; 10:16.
- 25. Michael S, Kenche H. Gut microbiota is not modified by randomized double blind placebo controlled trial of VSL#3 in diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. Probiotics Antimicrob proteins 2011; 3(1): 1-7.
- 26. Murakami K, Habukawa C, Nobuta Y, et al. The effect of lactobacillus brevis KB 290 against irritable bowel syndrome: a placebo controlled double-blind crossover trial. Biopsychosocial medicine 2012; 6: 16.
- 27. Roberts LM, McCahon D, Holder R, et al. A randomized controlled trial of probiotic 'functional food' in the management of irritable bowel syndrome. BMC Gastroenterology 2013; 13:45.
- 28. Yoon JS, Sohn W, Lee OY et al. Effect of multispecies probiotics on irritable bowel syndrome: A randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2014; 29: 52–59.
- 29. Hoveyda N, Heneghan C, Mahtani KR, et al.(2009). A systemic review and meta-analysis: probiotics in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. BMC Gasteroenterology; 9:15.
- 30. Ortiz-Lucas M, Tobias A, Saz P, Sebastian J. (2013). Effect of probiotic species on irritable bowel syndrome symptoms: A bring up to date meta-analysis. Rev Esp Enferm Dig; 105(1): 19-36.
- 31. Kassinen A, Krogius-Kurikka L, Makivuokko H, et al.(2007). The fecal microbiota of irritable bowel syndrome patients differs significantly from that of healthy subjects. Gastroenterology, 133(1):24-33.
- 32. Lyra A, Rinttila T, Nikkila J, et al. (2009). Diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome distinguishable by 16S rRNA gene phylotype quantification. World J Gastroenterol, 15(47):5936-5945
- 33. Hong SN, Rhee PL. Unraveling the ties between irritable bowel syndrome and intestinal microbiota. World J Gasteroenterol 2014; 20(10): 2470-2481.
- 34. Malinen E, Krogius-Kurikka L, Lyra A, et al. (2010). Association of symptoms with gastrointestinal microbiota in irritable bowel syndrome. World Journal of Gastroenterology; 16: 4532-4540.

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

Sara Riyahi. Lactobacillus Species and Strains Effective on Symptoms of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 3 [9] August 2014: 156-163